The Victorian Government recently undertook a community and stakeholder engagement program regarding the future of public transport throughout regional Victoria. This report details the approach taken and the findings.

**FOREWORD**

The Regional Transport Advisory Group (RTAG) was formed in June 2015 to support and promote a community and stakeholder engagement program for the Victorian Government’s Regional Network Development Plan. The RTAG met regularly between July and October 2015 and has worked closely with representatives from Public Transport Victoria (PTV), The Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources (DEDJTR), V/Line and its operators to provide a link between regional communities and the Victorian Government.

The Regional Network Development Plan will be the first ever long-term and state-wide strategy for public transport in regional Victoria. What we’ve learnt through this process is that many regions are facing similar challenges when it comes to public transport services and investment. A state-wide strategy that sets out the guiding principles and future direction for regional public transport is critical. While it’s important to have a guiding vision, the plan will also enable transport solutions to be developed at a local level to meet the needs of regional communities.

Two very important selling points for attracting people and investment in regional Victoria are access to jobs and good transport connections. Regional cities become much more liveable when public transport works well. There is an opportunity to capture the advantages of regional cities to complement the metropolis of Melbourne – the Regional Network Development Plan will help to drive local economies and send the message that regional Victoria is open for business.

We have observed and participated in this engagement process with interest. It is one of the most extensive transport engagements ever undertaken in regional Victoria – 1,400 people in 38 regional locations capturing more than 15,000 pieces of feedback – setting a new benchmark for future community and stakeholder engagement. We congratulate the Victorian Government for undertaking this most comprehensive process and for making such a firm commitment to listen to the views of people living and working in regional Victoria.

It was encouraging to see a range of government departments and transport delivery partners come together to deliver and participate in the engagement program – there was input from various sectors including health, transport, education, tourism and regional and economic development ensuring collaboration and understanding between different interests and responsibilities.

We encourage all levels of government and political parties to take note of the public sentiment captured through this engagement process and to consider the need for bi-partisan support to a long-term public transport plan in regional Victoria.

We would like to thank regional Victorians for coming out in numbers and participating in this process. You have demonstrated great passion for improved public transport outcomes and we will watch with interest as the Victorian Government develops and implements the Regional Network Development Plan.
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SNAPSHOT OF THE ENGAGEMENT

38 COMMUNITY & STAKEHOLDER WORKSHOPS

12,000 STICKY NOTES

MORE THAN 1,400 ATTENDEES

MORE THAN 15,000
1,700 ONLINE SURVEYS
670 ONLINE COMMENTS
20 IN DEPTH INTERVIEWS
102 SUBMISSIONS
PIECES OF FEEDBACK TOTAL
WHAT DID WE DO?

THE ENGAGEMENT PROCESS WAS BROKEN UP INTO GEOGRAPHIC REGIONS TO HELP UNDERSTAND THE SPECIFIC ISSUES AND CHALLENGES THAT EXIST AROUND VICTORIA. THIS ALSO HELPED WITH REPORTING BACK TO COMMUNITIES THROUGH CONVERSATION SUMMARIES, WHICH WERE DEVELOPED AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE WORKSHOPS IN EACH REGION TO PROVIDE A SNAPSHOT OF THE KEY TOPICS DISCUSSED AND EXPLORED. THESE CONVERSATION SUMMARIES ARE AVAILABLE AT PTYVIC.GOV.AU/GETINVOLVED
WORKSHOPS

The consultation project team which included representatives from the Regional Transport Advisory Group, Public Transport Victoria, V/Line and Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources, travelled around the state to deliver a series of community and stakeholder workshops (see Appendix 1 – Workshop dates and locations). The workshops were designed to capture as much feedback as possible on a range of topics. Participants were asked to identify what they valued with the current public transport service and what issues or challenges they faced. Table hosts helped to group the topics into themes, which were explored further in the second part of the session. Participants were then asked to look to the future and detail their ideas and suggestions for the service going forward.

