

Public Health and Wellbeing Amendment (No Jab, No Play) Bill
2015

27/09/15

MMcDougall Statement of in-compatibility JILL HENNESSY

In response and contradictions of Ms HENNESSY's (Minister for Health) tabled statement concerning the Charter of Human Rights and Responsibilities Act 2006:

In my opinion, the Public Health and Wellbeing Amendment (No Jab, No Play) Bill 2015, as introduced to the Legislative Assembly, is in-compatible with human rights as set out in the charter. I base my opinion on the reasons outlined in this statement.

Overview

The purpose of this bill is to increase immunisation rates for young children in the community. Vaccine preventable diseases such as whooping cough, measles, polio and diphtheria, can cause serious illness, disability and death. But serious illness, lifelong and permanent disability and death can also be caused by Vaccinations for diseases such as whooping cough (dTdap), measles (MMR), polio and diphtheria.

Childhood immunisation has been touted to have significantly decreased the risk of infection and spread of vaccine preventable diseases, but we should recall that over the last Century civilised sanitary living has seen reducing infectious disease rates and associated mortality, prior to and independent to all these vaccines. We should also recall that the last fifty years, since vaccination, we have seen exponentially increasing allergy related, increasing auto-immune related and in age increasing degenerative diseases if we are to keep **Health and Wellbeing** issues in perspective especially regarding the **Health Act's (5) Principle of evidence based decision-making**.

Human rights issues

Protection of families and children (section 17)

The bill endangers section 17 of the charter, which provides that families are entitled to be protected by society and the state and that every child has the right, without discrimination, to such protection as is in his or her best interests and is needed by him or her by reason of being a child.

Vaccines are said to protect vaccinated individuals by immunising them from the relevant disease. They are said to protect the community as a whole, by increasing the overall immunity in the community which is said to reduce the spread of vaccine preventable diseases. The theory that the rate of immunisation can prevent the spread of a disease is known as 'the herd immunity theory'. For example, it has changed but the overall rate of immunisation now believed required to achieve herd immunity for measles is said to be 95 per cent. Whether this is courtesy of vaccination or merely part of the aforementioned terminally trending decline of infectious diseases is arguable.

High rates of immunisation are also said to provide important protection for those who cannot receive vaccines. This supposedly includes babies who are too young to receive vaccines or people who cannot receive vaccines for medical reasons (for example, due to an allergy to a component of a vaccine, or suppressed immunity). Johns Hopkins Hospital has started advising their immuno-compromised since March to avoid recently vaccinated people as they can shed the vaccine strain – ie infect their playmates.

In Jills view, this bill promotes the Care for Families right (Section 17) due to the theoretical herd immunity thresh-hold being raised.

The bill may also engage section 17(2) of the charter, in that children who are not fully vaccinated may be prevented from enrolling in early childhood services.

Freedom of thought, conscience, religion and belief (section 14) and freedom of expression (section 15)

The effect of the bill is that children who are not vaccinated because their parents or carers have a 'conscientious objection' to vaccination may be unable to enrol in early childhood services. This engages the rights in sections 14 and 15 of the charter. Section 14 provides that a person has the right to freedom of thought, conscience, religion and belief. This includes the freedom to have or adopt a belief of his or her choice, and the freedom **to demonstrate** the belief. A person must not be restrained or coerced in a way that limits their freedom to have a belief. Section 15 provides that every person has the right to hold an opinion without interference.

The bill proponent asserts it will bring protection which is particularly important for those who cannot receive vaccines, due to age or a medical contraindication, but it has not considered shedding nor harbouring nor masking where the vaccinated infects others with either the vaccine strain or a masked or low level harboured infection.

HR 19 Cultural rights

(1)All persons with a particular cultural, religious, racial or linguistic background must not be denied the right, in community with other persons of that background, to enjoy his or her culture, to declare and practise his or her religion and to use his or her language

There are two poles amongst the unvaccinated. One may be neglect, the other tends towards a trust in Nature and with it an associated love of life, freshness, **Health and Wellbeing**, such as any government would wish of its citizens.

Despite saying, “The weight of scientific evidence demonstrates that vaccines are safe and effective, with the benefits greatly outweighing the risks.” there are things we must include in this with regard to the Guiding principles of the health Bill 2008

PhaWB 5 Principle of evidence based decision-making

Decisions as to—

(b)the most effective and efficient public health and wellbeing interventions—

should be based on evidence available in the circumstances that is relevant and reliable.

