Dear Ms Blandthorn,

I am writing to express my opposition to (and deep concern over) the Bill entitled “Public Health and Wellbeing Amendment (No Jab, No Play) Bill 2015. This legislation is discriminatory (and unconstitutional), it won’t achieve an increase in vaccination rates, it will NOT improve public health and it will just create financial burden for those directly affected by it. I don’t have children yet but this will affect me in a few years’ time.

1) IT WILL NOT IMPROVE THE “HERD IMMUNITY” RATE

(Which is actually scientifically unproven since vaccines do not immunize, rather they are “supposed” to just reduce symptoms, but that is another issue)

E.g. the pertussis vaccine (DTaP) is a toxoid vaccine and it therefore cannot prevent transmission because it is not designed to prevent the bacteria itself. Even if you accept that the vaccine works as intended, those who are vaccinated are exactly as likely to spread pertussis as those who are not. According to Immunologist Dr Tetyana Obukhanych “The acellular pertussis (aP) … was followed by an unprecedented resurgence of whooping cough. An experiment with deliberate pertussis infection in primates revealed that the aP vaccine is not capable of preventing colonization and transmission of B. pertussis.”

Furthermore, the vaccine is actually causing MORE cases of whooping cough and most cases now are caused by a bacteria not even covered by the vaccine. “The prolonged whooping cough epidemic in Australia that began during 2008 has been predominantly caused by the new genotype of B. pertussis…”The genotype was responsible for 31 percent of cases in the 10 years before the epidemic, and that’s now jumped to 84 percent – a nearly three-fold increase, indicating it has gained a selective advantage under the current vaccination regime.”

Similarly, the tetanus and diphtheria components of the DTaP are toxoid vaccines as well so are not relevant to “herd immunity”. And “IPV (inactivated poliovirus vaccine) cannot prevent transmission of poliovirus.”

The MMR and varicella vaccines are live virus vaccines and can therefore potentially shed (as mainstream medicine admits) so just like with pertussis, the vaccinated can present just as big a risk as the unvaccinated.

And it makes no sense to be concerned by people who have not vaccinated for Hepatitis B, given that it is unlawful to discriminate against kids who actually *have* Hep B. If you are going to worry about someone with a, say, 5 per cent chance of having Hep B then why would you not be concerned with someone who actually has it?
2) IF THE ACTUAL CONCERN IS PUBLIC HEALTH, THERE ARE BETTER WAYS TO GO ABOUT IT

As this link shows, disease mortality declined by up to 99% BEFORE the introduction of vaccines. Deaths from ALL infectious diseases have declined to almost zero – whether they had a vaccine for them or not! People in developed countries hardly ever die from measles, whooping cough, diphtheria etc anymore. But they also don’t die from diseases like scarlet fever or typhoid – diseases for which there are no vaccines! This PROVES that other measures like improved nutrition and sanitation, and better living conditions, were the cause of improved health.

For example “Vitamin A deficiency is a recognised risk factor for severe measles.” 200,000 IU of Vitamin A “given for two days was associated with a reduced risk of overall mortality and pneumonia specific mortality.”

Vitamin C as an effective treatment for whooping cough, etc:

If this was about a real concern for the health of children, we would focus on improving nutrition, and reducing exposure to toxic chemicals in our food, environment etc (which is an actual cause of many chronic diseases today)

3) VACCINES CAN HAVE SERIOUS SIDE EFFECTS

Vaccinations have NEVER been proven safe in placebo controlled studies. The cumulative effect of the whole schedule had never been evaluated for safety at all. Yet they are full of toxic ingredients like neurotoxic aluminium, carcinogenic formaldehyde, excitotoxic MSG, and DNA from animals and humans etc.

Unlike natural health measures like good nutrition and vitamin supplementation, vaccinations have side effects ranging from seizures to autoimmune diseases, brain damage and death. These are listed on the package inserts (that the doctor NEVER shows you). I have friends whose children have suffered the above-mentioned side effects. They are not rare.

There have been at least 108 deaths due to the MMR in the US alone:

The US Government has paid out around $3 billion in compensation for vaccine injuries and deaths so far – and considering only an estimated 1% of reactions to vaccines is ever reported, this amount *should* be much higher. (I can’t get Australian data since we have no compensation program.)
The amount of aluminium in vaccines FAR EXCEEDS established safety levels. A premature baby can receive up to 14 TIMES more aluminium in one day than it can safely handle. And the number of vaccine doses keeps growing!

http://vaxtruth.org/2011/08/vaccine-ingredients/

“Experimental research, however, clearly shows that aluminum adjuvants have a potential to induce serious immunological disorders in humans. In particular, aluminum in adjuvant form carries a risk for autoimmunity, long-term brain inflammation and associated neurological complications and may thus have profound and widespread adverse health consequences.”


This study shows that infant mortality INCREASES when more vaccine doses are given:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3170075/

This study shows “a positive correlation between the number of vaccine doses administered and the percentage of hospitalizations and deaths.”

http://m.het.sagepub.com/content/31/10/1012.full

4) PEOPLE WILL NOT BE SWAYED TO VACCINATE BY THIS LEGISLATION

Parents who choose not to vaccinate are statistically MORE educated than those who do. They are more informed about real health outcomes and take the time to research facts rather than being led by marketing campaigns. They will not be bribed by laws like this because they know they are doing the right thing. They are also generally more wealthy so are likely to be unaffected financially by this anyway. The only ones who will suffer are those who are less well of financially. You would basically be forcing them to cut corners in other areas of their lives (less food on the table?) so they can afford private daycare etc. Personally no amount of threats or difficulty imposed on me would ever make me submit a child of mine to the vaccination schedule.

http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/salud/salud_vacunas68.htm

Like most people I grew up believing that vaccines were "save and effective", and prevented disease. Over the past few years I have fully educated myself on both sides of the issue though, and I have a very different stance. It is my right when I have children to nourish their bodies with good nutrition and holistic health measures, rather than subject them to often harmful, unproven drugs (vaccinations). Most people will continue to vaccinate because they believe it's a good thing. A small percentage of the population choosing to naturally "immunize" their kids does not affect them.

Medical freedom is a basic right. When there is a real risk of serious damage and even death, it is completely unconstitutional to force medicine on a population. Especially when there are safe, and more effective ways to make kids healthy. I know there will be legal action if this goes through so I hope you make the right decision.

Thank you sincerely for your time in reading my comments.

Regards,

Leah Hudson