

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, 2 October 2015 11:56 AM
To: SARC
Subject: Submission

To: Committee Secretary
Senate Standing Committees on Community Affairs
PO Box 6100
Parliament House
Canberra ACT 2600

[REDACTED]

I am writing to you in opposition to the 'social services legislation Amendment (NO JAB, NO PAY) BILL 2015 which is forthcoming in removing parental rights in refusing vaccinations.

You have stated that the 'Statement of compatibility with human rights is for the protection of the 'right to physical health' and to the extent it may limit human rights as you believe those limitations are reasonable ,necessary and proportionate.

According to the immunize.health.gov.au website, Victoria has a vaccination rate of over 90% from each age group. However, the increase of vaccinations has increased chronic illness in children.

Children today are given three times as many vaccinations as they were in the early 80's when recommendations were 23 doses of 7 vaccines between two months and 6 yrs old as opposed to today's child vaccine schedule of 69 doses of 16 vaccines between the day of birth to age 18 with 49 doses given before the age of 6.

Many parents have legitimate concerns when a growing number of fully vaccinated children currently have learning disabilities, development delays, ADHD, Asthma, autism, Diabetes just to name a few compared to children of yesteryear.

If this Bill is for the 'right to physical health' then I have the right to reject vaccines based on the harmful ingredient commonly found in them which cause grievous bodily harm: Listed below are vaccine ingredients that a child receives in their first 6 years of life:

- 17,500 mcg 2-phenoxyethanol (antifreeze)
- 5,700 mcg aluminum (neurotoxin) – linked and associated with Alzheimer's disease
- Unknown amounts of fetal bovin serum (aborted cow blood)
- 801.6 mcg formaldehyde (carcinogen, embalming agent)
- 23,250 mcg gelatin (ground up animal carcasses)
- 500 mcg human albumin (human blood)
- 760 mcg of monosodium L-glutamate (excitotoxin that causes obesity & diabetes)
- Unknown amounts of MRC-5 cells (aborted human babies)
- Over 10 mcg neomycin (antibiotic)
- Over 0.075 mcg polymyxin B (antibiotic)
- Over 560 mcg polysorbate 80 (carcinogen) – is a fertility inhibitor
- 116 mcg potassium chloride (used in lethal injection to shut down the heart and stop breathing)
- 188 mcg potassium phosphate (liquid fertilizer agent)
- 260 mcg sodium bicarbonate (baking soda)
- 70 mcg sodium borate (Borax, used for cockroach control)
- 54,100 mcg of sodium chloride (table salt)
- Unknown amounts of sodium citrate (food additive)
- Unknown amounts of sodium hydroxide (Danger! Corrosive)

- 2,800 mcg sodium phosphate (toxic to any organism)
- Unknown amounts of sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate (toxic to any organism)
- 32,000 mcg sorbitol (Not to be injected)
- 0.6 mcg streptomycin (antibiotic)
- Over 40,000 mcg sucrose (cane sugar)
- 35,000 mcg yeast protein (fungus)
- 5,000 mcg urea (metabolic waste from human urine)
- Other chemical residuals

(From the book, "What The Pharmaceutical Companies Don't Want You To Know About Vaccines" - By Dr Todd M. Elsner)

Each and every one of the above Ingredients are toxic to the human body like :

Thimerosal: is a neurotoxic mercury which is extremely toxic to humans by inhalation, ingestion and in contact with the skin – so why is injecting this into our childrens bloodstream not considered toxic?

Thimerosal is used in vaccines for: Diphtheria, Influenza, Japanese encephalitis, Meningococcal

Formaldehyde: is a colorless , flammable and highly carcinogenic chemical used in the manufacture of plastics, industrial chemicals, nail polish, paint and explosives. Formaldehyde is toxic by inhalation, by skin contact and by swallowing. – so why is injecting this into our childrens bloodstream not considered toxic?

You can say that the increase of allergies like dermatitis, skin irritation, asthma, headaches, depression, gastrointestinal issues etc is most commonly associated with this chemical.

Formaldehyde is used in vaccines for: Anthrax, Diphtheria, Hepatitis A, Hepatitis B, Influenza, Japanese encephalitis, Meningococcal, Pertussis (whooping cough), Polio, Tetanus

Polysorbate 80 is used in pharmacology to assist in the disruption of the blood-brain-barrier which can persist for weeks once administered. It makes it possible for substances that the brain would normally be protected from being able to cross over the protective barrier and enter the brain. This chemical has been shown to increase

the risk of serious side effects including blood clots, stroke heart attack, heart failure and death.

Polysorbate 80 is used in vaccines for: Diphtheria, Human papillomavirus (HPV), Influenza, Japanese encephalitis, Pertussis (whooping cough), Pneumococcal, Rotavirus, Tetanus

With the above listed ingredient present in our vaccines, it leads me to ask the following questions:

Why do vaccine manufacturers run their own safety studies when these studies should be conducted by an independent body not associated with or coerced by monetary means by the pharmaceutical companies?

Why is our government passing these chemical concoctions based on these studies?

Why are vaccine manufacturers protected from legal action for any harm their supposedly 'safe products' do?

Why have we entrusted the safety of millions to the oversight of a group of people whose sole focus is making billion dollar profits?

Furthermore, if passed, the BILL WILL limit human rights by monetary means. How is this reasonable, necessary and proportionate in relation to Victoria's Charter of Human Rights?

A clear discrimination has been made stating that unvaccinated children can be discriminated against and be rejected entry into child care and are no longer allowed to attend pre-school (kinder). How is this adhering to the Australian Human Rights Commission for Children's Rights to an education?

The outline of the Explanatory Memorandum clearly states that this is a Budget measure. This is stated in the very first sentence. Further along it clearly states that this measure is expected to produce savings of up to 508.3 million. By the government's own admission they will reap over half a million dollars from vulnerable families – nowhere does it state that it is for the health of our children.

As a mother of a vaccine injured child, I have had to limit my working hours to make what ever means possible so that my child receives the adequate help with aiding her for her future. Not to mention the strain this has caused on our family as a whole. This Bill, if passed is a great way to further push struggling families towards broken homes and over the poverty line. However, if that means that my child is not injected any further with the poisons listed above, so be it. As a parent, it is my RIGHT and my OBLIGATION to care, provide, educate and keep my child away from harm.

Kind Regards,

