

From: [Inquiry into the Retirement Housing Sector POV eSubmission Form](#)
To: [LSIC](#)
Subject: New Submission to Inquiry into the Retirement Housing Sector
Date: Tuesday, 28 June 2016 11:36:46 AM

Inquiry Name: Inquiry into the Retirement Housing Sector

Norma Boehm
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SUBMISSION CONTENT:

--

I am a resident living in a retirement Village and these are the matters I wish to bring to your attention as to what us as residents have had to endure over the years.

If there had been an ombudsman in the industry many of these things would not have happened or they would have been resolved quicker and at far less cost.

Stormwater drains and pits – Contract ambiguity

Structure has not been done correctly when you remove the lid there are no bottoms on the pits. The pits have to be rebuilt and I believe that this contributes to the flooding within the village into the units. This coupled with inadequate drains means that my place floods. Each time I have to get my carpet dried, mildew forms and causes more issues I should not have to live this way. I am lucky that the Association has paid to fix the issues but the problem comes about because of the defective workmanship that has resulted in these problems. The village is over 38 years old the draining system is too old especially as it is outdated plumbing system, the system needs to be renewed surely this is an Owner responsibility as the system is unable to handle the flow of water flowing through with all the development around the village.

Car Parking– Contract ambiguity

We have 206 units in our village, we have 4 car parks for our visitors, if we have the hairdressers and doctors onsite it means that there is only 2 spots left for all of us residents. The corporate owner has to give permission for the village to put the car parks in but the Association is expected to pay for the car parks. Surely this is an owners responsibility. They were on the original plan so the owner should have put them on the site when in development it is clearly capital works the outcome for me is I can not have visitors or services to my unit and this is clearly unacceptable and why should I be made to pay for capital works. An Ombudsman may be able to apply pressure to fix this problem at our village.

Power boxes/Meter Boxes – Contract ambiguity

For many years the corporate owner would not replace the power box/meter boxes in

the village. The Village is 38 years old. We have seen many fires within the panels residents are expected to pay out of their levy to replace. It escalated then SP AUSNET issued a defect notice and that meant that the power to the village was to be switched off. The village was set to pay to remedy this and the Committee had to fight to get the Corporate Owner to pay by threatening them with VCAT. The Owner hid behind its ambiguous contract and taking the view it wasn't their responsibility. The Owner has now paid to repair but not before legal/consultancy costs were incurred by the village.

An Ombudsman would have been able to help a resolve the matter in a timely manner without the need for legal costs paid to force the Owner to do what it is legally required to do.

Cracking in walls and ceiling inside and out 2006-2016 – Contract ambiguity

The Contract states that I am responsible for the inside of my house. That is all well and good but the workmanship I faulty to start .As a leaseholder , where does the repair stop and the structure begin. How big has a crack got to be, I can see daylight, there is a draft..is that enough?. This has worried me ever since I came in, there have been cracks on the outside of units including mine. I came into the village to enjoy my life what was eft I worry how bad the cracks have to be to be fixed, how long do I have to pay for hefting before it is fixed? The Committee of Management have battled with this for years and finally in 2016 they has accepted responsibility and fixed the problems after the village engaged a Consultant to help the Committee fight for a fair outcome. An ombudsman means I would have been able to state my case and have a fair hearing and hopefully some action within a shorter period of time.

Footpaths– Contract ambiguity

Our village is unsafe, there are not enough paths for us to walk on and we have to walk on the narrow roads, the paths are so old they are a tripping hazard and need to be replaced. I worry that I'm not as good on my legs as I once was and if I fall I will do extensive damage to myself. I know the Committee of Management does the best it can sanding down the areas but the paths need to be replaced and due to the cost the corporate owner doesn't address the issues and nothing gets done. I like to walk and I find that this has impeded by health as I cannot safety walk around the village.

Roads – Contract ambiguity

It is up the village and us as residents to keep the roads repaired in the Village. Replacement Assets re the Owners Responsibility as residents in villages like this are the tenants. Why does the Association and residents have to go to such elaborate measures to get the Owner to do what it is require to do. The Committee were told by Council it had to build a roundabout in the village the owner refused to pay so the village incurred the cost of constructing a makeshift roundabout to satisfy the council request. It was an eyesore but effective and had the desired effect of getting the owner to fix and redo the section. An ombudsman would have been able to resolve this matter without the wasting money. Most of us are on fixed incomes money is important and waste is unacceptable.

--

File1:

File2:

File3:

