Dear Sir

I am writing to object to the proposed very inappropriately and disrespectfully titled No Jab, No Play legislation coming before the Victorian State Government.

It's NOT simply 'no jab' - it is about injecting young, fit, healthy babes with a number of doses of 12 different viruses along with a liquid comprised of common substances including:
- Aluminium gels or salts of aluminium
- Antibiotics
- Egg protein
- Formaldehyde
- Monosodium glutamate (MSG) and 2-phenoxy-ethanol
- Thimerosal, a mercury-containing preservative

It is also NOT 'no play' - rather 'no education' as children who do not complete the a FULL immunisation program will be banned from kindergarten in this state which I believe to definitely be discriminatory, especially as the kindergarten years are regarded as being highly recommended, in fact encouraged by the State to prepare children for their school years.

To quote from the Government sponsored 'Live in Victoria' website, "The Victorian kindergarten program is a one to two year pre-school program for children before they enter primary school. Kindergarten is not compulsory in Victoria but it does help children to develop social, mental and physical skills in preparation for school."

In addition to the above I lodge this objection based on The Victorian Charter of Human Rights & Responsibility and believe the following sections have been or will be breached.

Section 8
The rights of parents to express a view based on research and scientific evidence contrary to that government advisors have promoted have been abrogated. They are not recognised or treated equally under the law.

Section 13
All immunisation records or lack thereof will be public knowledge, teachers, enrolment officers etc will all have access to this information leading to possible discrimination such as wax experienced by Eve back in the early days of HIV.

Sections 14, 15 & 16
The right of freedom to speech has already been abrogated as health professionals across all modalities are discriminated against. If they speak out with an alternate view, with alternate evidence and in any way are seen to support objections to this proposed law, they face deregistration from their respective professional Registration Boards, under guidelines and direction from the relevant Government Department.

As a result, counter arguments and balanced debate within the community has not occurred from those qualified with medical and scientific training. They have been unfairly and unjustly silenced which cuts across all three rights as noted above - the right to freedom of thought, conscience, religion and belief (s14), the right to freedom of expression (s 15), the right to peaceful assembly and freedom of association (section 18).

Section 17
Under the proposal, the ONLY accepted reason for exclusion is if children have ALREADY had an adverse reaction to immunisation. Parents who do not wish to take this risk clearly have lost their right to protect their children in the way they believe to be in their best interests. In addition, if there IS an adverse reaction, there is no protection as the risks of such reactions are spelt out in the fine print of the medication packaging which protects the pharmaceutical companies and the Government.

In my considered opinion, as a grandmother and a parent of a highly educated and deeply concerned mother of three young children and as a law abiding, contributing member of our society, this proposal as it stands is unjust, discriminatory and should be withdrawn.

Sincerely

Jill Hosken