More than 1,400 people attended across the 38 workshops held in locations throughout the Barwon South West, Gippsland, Grampians, Hume and Loddon Mallee regions. We received nearly 500 feedback forms from the workshops with 88 percent of respondents providing positive feedback on aspects such as the workshop format, timing and professionalism of staff running the sessions.

“Thank you for making this forum available. Thank you to all the hosts for their patience and understanding. They were excellent at keeping us on topic.”
– Wonthaggi workshop

“Workshop was well planned, well structured, gave extensive opportunity for everyone to express their views and encouraged in depth discussions.”
– Maryborough workshop

Those who felt the experience could be improved cited reasons such as the timing or location of the workshops as well as concern about the effectiveness of the workshops.

“Needed more time while at the same time do not want to go too late.”
– Ballarat workshop

“Query the effectiveness of workshops like this in the absence of indicative budgets available to implement the suggestions.”
– Seymour workshop

Generally, people felt the workshops were well run with many expressing their gratitude for the opportunity to have their say.

GET INVOLVED WEBSITE

PTV launched its Get Involved website in June 2015, providing a one-stop shop where people could register for a workshop, participate in online discussion forums and fill out a survey regarding their travel needs. Conversation summaries were published progressively on the site as a reporting back mechanism for local communities. The website attracted around 11,700 visitors, with 670 comments received through the discussion forums and more than 2,000 interactions between participants.
SURVEYS

The survey was available online and reply paid hard copy surveys were made available at regional train stations and other locations such as parliamentary and council offices. Surveys were also sent out on request to people who contacted PTV through its call centre.

SUBMISSIONS

As well as participating in the workshops or through the online channels, many organisations and individuals made detailed submissions. Just over 100 submissions were received via email, hard copy and over the telephone providing an additional opportunity for people to provide input. Many local Councils, advocacy groups and individuals have previously undertaken their own research and planning work and these documents are also being considered as important inputs into the Regional Network Development Plan.

More than 1700 surveys were received

Numbers (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gippsland</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grampians</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hume</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loddon Mallee</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barwon South West</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Melbourne</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
102 submissions were received

Submissions – Breakdown by participant groups

- Community members: 22
- Community organisations: 4
- Transport operators: 3
- Local Government: 2
- Government/Member of Parliament: 2
- Educational institutions: 16
- Industry/Freight: 41
- Local business: 7
- Barwon South West: 4
- Other: 19
- Grampians: 17
- Hume: 23
- Loddon Mallee: 9
- Metropolitan Melbourne: 24
- National: 4

102 submissions were received

Submissions – Breakdown by region
ONE-ON-ONE INTERVIEWS
Before commencing the consultation process, PTV conducted in-depth interviews with community members in the Latrobe Valley, West Wimmera and Mildura to gain insight into people’s perception and current use of existing public transport services. The findings from these in-depth interviews highlighted that many people prefer driving to catching public transport in regional Victoria. However, people who don’t have access to a car rely on public transport as their only option for getting around, making it a vitally important service to local communities.

MEETINGS AND BRIEFINGS
The project team met with a number of local organisations and industry bodies to brief them about the Regional Network Development Plan and seek their input into the process. Such groups included the Royal Automobile Club of Victoria (RACV), Regional Cities Victoria and the Border Rail Action Group (BRAG).

"Our primary concern for transport is to enable Victorians facing disadvantage to access valuable opportunities in their lives, whether to work, learn, stay healthy, protect their rights, stay connected to family and friends and participate in community life.”
– Victorian Council of Social Service (VCOSS) submission

"Gippsland’s current population of around 270,000 people is forecast to grow to 386,000 by 2041, with demand for public transport services already increasing rapidly.”
– Gippsland Local Government Network submission

“The CfG advocates for a level and standard of passenger (commuter and tourist) service which will enhance the economic needs of the Geelong region and assist with Melbourne’s burgeoning population growth.”
– Committee for Geelong submission

MELOURNE WORKSHOP
A workshop was held in Melbourne with representatives from industry bodies such as VECCI, the RACV, the Committee for Melbourne and major hospitals to gain further insight into stakeholder and community needs.

