I write to object to the No Jab, No Play Legislation.

According to the "Convention on the rights of a child" I believe the 'No jab, No play" policy breeches this convention for the following reasons:

Point 1 states "parents have primary responsibilty for up bringing and development and the best interest of the child is their primary concern."

As a parent, my primary concern is to protect my children from being injected with vaccines that have many possible side effects as listed on the information provided by the vaccine manufacturer.

Point 2

States parties shall provide assistance to ensure the development of children...with facilities and services.

Denying unvaccinated children of child care this is a clear breech of this act.

I would like to draw your attention to point 3 which states working families have a right to child care facilities. The "no jab no play policy" would discriminate against children whose parents choose not to vaccinate.

Below is the section of the act I refer to:

CONVENTION OF THE RIGHTS OF A CHILD.

Article 18

1. States Parties shall use their best efforts to ensure recognition of the principle that both parents have common responsibilities for the upbringing and development of the child. Parents or, as the case may be, legal guardians, have the primary responsibility for the upbringing and development of the child. The best interests of the child will be their basic concern.

2. For the purpose of guaranteeing and promoting the rights set forth in the present Convention, States Parties shall render appropriate assistance to parents and legal guardians in the performance of their child-rearing responsibilities and shall ensure the development of institutions, facilities and services for the care of children.

3. States Parties shall take all appropriate measures to ensure that children of working parents have the right to benefit from child-care services and facilities for which they are eligible.

Under the Bill of Rights of the Child, "States Parties shall respect the rights and duties of the parents and, when applicable, legal guardians, to provide direction to the child in the exercise of his or her right in a manner consistent with the evolving capacities of the child."
Article 26 "States Parties shall recognize for every child the right to benefit from social security, including social insurance, and shall take the necessary measures to achieve the full realization of this right in accordance with their national law.

The benefits should, where appropriate, be granted, taking into account the resources and the circumstances of the child and persons having responsibility for the maintenance of the child, as well as any other consideration relevant to an application for benefits made by or on behalf of the child."

The legislation diminishes the capacity for parents to provide and make decisions for the child’s health and well-being based on the individual circumstances without coercion or threat of exclusion. It is a discriminatory law.

  "Article 12 recognises the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health. In particular, State Parties shall take steps necessary for 'the reduction of ... infant mortality and for the healthy development of the child' and the 'prevention, treatment and control of epidemic, endemic, occupational and other diseases'."

### International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

**Article 7**

"No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. In particular, no one shall be subjected without his free consent to medical or scientific experimentation."

Vaccines are unavoidably unsafe. The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act itself states that vaccines are "products which, in the present state of human knowledge, are quite incapable of being made safe."

The list of known side effects of vaccines include death, encephalitis, anaphylaxis, brain damage, paralytic disorders, and seizures to name a few.

Also of concern is Australia's lack of a vaccine injury compensation scheme (which is out of step with international best practice).

Informed consent, by legal definition, is consent that is informed and given by an adult who is willing, never coerced.

Yours sincerely,

Simon Ongarato