

From: Pandita Rezel <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, 2 October 2015 4:31 PM
To: SARC
Subject: regarding No Jab No Play

Dear Committee Secretary

My will regarding the

Social Services Legislation Amendment (No Jab, No Pay) Bill 2015

is according to the obligations upon all Parliaments in the Commonwealth of Australia a clear breach of section 51 XXiiiA of the Commonwealth Constitution. The immunisation programme is proposing to, by the use of the word "must", in the "A New Tax System (Family Assistance) Act 1999, forcing all those people who are obtaining allowances for social services, a form of civil conscription in order to obtain those services. This becomes an inconsistency in law and as such must be seen as invalid.

Article 7 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, "No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. In particular, no one shall be subjected without his free consent to medical or scientific experimentation."

Clearly the proposed law is by definition in breach of the very law that is binding upon the Parliament and the same law used by the Hon. Scott Morrison to justify his stand on the Human Rights aspect of the case for imposing this legislation.

The Crimes Act 1914 Commonwealth section 28 also prevents a breach of the rights entrenched in law to stand as a conscientious objector and the law does not allow any immunities to any or all of those involved in breaching that law when exercised.

All members of the Parliament are duty bound to uphold the laws of the Commonwealth and the Constitution through clause 5 and any breach of that can be dealt with through the Constitution in a court exercising the appropriate jurisdiction as the members by abusing their Constitutional duties must have an allegiance to a foreign power.

Respectively

Pandita R