WHO DID WE SPEAK TO?
• People from local communities
• Shires and councils including mayors, councillors and staff
• Bus, taxi and rail operators
• Business and trader organisations
• Health and education services
• Tourism industry
• Members of Parliament.
ONE CLEAR MESSAGE FROM OUR 38 WORKSHOPS AND FEEDBACK CHANNELS WAS THAT PEOPLE IN REGIONAL VICTORIA VALUE THEIR PUBLIC TRANSPORT SYSTEM.

Regional communities told us they would like to use public transport more, but the main barriers to this are:

- frequency of services
- connectivity (services getting people to where they need to go, when they need to go)
- capacity (particularly overcrowding on trains)
- reliability of services
- timetabling.

A major concern by passengers across all regions is connectivity of services between regional centres and small towns. Residents felt that the connections between trains and buses could be improved; and the services both around towns and between towns were very limited. Feedback from many regions focused on the fact that school buses should be used in their area during the day to run local bus services (to shopping precincts, medical precincts, educational precincts and train stations). If local connections could be improved this would definitely lead to greater use of public transport in regional Victoria.

All regions wanted more services to Melbourne that started earlier and finished later; and bus and train timetables that corresponded.
Many regions focused on the desire to see better use of school buses during the day to run local bus services.

Facilities, infrastructure and the on-board service were other key factors that people across regions were concerned about. Bus shelters that need replacing, inadequate car parking at stations, inadequate toilet facilities and the actual comfort and cleanliness of trains – particularly for the long distance services that feature older rolling stock – were common areas of feedback. Storage for bikes and wheelchairs on both trains and buses/coaches was also a key issue. Passengers would like to use their time on public transport more productively with most regions raising the need for better connectivity on trains as well as business carriages.

The inconsistent ticketing system across regional Victoria is causing frustration – some places use myki and others use paper ticket systems. Sometimes passengers are required to purchase both (or multiple paper tickets) depending on the location.

Communication is an area that could be improved. People want up-to-date information at stations (to receive advice of changes to services/delays) and better promotion of public transport in the regions. Informing people about how they can use connecting services (catch a bus, then a train to Melbourne for example) is seen as really useful in encouraging more people to use these services. There is an opportunity to keep this conversation going – people in regional areas would like to see ongoing engagement and education about public transport in the regions.

By generally promoting public transport and its benefits, more people will use the services.

A consistent theme throughout the regions was that it’s not all about getting to Melbourne. There is a need to focus on improving public transport within and between the regions. Regional centres offer a host of educational, work, medical and social opportunities and services. The economic and social boom in regional Victoria would continue to grow with improved access to regional centres.

With an ageing population in the regions, the public transport system needs to support universal access that encourages independence. There are many people in regional communities living with a disability or who are socially disadvantaged – this demographic relies heavily on public transport and the consultation highlighted an opportunity to build confidence in the system by improving access for all.

A number of submissions and workshops talked about freight, its relationship to public transport and the need to consider industry. The topic was particularly prevalent in the north of the state where regional communities rely heavily on the rail and road freight industry to get their goods to market.
While people in Gippsland told us they appreciated their current public transport services, there was strong feedback that existing services could be improved. People would like to see more frequent services within the current timetable, but also more services running earlier/later in the day. Overcrowding on the train is an issue, with people wanting more services and more connections, particularly to smaller towns.

Participants commented that they really valued the train service from Melbourne to Bairnsdale, noting that Bairnsdale was without a train service between 1993 and 2004. Travelling on trains is generally seen to be a comfortable and relaxing way to travel. They valued their bus services too and there were suggestions for smaller, flexible bus services rather than traditional services to get people to where they needed to go. People also provided good feedback about the conductors and other frontline staff running the system.

“Staff are friendly, courteous and competent.”

“We need a more frequent train shuttle between Gippsland towns.”

Population: 259,816*

“Train between Melbourne and Bairnsdale (via Traralgon).”

“Coaches service the townships of Traralgon, Moe-Yallourn, Morwell, Warragul, Sale, Bairnsdale, Drouin, Wonthaggi, Lakes Entrance, Maffra, Leongatha and Churchill. All of these locations also have a town bus service, except for Leongatha.”

*Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics census data 2011
## TOP FIVE PRIORITIES IDENTIFIED IN GIPPSLAND

### Reliability and capacity
- Better reliability on the train service to Melbourne – not getting stuck behind metropolitan trains
- Improving the long journey time to Melbourne – having fewer stops on the line and fixing up the Avon River bridge

### Frequency
- More frequent services for the current timetable, particularly in the outbound direction
  - This would alleviate overcrowding
  - Faster service to Melbourne with fewer stops on the line
- More services in major regional centres
  - More frequent services between Traralgon, Sale and Bairnsdale

### Timetabling
- Longer operating hours – services that start earlier and finish later.
  - Many mentioned about getting to Melbourne for an event and wanting to be able to then catch the train home after the event

### Connectivity/services
- Improving connections between Gippsland towns, including between South Gippsland and the Latrobe Valley
- Improving integration of services – trains and buses (currently limited coordination) and taxis
- More frequent bus services between regional centres and smaller towns (could be smaller flexible services to meet local needs)
  - Connecting people with medical centres, retail and educational institutions (including weekends)
  - Use school buses during the day for town services
- Reinstating train services to South Gippsland

### Ticketing
- Having to buy both paper ticket and myki (for some journeys) is confusing.
  Need better integration of ticketing.

Other issues included:
- Facilities
  - Improve bus shelter facilities, toilets at stations, car parking – people have to travel by car to get to the station
- Lack of bus services between towns and regional centres
- People travelling with bicycles
  - Allowing bikes on buses would improve service integration, allow people to access local bike trails.

"I don’t have a car so I rely on public transport. I really enjoy catching the bus. I know most of the people on the bus these days and I know the drivers. Overall I think public transport in the area is good but the most annoying thing is that the bus timetables don’t match up. There is a lot of waiting around for connections if you want to get to the surrounding towns."

– Mark, Morwell
Many participants in the Grampians region raised positive aspects of existing services such as the helpfulness of V/Line staff and bus drivers and the use of modern, comfortable trains and buses. People generally liked using public transport – particularly train services – noting that it is the preferred way to travel. Many workshop participants noted that travelling by train is quicker than driving.

“Great relaxed way to travel on either a bus or train.”

“Trains are clean and comfortable.”

While the community recognised there were good public transport services in the region, there was a belief that these could still be improved. One of the most common concerns raised was around frequency and reliability of services, both on the Ballarat train line and for buses between regional centres and smaller towns. These services were about connecting people with medical centres, educational facilities and events, particularly on weekends.

Communities were also very much in favour of better use of existing transport resources i.e. school buses and other community transport, to provide greater flexibility and choice in services around and between towns.

“Community transport programs can work well... and would work better with support.”
TOP FIVE PRIORITIES IDENTIFIED IN THE GRAMPIANS

Frequency
- More frequent services on the Ballarat line, including from Bacchus Marsh. People felt more frequent services would help to reduce overcrowding and improve comfort levels
- More train services to Maryborough and Ararat to boost tourism, jobs and economic development
- Reinstating passenger rail services past Ararat to Horsham

Reliability
- More frequent services for current timetable, particularly in the outbound direction – Improve reliability on Ballarat line

Communication
- Improve information and communication on services – more frequent, current information

Connectivity/services
- Provide more bus services between rural and regional towns
- More bus services to Bendigo, Ballarat and Maryborough
- Opening up rail lines between the regions
- Improve connections between bus and train services
- More bus services to connect people with medical services in regional centres and Melbourne, educational institutions and for sporting events and tourism opportunities, particularly on weekends
- Improve connectivity to Horsham and Mt Gambier
- Use school buses during the day – improve local connections around town for the elderly
- Better connections to support tourism – currently there’s no bus service for Horsham-Halls Gap-Grampians Peaks Trail

Timetabling
- Longer operating hours – services that start earlier and finish later so people can get to work/school/university and social events earlier and come home later in evening.

