

**Christianne Andonovski**

---

**From:** Inquiry into Drug Law Reform [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 22 February 2017 3:04 AM  
**To:** LRRCS  
**Subject:** New Submission to Inquiry into Drug Law Reform

Inquiry Name: Inquiry into Drug Law Reform

Mr. Don Humphries  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

-  
-

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**SUBMISSION CONTENT:**

--

Drugs of Addiction

=====  
What is the ideal “consumer”? Surely, someone who cannot resist buying your “goods”!  
And the drug-addict is just such a person.  
Once a person’s body incorporates a drug, the body then demands it.  
Addicts will shop-lift, mug, burglarise, etc, to satisfy their craving.  
Legal drug addiction exists in the case of nicotine (cigarettes) and alcohol (beer, wine, and spirits).  
Prohibition didn’t work with alcohol, so why expect it to work with other drugs?  
But how to cope with, e.g. Heroin, cocaine, opium, amphetamines, etc?  
Perhaps the following is one answer:  
1) Abolish Prohibition. That is, make all drugs legal.  
2) Regulation – as to purity of product; who is licensed to distribute/sell.  
3) Government competitor#; i.e. the government is also a distributor, at a cheap price; but an addict is then expected to engage in a reform program, and be registered.  
4) Prosecution of illegal dealers/distributors; also, of addicts who commit crimes.  
5) Education, as with smoking and drinking; “plain packaging”, if needed.  
Such rules might seem too “liberal” or radical but may result in real reform.

=====  
# What does Private Enterprise fear most? A Govt Competitor! USA Health Insurance demanded removal of this from Obamacare; and, in Australia, privatising Medibank Private was a bad move.

--

File1:

File2:

File3: