

From: Matthew Cleary [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 30 September 2015 5:02 PM
Subject: Re: Public Health and Wellbeing Amendment (No Jab, No Play) Bill 2015

Parliament of Victoria, Spring Street, East Melbourne Vic 3002.

Ms Lizzie Blandthorn MP, Chair, Scrutiny of Acts and Regulations Committee

Date 30/09/2015

Dear Ms Lizzie Blandthorn MP, Chair, Scrutiny of Acts and Regulations Committee,

I write regarding the proposed introduction of the No Jab, No Play policy that is currently being fast tracked for approval.

I strongly object to its introduction under the grounds that is discriminatory and in violation of human rights.

Firstly, I highlight the following:

Victorian Charter of Human rights Section 8

This dictates that everyone is entitled to equal and effective protection against discrimination, and to enjoy their human rights without discrimination.

Banning unvaccinated children from childcare is absolutely discrimination for the following reasons:

- Unvaccinated children are seen as a threat to those that are meant to be protected by vaccination. How can it be ethical to deny a child entry to childcare because of the possibility of them passing on diseases they don't have, particularly in light on the next point?
- Freshly vaccinated children are considered contagious for up to 15 days following the Rotavirus vaccine (given at 2, 4, 6 mo), up to 28 days following the MMR vaccine (given at 12mo) and up to 6 weeks following the Varicella vaccine (given as part of MMRV at 18mo). The vaccine package insert states that those freshly vaccinated with the above vaccines should avoid contact with pregnant woman & immune-compromised individuals.

The **legislation doesn't propose to ban those children from childcare during the period of being contagious**. How is it ethical to deny a child who doesn't carry a disease access to childcare but allow freshly vaccinated children access when they can potentially pass on the very disease they have just been vaccinated for?

- A childcare centre cannot refuse enrollment for a child diagnosed with hepatitis b but under this policy, a child who hasn't had their hepatitis b vaccine could be. Where is the logic in this?

I am a Health Clinic Director / operator in Melbourne who has not only a Bachelor of Health Science, but 15 years of full time experience and success in the field.

I know there are many other ways of preventing disease than vaccination.

Every day I am witness to families suffering incredibly debilitating and life altering injuries from vaccinations even at times that have been given without consent or parental awareness at the time! Family of my own have fallen ill with the very thing that vaccinations they have had were supposed to be protecting them from.

I have a child who I do not choose to send to child care at this stage, but I want this to be a choice, not forced upon me.

As someone (a healthy non vaccinating, successful health professional) with a passion for health, who is disease free... I don't see that I pose more of a threat to the community than a vaccinating person who pays less attention to self care and self education - particularly around natural disease prevention and understanding the human body / immunity.

If this policy is enforced, many working and professional (many of them allied and other health professionals) parents will have no choice but to withdraw their children from childcare. No childcare means no work and no work means no paying tax.

It can also mean relying on family tax benefits or unemployment benefits to survive. Under no circumstances will I be coerced, bribed or manipulated into injecting known neurotoxins, poisons and carcinogens into my child / children.

To assume that this proposed law will be passed without a fight would be an ignorant mistake. As the Charter of Human Rights itself, you will find is in direct opposition to it as outlined here:

Section 14:

For example, to meet the requirements of this legislation, a vegan would have to allow their child to be injected with animal by-products (including but not limited to, bovine serum, monkey kidney cells, chicken embryo cells, pig tissue, dog kidney cells & mouse brain cells).

Section 19

Many vaccines contain pig tissue and use tissues of aborted foetuses and DNA.

This suggests that people can enjoy their culture, declare and practice their religion. Many vaccines contain pig tissue and use tissues of aborted foetuses and DNA. For those whose cultural beliefs do not allow them to eat pork, or for those whom oppose abortion, forcing them to vaccinate absolutely violates their cultural beliefs.

Valid consent

Furthermore, this policy contradicts the governments own guidelines set out in the Immunise Australia handbook which defines 'Valid Consent' (2.1.3) as follows:

“Valid consent can be defined as the voluntary agreement by an individual to a proposed procedure, given after sufficient, appropriate and reliable information about the procedure, including the potential risks and benefits, has been conveyed to that individual”.

Section 2 then goes on to say that for consent to be legally valid: “ It must be given voluntarily in the absence of undue pressure” This legislation attempts to remove medical autonomy from parents by removing informed consent. When there is any form of coercion or duress, there cannot be informed consent

Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights

This legislation would be in direct breach of the Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights - Article 6: 1.

Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice.

We the non vaccinating, are tax payers too! We believe in naturally acquired immunity. A bio-system that does not enable viruses, bacteria and other pathogens to live within it's internal environment. We believe there is incredible need for people to be educated around this, as opposed to the need to fear "infectious dis-eases" they do not understand, due to a lack proper education. Please consider this seriously in the time ahead. It would mean so much to many more voters than just myself.

Yours Sincerely,

Matthew Cleary

Directing Myotherapist &

Author of Self Care Medicine

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]