Other issues included:
- Bus stop shelters, improved footpaths for greater accessibility
- Transport links – good links would improve liveability in small communities
- Ticket prices – significant price difference Melbourne–Ballarat; Melbourne–Bacchus Marsh
- On-board train facilities – providing WiFi and better luggage storage.

“I ride my bike anywhere I need to go unless it’s raining. I like catching the bus as well. The drivers are really chatty and friendly and it only costs me $1.10 for two hours. I also catch the bus down to Melbourne with my bike in a box in the luggage space. If I had a car I would still catch the bus every now and then, just to have a chat to the drivers. I’d still catch the bus to Melbourne – petrol is too expensive.”
- Reece, Horsham
The community in the Hume region value existing services – the frequency of train services between Seymour and Melbourne attracted positive comments from people in nearby towns. However, there was a strong sense that train services in Hume are lacking compared with what is offered on the commuter lines between Melbourne and Ballarat, Bendigo, Geelong and Traralgon.

Another main concern was the need for more local bus services within major centres and services to smaller towns, particularly connecting east to west. Where those services do exist, residents wanted the frequency increased. Passengers also raised concerns about both the frequency and timetabling of services to and from Melbourne, with requests for later services.

“Later trains required from Melbourne on weekends.”

“The need for much better cross-regional connectivity, east west, not just to Melbourne.”

“Connecting small regional towns together not just large cities.”

*Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics census data 2011*
TOP FIVE PRIORITIES IDENTIFIED IN HUME

Reliability
- Greater reliability of services; improving the long journey time and on-board experience when travelling to Melbourne by train

Frequency
- More frequent services – suggestions to run shuttle services between Shepparton and Seymour and also Albury and Seymour to achieve greater frequency

Timetabling
- Longer operating hours – services that start earlier and finish later
  - Many mentioned about getting to Melbourne for an event and wanting to be able to then catch the train home after the event.

Connectivity
- Better east-west connections within the region
- Need for more connections to Melbourne
- Need for better/more cross-regional connections and services i.e. services between Shepparton and surrounds to Bendigo

Facilities
- Better toilets at stations and on trains
- More car parking at stations
- Improved signage and lighting at stations
- Better food service on trains
- Cleaner trains
- Help people to use their time productively on trains by providing WiFi and powerpoints.

Other issues included:
- Unified ticketing system instead of both paper tickets and myki
- High fare costs
- Access to public transport for people with disability or mobility issues
  - Don’t feel there is easy and safe access i.e. steep ramps, stairs
  - Difficult when service is changed from train to coach
- Improve ways to travel with bicycles
  - Can’t take on a coach (if service changes)
  - Some services don’t accept bicycles – not good for accessing local bike trails and tourism
- Provide flexible and innovative community transport options
  - Making better use of existing resources like school buses
  - Use community buses for ‘once a week’ services.

“Coaches make train travel hard for people with a disability.”

“Use school buses and drivers between school times.”
The feedback received throughout the Loddon Mallee region was particularly diverse. This is not surprising given the size of the region and the characteristic differences between the major centres of Bendigo, Swan Hill, Echuca and Mildura. A consistent theme across the region was that people would like to see increased linkages between smaller towns and regional centres. Essentially there was a call for greater coverage and frequency of public transport services to improve travel within the region, rather than focussing on getting people to Melbourne. Many still recognised that it was important to get to people to and from Melbourne – particularly young people who may be living away from home for study or work purposes.

There was a great level of enthusiasm for public transport in Bendigo where people felt there was an opportunity to make public transport the number one choice for travel. Also, people felt there would be substantial benefits to considering ‘active transport’ – walking and cycling – as part of the region’s public transport planning, particularly in Mildura and Bendigo.

The need for long-term planning was a key theme raised within the region – thinking ahead to ensure public transport planning is linked to land use planning and expected growth trends.

**Breakdown by Region**

**Loddon Mallee**

The following major towns have access to coach services: Bendigo, Castlemaine, Echuca, Gisborne, Kyabram, Kyneton, Swan Hill, Gisborne. All have a town bus service, excluding Kyabram.

---

*Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics census data 2011*
### Top Five Priorities Identified in Loddon Mallee

#### Connectivity/services
- Improve linkages and connections between rural towns and centres in the region, particularly between Bendigo and other regional cities and towns
- Improve frequency and coverage of local bus services, including linkages and routes to community services, local hospitals, schools, train stations or retail outlets (particularly relevant in Echuca)
  - Could use school buses for local services during the day
  - Services that allowed people to access work, universities as well as community infrastructure were seen as vital
- Improve linkages to Mildura airport (including buses and taxis) in terms of frequency, availability and cost
- Extend service times and improve service connections
- Open up rail lines between the regions and to Mildura

#### Reliability and capacity
- Reliability of train services to Melbourne
  - Additional train carriages to boost capacity
- Improving journey time to Melbourne

#### Frequency
- Improve frequency or connection times for links to other towns and cities in the region
- Increased train services on the Swan Hill and Echuca lines
  - This would help to generate tourism for the river towns
  - Many rural people will drive up to two hours to Bendigo to catch the train to Melbourne, because of greater frequency of train services from Bendigo to Melbourne

#### Timetabling
- Linking trains and bus timetables – problem in all areas – connections are missing, particularly in Echuca
- Longer operating hours – services that start earlier and finish later
  - Many mentioned about getting to Melbourne for an event and wanting to be able to catch the train home after the event
- More services to cater for demand in the counter peak direction i.e. from Castlemaine into Bendigo

#### Access and equity, infrastructure improvements
- Stations need improving – toilets, shelter, car parks
- Bus stops that lacked shelter
- Access onto buses and between platforms 1 & 2 at Bendigo Station is difficult
- Toilets on the coach to Melbourne not easy for aged to use
- Access for people with a disability on buses is difficult (when trains are cancelled)

Other issues included:
- Rail freight – 23-tonne axle loading not just for passenger rail but for freight for the Mildura line.
- Ticketing – myki works well and is convenient where available but needs to be rolled out state-wide
- Improve information and communication around services
- Tourism – many respondents stated their local area needs to be seen as a destination, and that services should not only be designed for commuters
- For Castlemaine, commuter connection now at Footscray instead of North Melbourne is inconvenient
- Cross border issues make it difficult for operators.

“Access to platform 2 in Bendigo is difficult with pram/wheelchair/ lots of luggage.”

“I have about 50 itinerant workers and it’s hard for them to get around if they don’t have a car. There’s a bus service that runs down the highway but that’s 3km away. The farms on the highway find it easier to get workers because they can just use the bus. An “on demand” service would really work around here.”

– Tim (farmer), Mildura
The Barwon South West region is home to Victoria’s largest regional centre, Geelong. Given the size of Geelong and its surrounding suburbs, the feedback centered on offering a more commuter or suburban service for getting to and from Melbourne and also around Geelong itself. Recent changes to bus routes around Geelong were a key focus. Participants expressed concern about the changes while others provided positive feedback. A review is underway to determine the effectiveness of the new routes.

While trains are relatively frequent between Geelong and Melbourne, those living in townships beyond Geelong are advocating for more frequent services. This could be realised by introducing a shuttle service between Geelong and Warrnambool to boost the current offering of three daily return passenger services to four in the short-term and five in the long-term.

Close to half of the participants’ comments and responses related to issues of service frequency, carrying capacity, geographic coverage and public transport operating hours. Those from areas outside Geelong said it was difficult to make a day-trip to Melbourne.

Nearly 300 people attended the workshops

- Portland: 42
- Geelong: 17
- Warrnambool: 23
- Torquay: 6

*Source: Australian Bureau of Statistics census data 2011
### Top Five Priorities Identified in Barwon South West

#### Frequency
- Increased frequency of train services between Geelong and Warrnambool
- More frequent train services on weekends
- More frequent town bus services

#### Timetabling
- Related to this issue were timetables which did not meet the needs of people travelling for business or medical reasons who needed to arrive at a specific time.
- Extending bus operating hours so there are services available earlier in the morning and later in the evening, as well as weekends

#### Services/Connectivity
- Local bus routes, particularly where there have been changes, so the elderly can access medical appointments, shops and other services
- More inter-city bus services i.e. getting people from Portland to Warrnambool to access education and health services
- Coverage between the coastal towns (Anglesea etc.) to transport and shopping hubs such as Waurn Ponds
- Some participants identified the need for greater and more frequent connections between regional cities and towns, especially between Hamilton, Warrnambool and the coastal towns as well as to Ballarat
- Some suggested that additional services should be provided on a seasonal basis (to support tourism) and for special events
- Connections between buses and trains are poor. People would drive to Geelong to take a train to Melbourne rather than take public transport for the whole trip

#### Public Transport Experience
- On-train issues raised included unclean toilets, poor quality food and drinks, outdated carriages, lack of WiFi access and storage and security of luggage
- Issues concerning stations included security concerns, inadequate parking, general maintenance and toilet facilities
- Shortage of adequate bus shelters as well as security of bus shelters

#### Communications
- There was also a need for better information about timetables, ticketing and communications about changes and disruptions.

Other issues included:
- Unified ticketing system instead of both paper tickets and myki
- Access
  - While not mentioned as often as some other issues, there was a need for better access for people with disabilities. Motorised scooters/wheelchairs often do not fit through train doors or on buses. However, this varies – some say there is good access to buses for the disabled or elderly.

“Increase frequency of Bellarine town bus services including weekends.”

“Pre 9.00am arrival in Melbourne suits people on business trips more than after 9.30am.”

“People who need to use a ‘walker’ cannot enter the current rail carriage (door too narrow).”

“Cannot get disability scooter on trains.”
THERE HAS BEEN EXTENSIVE MEDIA INTEREST AND COVERAGE OF THE REGIONAL NETWORK DEVELOPMENT PLAN ILLUSTRATING THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS ENGAGEMENT TO REGIONAL COMMUNITIES.

Many regional newspapers that have covered the topic of public transport extensively include the Ballarat Courier, Bendigo Advertiser, Border Mail, Geelong Advertiser, Shepparton News, Sunraysia Daily, Wangaratta Chronicle as well as papers in the Gippsland area. In addition, coverage has been generated in smaller local papers as well as through radio and regional television.

Generally, the coverage has focused on the fact that regional Victorians are being presented with a chance to have their say, discuss their issues, raise concerns and voice ideas on how to improve their public transport services. The coverage has also focused on key issues and advocacy campaigns for the different regions such as bus timetabling in Geelong, reliability issues on the north-east train service, the Shepparton All Aboard campaign, the operation of the Ballarat line and the need for more passenger train services in the south-west.

Coverage has also focused on the fact that the Regional Network Development Plan is looking at the short, medium and long-term priorities for regional public transport services. The amount of media coverage illustrates the importance of this engagement process to local communities and that they will be extremely interested to hear the progress going forward with the Regional Network Development Plan.

