

[REDACTED]

From: Natalie K <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, 27 September 2015 9:05 PM
To: SARC
Subject: Urgent Submission Re: Legal implications of the No Jab No Play bill in Victoria

27/9/15

The Scrutiny of Acts and Regulations Committee

Re: Legal implications of the No Jab No Play bill in Victoria

Dear Committee,

The right of children to education and to protection from discrimination are clearly breached by No Jab No Play. Section eight, regarding the right to recognition and equality before the law, states clearly that 'Everyone is entitled to equal and effective protection against discrimination, and to enjoy their human rights without discrimination.'

Some suggest The UN Declaration of Rights of the Child to health, justifies impinging on other human rights.

This is demonstrably false. Even the right to health is itself likely to be impinged by coerced vaccination. Vaccines are a special class of medicines in that they are generally given to healthy people to prevent a disease to which that individual may never be exposed, according to the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)[1].

All vaccines come with known risks, and the oft-repeated 'one in a million' figure is directly contradicted by an overwhelming mass of peer-reviewed research. A higher number of vaccine doses has been associated with greater infant mortality[2].

When approving Rotarix vaccine, the FDA analysis of eleven studies revealed an increased risk of pneumonia-related deaths in the largest trial and an increase in convulsions and bronchitis. [3] These are clearly listed in the product information sheet, which also lists diarrhoea and vomiting as very common side-effects of the vaccine; that is, occurring in between 1-10% of patients in studies.

The New England Journal of Medicine reported an increased risk of seizures after MMR vaccination[4].

Studies show that children given the chickenpox vaccine can develop chickenpox from it, and also that recently vaccinated children can infect contacts[5].

All of these vaccines are on the current Australian schedule; furthermore, when new vaccines are added to the schedule, the post-licensure testing will still be in place, meaning that the health of children will be subject to long-term effects still being studied.

I urge you to withdraw No Jab No Play, after a full and proper consideration of the raft of human rights contravened by the bill.

Sincerely,

Natalie Kellett

B Soc Sc (Psych), BA (Hons)

[1] Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 2002. Workshop on Non-clinical safety Evaluation of Preventative Vaccines.

[2] Hum Exp Toxic 2011 Sep; 30 (9) Miller, N and Goldman, G. Infant mortality rates regressed against number of vaccine doses routinely given: Is there a biochemical or synergistic toxicity?

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3170075/>

[3] Pneumonia deaths seen with Glaxo vaccine: FDA 15/2/2008

[4] Barlow, W. et al., 2001. The risk of seizures after receipt of whole-cell pertussis or measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine. New Eng J Med 30/8/01 345; (9): 656

[5] Brunell, P. et al, 2/8/00. Chickenpox attributable to a vaccine contracted from a vaccine with zoster, Paed, 106:28.