

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 1 October 2015 2:55 PM
To: SARC
Subject: FW: Social Services Legislation Amendment (No Jab, No Pay) Bill 2015 submission

To Whom It May Concern,

I, and my partner, strongly oppose the Social Services Legislation Amendment (No Jab, No Pay, No Play) Bill 2015 and beg you to reconsider it. I am going to write from the heart here as frankly, as the mother of four year old twins, I do not have the time to dredge up all the 'for and against' vaccination research papers for you.

We have chosen not to vaccinate our daughters Paloma and Imogen. They were conceived by IVF after a six year struggle with infertility. We are therefore, obviously, not evangelistic about disregarding allopathic medicine. We are, however, extremely concerned about the welfare of our precious girls.

The choice to not vaccinate was not taken lightly. We had several paid consultations with our family doctor to discuss the immunisation schedule. We voiced our concerns. Mainly that there are no long term studies on the effect of vaccination that we could find and, with the empirical evidence of the increase in cancers, allergy and autoimmune disease in our society, we didn't want to take any chances. (Incidentally our doctor believes this could be related to antibiotics). We are concerned about injecting any amount of known toxins, or heavy metals, into our children, especially when it bypasses the body's first line of defence. We are concerned that we cannot be given a 100% guarantee that there will be no reaction. Vaccine injury is real.

Having had these discussions with our doctor she was happy to sign a conscientious objection form. She said she could understand our reservations.

I went to see her about this legislation and firstly she said: "Typically, we haven't been told anything about this. I believe in vaccinating but I don't agree with coercion". However, she said she was unable to give us medical exemption unless our children had had a reaction to a vaccination and even then it would only be for that individual vaccination. She also said we can't consider selective vaccination (we discussed Infanrix) as the legislation meant we would have to follow the Government's schedule.

We will not be coerced into vaccinating our children so what are we left with? Currently the girls are enrolled in kindy for two days, when I work, and next year we were hoping to enrol them in for three days. Due to this legislation we have only enrolled them into kindy for one day next year, the year before they start school. The girls' grandmother will look after them on the other day I work. She will leave her paid job for that one day to support us. We will be paying more in childcare fees on that one day than we are currently paying for two days. I was hoping to increase my work days to three next year however now, with this legislation, that is not possible. So now not only are our immediate family being punished for making informed decisions about the healthcare of our own children but also my workplace. What sort of Government is this?

We are afraid that if this legislation goes through then it won't be long before mandatory vaccination, for children and adults, is introduced and then how will we educate our children?

Yours Sincerely, Name Withheld.