The RTAG has been instrumental in raising awareness of the Regional Network Development Plan in their regional communities, particularly through the media.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Newspaper</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Location, News Category</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ballarat Courier</td>
<td>Rail Future to Be Discussed on Monday</td>
<td>Ballarat VIC, General News – 17 Jul 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wimmera Mail Times</td>
<td>Regional Transport: Region to Respond</td>
<td>Horsham VIC, General News – 20 Jul 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geelong Advertiser</td>
<td>Regional Transport in Focus</td>
<td>Geelong, VIC, General News – 20 May 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepburn Shire Advocate</td>
<td>Meeting Looks at Services in Shire</td>
<td>Hepburn VIC, General News – 22 Jul 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wangaratta Chronicle</td>
<td>Get on Track to Public Forum</td>
<td>Wangaratta VIC, General News – 31 Jul 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wangaratta Chronicle</td>
<td>Another Chance to Have a Say</td>
<td>Wangaratta VIC, General News – 07 Aug 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seymour Telegraph</td>
<td>What You Think is Important</td>
<td>Seymour VIC, General News – 12 Aug 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX 1
### WORKSHOP DATES AND LOCATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GIPPSLAND</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warragul</td>
<td>23 June 2015</td>
<td>Sale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bairnsdale</td>
<td>26 June 2015</td>
<td>Bairnsdale*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traralgon*</td>
<td>13 July 2015</td>
<td>Traralgon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traralgon</td>
<td>14 July 2015</td>
<td>Wonthaggi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leongatha</td>
<td>16 July 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LODDON MALLEE</th>
<th></th>
<th>GRAMPIANS</th>
<th></th>
<th>HUME</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>LOCATION</td>
<td>DATE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swan Hill</td>
<td>21 August 2015</td>
<td>Ballarat*</td>
<td>20 July 2015</td>
<td>Shepparton*</td>
<td>30 July 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mildura</td>
<td>24 August 2015</td>
<td>Maryborough</td>
<td>21 July 2015</td>
<td>Seymour</td>
<td>7 August 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castlemaine</td>
<td>25 August 2015</td>
<td>Ararat</td>
<td>22 July 2015</td>
<td>Benalla</td>
<td>10 August 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echuca*</td>
<td>26 August 2015</td>
<td>Horsham</td>
<td>27 July 2015</td>
<td>Wangaratta*</td>
<td>11 August 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echuca</td>
<td>26 August 2015</td>
<td>Hamilton</td>
<td>28 July 2015</td>
<td>Wangaratta</td>
<td>11 August 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendigo</td>
<td>27 August 2015</td>
<td>Bacchus Marsh</td>
<td>13 August 2015</td>
<td>Wodonga</td>
<td>12 August 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendigo*</td>
<td>28 August 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### BARWON SOUTH WEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Portland</td>
<td>31 August 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geelong*</td>
<td>4 September 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrnambool</td>
<td>8 September 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warrnambool*</td>
<td>9 September 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colac</td>
<td>9 September 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geelong</td>
<td>10 September 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torquay</td>
<td>11 September 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OTHER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melbourne*</td>
<td>3 September 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Denotes a stakeholder workshop
Thank you for completing this survey

Please take a moment to think about your travel needs and what the priorities are for improving public transport in your region.

Please submit your response by 11 September 2015.

Name (optional)

Email address (optional)

Address (optional)

Town/Suburb (please provide)

Postcode (please provide)

Please circle your age group (optional):
- 11 or below
- 12-17
- 18-25
- 26-30
- 31-55
- 56-65
- 66-75
- 76-84
- 85+

What is the most important trip you need to make using public transport?

From

To

Do you need a return journey?
- Yes – same day
- Yes – next day
- Yes – 2 or more days later
- No – a return journey isn’t needed

What is the purpose of that trip?
- Work
- Shopping
- Taking children to school
- To go to university or TAFE
- Visiting friends/family
- Accessing medical services/banking/post office
- Attending events (e.g. sports, concerts)
- Other (please state)

What day/days do you, or would you, make that trip? (circle all that apply)
- Sun
- Mon
- Tue
- Wed
- Thu
- Fri
- Sat

How often do you, or would you, make that trip?

Does the public transport network currently meet the travel need you have identified above?
- Yes
- No
- Don’t know

If no, please explain why?

What do you think are the top three priorities for public transport in your area, and why?

1.

2.

3.

Do you have any other ideas about how public transport in your area could be improved?

1.

2.

3.