But,

- The Vaccine safety studies have largely been for less than six weeks, mostly far less.
- The placebo's used share the vaccines toxic elements (so cant distinguish much of the harm)

- There is justifiable doubt over the veracity of industry funded studies(see later)
- Adverse reactions are only partially recognised, accepted and recorded
- We have little or scanty idea of later epidemiological relationships with sick days, allergies, auto-immune conditions, ADHD, suicide, depression, academic success, drop-out rates, drug abuse, emergency ward incidents, in-fertility... even less second generation implications(see later)
- We have no intention of researching these despite America having paid out 3Billion for vaccine damages compensation with most of the cases being dismissed.
- Florida Rep Bill Posey tabled a bill HR1636 to begin independent rigorous replicable comprehensive multi-generational research regarding vaccines six months ago,.. stalled. And also two years prior,...dead.
- Richard Horton, Lancet Editor, says most of the studies published in medical journals are unreliable and couldnt be replicated.
- Whistleblower Thompson from the CDC has alleged fraud, omission and falsification of data in their MMR-Autism study. Representative Posey has three months ago requested a Senate Hearing but no-one dares go there. He has ten thousand pages of salvaged documents that no member wants to read. There is a court case that with current stalling might take ten years to find anything.

Under PHaWB 5 **Principle of evidence based decision-making**

Decisions as to—

- (a) the most effective use of resources to promote and protect public health and wellbeing; and
- (b) the most effective and efficient public health and wellbeing interventions— should be based on evidence available in the circumstances that is relevant and reliable.

Can you say that this no-play bill follows The wider Bill's guiding principles? I certainly wouldnt.

Decisions as to—

(a)the most effective use of resources to promote and protect public health and wellbeing; and

(b)the most effective and efficient public health and wellbeing interventions—

should be based on **evidence available in the circumstances that is relevant and reliable.**

s. 5

Both Andrew Wakefield and Tetyana Obukhanych PhD recognise that by displacing Measles and Mumps from their natural childhood times they are being shifted to ages in which they can have serious consequences. A.W. relates a Mumps case, vaccinated, the immunity wore off, and contracted the disease in puberty, with serious loss of fertility. T.O. Ph.D. relates Measles recovered Mothers – with lifelong immunity, share immunity placentally and then through milk so their infants reach two and emerge from maternal immunity when measles is not a dangerous illness. What she has begun to find is that vaccinated mothers don't share immunity placentally nor through milk leaving infants at serious risk from premature (<2yo) measles or increased risk from infantising the vaccination schedule.

The ability to demonstrate ones beliefs (**HR Section 14**) , such as is the divine right and equally the mortal responsibility of parenthood is allowed but now through this bill the opportunity of preschool is retracted. This bill discriminates against those Parents and children, stopping them from attending preschools and also against those preschool teachers from having the opportunity to work with and educate children from parents with an intense **Health and Wellness** respecting and practising Culture.

Cultures

Cultures change. Fifty years ago (Business case Science) the CDC and AMA advised, “Smoking does not cause Cancer”. Not so today. Today the CDC says “Vaccines are Safe”. Tomorrow?

In 2004 the CDC published a study dismissing any correlation between MMR and Autism. This fit with their Culture of Business case Science (and the need to discredit Wakefield). The dissociation of MMR and Autism is still widely believed and held by mainstream culture. There are counter currents and counter cultures to their Business Case Science. Whistleblower

Thompson, ten years after their CDC study relieved his conscience (related above) but there is no rush nor interest to find out. Conceivably when his case is heard (either through CHATOM PRIMARY CARE, P.C. v. MERCK & CO., INC or courtesy of Senate Hearings), if justified, the 3Billion in Vaccine compensation would immediately become a 100Billion liability with all the discarded and discredited cases fully compensatable. Even the compensated cases could require review and reallocations. The media storm would make the unknown and underplayed adverse reaction widely known and bounce a lot more claims into the courts.

If the Public Health and Wellbeing Act 2008 section 5 were to be taken seriously, as the **evidence** is seeming **unreliable**, the **effectiveness** of this NoJab NoPlay amendment is utterly unclear healthwise. The first initiative (**Section 5 - most effective use of resources and of interventions**) would be to request the evidence of Thompson from Rep. Posey and initiate or support such study as Posey in his HR1636 legislation proposes. This could advise on and ensure effectiveness.

