Submission re Public Health and Wellbeing Amendment (No Jab, No Play) Bill 2015.

Hon. Jill Hennessy is joining forces with the bully boys in Canberra to force unwilling to parents to vaccinate. Her scheme, combined with the financial hardship that the federal law will bring to some families, will possibly succeed in forcing some parents, who otherwise would not have done it, to jab their children with formaldehyde, aluminium, cells from aborted babies, and the other potentially harmful ingredients in vaccines. Some of the child victims of this bullying will suffer permanent damage from the vaccines, and the cause of their plight will receive no acknowledgement from the medical profession, and no compensation from the government.

Hon. Jill Hennessy says, “It is expected that the number of children whose participation in early childhood education and care is impacted will be smaller than the number of people who benefit from an increase in immunisation rates”. Upon what research does she base the expectation that the majority of currently unvaccinated children will become vaccinated because of her draconian law? This statement shows that Hon. Jill Hennessy thinks that non-vaccinating parents are just ignorant and can easily be pushed around. The vaccine industry promotes the idea that non-vaccinators are just following a frivolous trend, one advocated by bubbleheaded celebrities. In reality, most parents who have taken the trouble to find out the facts about vaccination, have a strong conviction, and will face financial hardship and the horrors of home schooling rather than harm their children’s health.

The illegal kindergartens that are springing up all over Victoria in anticipation of the new law are of concern because the venues and the staff will not be screened for safety.

The concept of “herd immunity” was concocted in 1981 by a coadjutor of the vaccine industry named James Cherry. His aim was for it to be used as a political weapon against non-compliant families. Hon. Jill Hennessy is using the term “herd immunity” in ignorance of the fact that there is absolutely no scientific evidence to support the concept, and that every time herd immunity is seen to fail in the real world, the excuse is made that the “wrong mathematical formula” was used for that community.

In the future when vaccination has been discarded into the same category as blood-letting and the drinking of mercury, the historians who look back at this phase in Australian parliamentary history will be aghast that politicians could consider such an oppressive law in a country that claims to be democratic.
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