

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Lindell White <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, 5 October 2015 5:13 PM  
**To:** SARC  
**Subject:** Submission re "Public Health and Wellbeing Amendment (No Jab, No Play) Bill 2015":

Hello,

I write this submission in haste as I have misread the deadline for submissions.

Simply put, as part of our basic human rights, parents should have the right to make decisions regarding medical procedures for their children and themselves, free from coercion.

The Australian government committed to ensuring that all children would have access to quality early childhood education programs. This legislation, if enacted, will marginalise already at risk families. Families who are better off financially will not feel as much strain to capitulate to these coercive government strategies.

Personally, I will be unable to provide my children with many of the items that we use the tax benefit for, such as school/educational items, shoes and clothing and medical care such as chiropractors for their disabilities.

Furthermore, there is no evidence that unvaccinated children are spreading the diseases in question. There are also no extensive cohort studies that compare the vaccinated with the unvaccinated. The issue of herd immunity is highly debateable. But there is quite a bit of evidence to suggest that the vaccinated tend to perpetuate a number of childhood illnesses, for example, Pertussis toxoid and the potential illnesses from live virus vaccines, eg. Chicken Pox.

I believe this campaign to restrict conscientious objectors from basic facets of life to be a sham and a well-paying move by multi billion dollar pharmaceutical companies to further secure their incomes in our country. Will those companies be accountable for the vaccine damage that will ensue if this legislation passes? Have they taken responsibility for those who have already been injured or killed due to vaccine reactions? They have not. As in the U.S., I imagine that the companies involved will be exempt from taking any responsibility for the effects of their products. Unfortunately, it's the taxpayers who will foot the bill for damages.

Australia's rate of vaccination is very high, so the fear campaign using conscientious objectors as scapegoats is quite obvious. This type of fear mongering has been happening in the U.S. for many years now, culminating in the current situation in California. It has come to the point where children are being given medical treatments with no fore knowledge of the parents/carers!

Both levels of government have been alerted to the fact that this legislation is contrary to the Discrimination Disability Act 1992. It is unconstitutional.

I believe I am very late getting this submission through, so I will conclude with still so much to say. I've made my presence and stance known and that's what matters to myself, my children and all the others (conscientious objectors and those who support freedom of choice) whose hearts and minds are reeling at the thought of this legislation passing.

All is love

Yours Sincerely,

Lindell White