HR 19 Cultural rights

- (1) All persons with a particular cultural, religious, racial or linguistic background **must not be denied the right, in community with other persons of that background, to enjoy his or her culture**, to declare and practise his or her religion and to use his or her language.

Mainstream Culture, Jill Hennessey and Tony Abbott included, currently buy the CDC business case science model. But there is also in our Culture a smaller Culture of disbelievers in Corporate Science. There are Cultures too that largely trust that Nature has a Wisdom and a Bounty that is not always immediately recognisable. Such people put trust in good food, exercise, sensible living and good habits. They put trust in Mother Nature, Human Nature and Human Love.

With respect to this, the proposed bill would need to allow for un-vaccinated preschools for people of that Cultural intent.

HR 18 Taking part in public life

- (1) Every person in Victoria has the right, and is to have the opportunity, without discrimination, **to participate in the conduct of public affairs, directly**

What is preschool if not the first step towards participating in public and in the conduct of public affairs? Yet this Bill would lay distinct discrimination over vaccination status.

HR 17 Protection of families and children

- (1) Families are the fundamental group unit of society and are entitled to be protected by society and the State.

s. 9

As discussed, this asks us that we should find out (via a Posey HR1636 like study) whether protection is best afforded by vaccines or from vaccines.

HR 10 Protection from torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment

A person must not be—

- b) treated or punished in a cruel, inhuman or degrading way; or
- (c) subjected to medical or scientific experimentation or treatment without his or her full, free and informed consent.

We are not fully informed while the CDC has trashed 10000 pages from a study, falsified data, omitted data and continues to obfuscate and delay such information coming to light.

In that most vaccine studies are less than six weeks, look at antibodies not Health nor Wellness nor over a lifetime, vaccines are still an unrecorded and opaque invivo experiment.

In that vaccines contain foreign DNA including foetal, mercury, aluminium, and all other manner of less than human substances that can set off violent reactions pervert rna and dna and continue subliminally infecting people how can this not be cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment?

HR 9 Right to life

Every person has the right to life and has the right not to be arbitrarily deprived of life.

This is a two edged sword. Parents equally for their children have the right to not have a Saba Button destiny- dead following vaccination.

HR 8 Recognition and equality before the law

- (1) Every person has the right to recognition as a person before the law.
- (2) Every person has the right to enjoy his or her human rights without discrimination.

This no-play bill says sharing pre-school with other children of your country is not a human right?

A Whole of life Evidence based Study is required for clarity as to effectiveness.

HR 7 Principle of primacy of prevention

capacity building and other health-promotion activities are central to reducing differences in health status and promoting the health and wellbeing of the people of Victoria

There is increasing evidence that increased warmth in childhood – fevers – enhance the Wellness potential lifelong. The immune system has a REAL challenge and a REAL response. The warmth organism overcomes the bacteria or viral threat and learns courage in the victory and clarity in the convalescence. This is **capacity building** within Natures bounty.

A study such as Poseys HR1636 could look into this – lifelong warmth, lifelong health, lifelong wellness, won through a common place childhood fever, lovingly tended by concerned parents.

Cancer is increasingly recognised as sub-Warmth, or non-Warmth permeated heaviness in the constitution, and thermal responses are beginning to be incorporated. Same with Anorexia and Bulimia. What studies have you to show me this is not amongst the vaccinated (who never had a fever – warmth challenge and victory)?

The proposed Bill is unsound according to the objectives and Guidelines of the 2008 Act. It is discriminatory according to the 2006 Human Rights Act. It should not pass as tabled but should incorporate further study such as Posey requests and should respect and try to learn from the Health and Wellness supporting community that conscientiously choose to remain unvaccinated. Indeed you could follow

HR 8 Principle of accountability

(1) Persons who are engaged in the administration of this Act should as far as is practicable ensure that decisions are transparent, systematic and appropriate.

(2) Members of the public should therefore be given—

(a) access to **reliable information** in appropriate forms to facilitate a good understanding of public health issues; and

Give the Parents of conscientiously unVaccinated children.

(b) opportunities to participate in policy and program development.

You may find with proper studies these parents have been long ahead of the game with regards to Lifelong Health and Wellness which is what this bill and your jobs are about.

(2) In the context of subsection (1), the objective of this Act is to achieve the highest attainable standard of public health and wellbeing by—

(b)promoting conditions in which persons can be healthy;

which may include childhood illness well met;
towards more fully;

(a)protecting public health and preventing disease, illness, injury, disability or premature death;
such as cow pox was found to do for milkmaids.