

**From:** Debra Lyn [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 30 September 2015 3:20 PM  
**To:** SARC  
**Subject:** Submission - No Job, No Play Bill 2015

Dear Sir/Madam,

I write to object to the changes to Social Services Legislation Amendment (No Job, No Pay) Bill 2015 removing conscientious objection for personal, philosophical, religious or medical beliefs. Vaccines are unavoidably unsafe. The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act itself says that vaccines are "products which, in the present state of human knowledge, are *quite incapable of being made safe.*"

The list of known side effects of vaccines include death, encephalitis, anaphylaxis, brain damage, paralytic disorders, and seizures to name a few.

Under the Bill of Rights of the Child, "States Parties shall respect the rights and duties of the parents and, when applicable, legal guardians, to provide direction to the child in the exercise of his or her right in a manner consistent with the evolving capacities of the child."

Article 26 states "Parties shall recognize for every child the right to benefit from social security, including social insurance, and shall take the necessary measures to achieve the full realization of this right in accordance with their national law. The benefits should, where appropriate, be granted, taking into account the resources and the circumstances of the child and persons having responsibility for the maintenance of the child, as well as any other consideration relevant to an application for benefits made by or on behalf of the child."

The legislation diminishes the capacity for parents to provide and make decisions for the child's health and well-being based on the individual circumstances without coercion or threat of exclusion. It is a discriminatory law.

In <http://parlinfo.aph.gov.au/.../503827.pdf>; "Article 12 recognises the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health. In particular, State Parties shall take steps necessary for 'the reduction of ... infant mortality and for the healthy development of the child' and the 'prevention, treatment and control of epidemic, endemic, occupational and other diseases'

In this study, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3170075/> a strong correlation was found between infant mortality and the number of vaccines given. The conclusion being that "All nations—rich and poor, advanced and developing—have an obligation to determine whether their immunization schedules are achieving their desired goals." And "Although some studies were unable to find correlations between SIDS and vaccines, 22–24 there is some evidence that a subset of infants may be more susceptible to SIDS shortly after being vaccinated."

For example, Torch found that two-thirds of babies who had died from SIDS had been vaccinated against DPT (diphtheria–pertussis–tetanus toxoid) prior to death. Of these, 6.5% died within 12 hours of vaccination; 13% within 24 hours; 26% within 3 days; and 37%, 61%, and 70% within 1, 2, and 3 weeks, respectively."

Clearly it is premature for Premier Daniel Andrews to state categorically that “the science is in” when it is a fact that unvaccinated children are far healthier than vaccinated and it is irrefutable that there is evidence that vaccination can lead to death and many parents awarded compensation for injury and death. Since the inception of the Vaccine Injuries and Adverse Reactions program in the United States, over \$2.5 billion to individuals and families who have suffered vaccine injury and death.

These awards are funded by taxes on vaccines but more alarming is that only 1-10% of vaccine injuries and deaths are actually filed with the government. This is a far cry from the 1 in a million reactions. In Australia, we have no such program.

In my own personal circumstances, I have 2 adult children who had the full vaccination schedule as children and they have had their fair share of health problems ever since. It is not “normal” for children to be constantly ill with colds, ear aches, multiple infections, asthma, eczema and the list goes on. As our first grandchild is due we have read enough research to know the current schedule is not in the best interests for the child’s health. The extension of this legislation to affect all children under 20 from receiving FTB supplement unless they adhere to a government mandated vaccine schedule is abhorrent. It is akin to the dark days of conscription, when Australia sent her young men to fight in the Vietnam War, only to abandon them when they came home. Vaccine damaged children are left by the wayside, as are grieving parents to pick up the pieces. Denial and coincidence are used as weapons by the medical establishment against parents who watch their children harmed or killed by vaccination.

When the Minister says, “Further, these families continue to have the right to uphold their conscientious or religious belief by electing not to receive child care benefit, child care rebate or the family tax benefit Part A supplement”. It would surely follow that these families should also be exempt from paying all taxes and rates, in order to exact their free will and common law rights to conscientious or religious beliefs and should receive compensation from all taxes paid, including taxes paid by their parents, who have built the nation of Australia. The State is effectively discriminating against parents for their choice to make decisions that do not conform to the state sanctioned belief in vaccination.

I say belief, because vaccination is not proven to provide immunity and in many cases, such as with whooping cough, has been linked to the spread of disease. The CDC reports that in the United States, cases of whooping cough have increased approximately 10-fold in the last twenty years, DESPITE AN INCREASE IN VACCINATION COVERAGE FROM 61% to 96%.

Yet, the Australian Council of Social Service in 2015, released a report revealing poverty is growing in Australia, with an estimated 2.5 million people, or 13.9 per cent, living below the internationally accepted poverty line. It also found 603,000, or 17.7 per cent, of all children are living in poverty. The impact of a loss of FTB supplement (approximately \$700 per child per year) and childcare rebates that enable parents, to return to work is substantial and tantamount to coercion and assault. At Common Law, assault is defined as an intentional act by one person that creates an apprehension in another of an imminent harmful or offensive contact.

The legislation is designed by its own admission to take \$508 million dollars from families in the next 4 years. It is a budget measure not a health measure because savings could only be made if parents continue to refuse to vaccinate their children. There is no exemptions for home schooling families who already save the government in excess of \$10,000 per student per year and thus the State is failing in upholding, the rights of the child, in respect to “benefits should, where appropriate, be granted, taking into account the resources and the circumstances of the child.”

It is a discriminatory law because the government is targeting low income earning families, and not high income families who will not be impacted by the changes. Professor Leask of the

University of Sydney, says the policy amounts to a form of "mandatory vaccination" for lower income families that won't motivate higher income families who are more prevalent among vaccine objectors. I regard that changes to Social Services Legislation Amendment is tantamount to assault on the most vulnerable in our society, denial of fundamental human rights, especially to freedom of thought and religion.

This legislation takes away the rights of parents to informed consent but it opens a conversation that we as a society should be having about vaccination. We should not blindly trust pharmaceutical companies that make billions of dollars profit from vaccination. If the government is going to have a register for vaccination then this register should also record all vaccine reactions from minor to major, all deaths, and linked to Medicare to provide data about the short and long term effects of vaccination on both the vaccinated and unvaccinated and the collated data should be made publically available online and be independently audited.

There will be many unintended consequences of this legislation, as parents will be caught up in the need to catch up on vaccination in order to receive payment. This catch-up interval of 6 months will put many lives at risk and cause grievous bodily harm to a whole generation of children. Parents will no longer be able to make decisions to selectively vaccinate, delay vaccination, or refuse all vaccination based on their child's individual needs because of these coercive policies. The rights of the child is diminished when you diminish the rights of their parents.

Vaccines carry risks and parents have the right to be informed of the risks with at least a 10 business day cooling off period to fully consider whether or not to vaccinate their child. Ultimately, they and their children will bear the consequences of that decision. Doctors should be mandated to document all health issues and health status prior to and after vaccination. There should be a website and forms available to report vaccine reactions and data collected. Doctors should face criminal prosecution for injecting babies and children with adult vaccines, incorrect vaccines, and when they do not follow due process and inject sick children with vaccines causing serious reactions and damage or vaccinating premature babies.

Pharmaceutical companies should be held liable for vaccine damage and death. Families of vaccine injured children should be given immediate financial support and not left to fend for themselves. Doctors should not be allowed to write off injury, death or reactions to vaccines as coincidence and it should be mandatory that all deaths of babies be investigated for whether vaccination contributed to or caused the death.

The death or injury of a vaccinated child should not be considered collateral damage. Parents must retain the right to conscientious objection. They should retain the right to refuse to object without financial penalty to injecting their children with human albumin, human diploid cells, residual components of MRC-5 cells including DNA and proteins, bovine serum, hydrolyzed gelatin, and chicken embryo, monkey kidney cells and calf serum, vesicle fluid from calf skins, human diploid cells from aborted fetal tissue, thimerosal, sorbitol, formaldehyde, polysorbate 80 (Tween-80), ammonium sulfate, formalin, and sucrose and many other chemicals and neurotoxins that have unknown consequences in each individual.

Vaccines contain tissue from aborted foetal cells and contrary to the propaganda that these foetal cells were all created from two babies aborted decades ago, this can be proven to be untrue, because studies prove that foetal cells have a set life span of only several weeks and cannot be reproduced indefinitely. It is so farfetched to believe that millions of vaccinations were cultured from two babies but in any respects, we should have the right to oppose vaccines based on our religious and philosophical beliefs.

In recent weeks in the media, "Planned Parenthood" in America has been exposed for illegally selling aborted foetuses and doing so by crushing the head, so that the organs below are

extracted intact. This is abhorrent. They have also been exposed for harvesting organs from babies born intact whose hearts are still beating. The whole industry of vaccination relies on abortion and we should retain our religious freedoms to refuse to partake in this act of injecting poisons into our babies and children, without having to attend a government sanctioned religious organization. The very notion of a government approved religion is an oxymoron.

The Senate Inquiry, should also look into the following;

- CDC Whistleblower, William Thompson that has spoken out about the link between the MMR vaccine and autism. This matter has recently gone to the US Congress. In August, 2014, Dr. William Thompson, a senior scientist at the Center for Disease Control and Prevention worked with a whistle blower attorney to provide Congressman Posey's office with documents related to a 2004 CDC study that examined the possibility of a strong relationship between the mumps, measles and rubella vaccines and autism. In a statement released in August, 2014, Dr. Thompson stated "I regret that my co-authors and I omitted statistically significant information in our 2004 article published in the Journal of Pediatrics." And it was also his testimony that the CDC destroyed documents and evidence of the link between the MMR vaccination and autism. We need to look into fraud within the vaccine and pharmaceutical industry. There should be govt terms for offenders, not just fines, which are easily written off as a business expense.

- In Kenya, vaccinations were tested and found to contain a sterilization agent that had the potential of making millions of girls infertile. The Kenya Catholic Doctors Association is charging UNICEF and WHO with sterilizing millions of girls and women under cover of an anti-tetanus vaccination program sponsored by the Kenyan government. We need to test vaccines for sterilization agents and investigate if the Gardasil vaccine has caused women to become infertile. In fact, there should be a Royal Commission into Gardasil, which has caused untold misery.

- In Australia, an Australian doctor, Dr. Kalokerinos, a medical GP working in the Australian outback with the Aborigines, discovered that vitamin C prevents sudden infant deaths (SIDS) brought on by malnutrition and by the introduction of immunization shots. In his book, Every Second Child, he shares his experiences of how vaccines were killing many Aboriginal children. We need to look into the harm vaccines have caused malnourished children, for whom this legislation will impact the most because it targets children of low social economic status.

There is more than enough evidence to suggest that vaccines do more harm than good and this is the perfect opportunity for non biased research to be undertaken before this policy is allowed through.

Yours sincerely

DEBRA LYN  
[REDACTED]

If you forward this E-mail, please delete the forwarding history which includes my E-mail address. It helps prevent Spammers from mining addresses and sending viruses.