

From: [REDACTED]
To: [CSO; planninginquiry](#)
Subject: Nina Earl amendment to submission of 31 Jan - Inquiry into the protections within the Victorian Planning Framework
Date: Thursday, 10 February 2022 5:49:24 PM

Legislative Council Environment and Planning Committee

Dear Parliamentarians

Further to my submission below, please may I make two additions as follows:

a) A requirement for all local and state planning authorities or agencies for their decisions to include avoidance/mitigation/consideration of *climate-related inundation impacts/predicted modelling* on any building or infrastructure development in flood-prone areas. (Broadly related to Point 5 in my submission below.)

b) A requirement for all local and state planning authorities or agencies for their decisions to include avoidance/mitigation/consideration of the *impact on human health* of occupants in new buildings adjacent to/within or close to a *transmission band of electromagnetic radiation from mobile phone towers of 3G, 4G, 5G, 6G and more*. (Missing in my submission below.)

These additions follow a Notice of Decision from the City of Kingston, received by me today, of their planning approval on the 31st January for KP-2021/339 at 557-561 Main Street Mordialloc:

- the former Pompei Boatworks site adjacent to Mordialloc Creek harbour on flood and storm surge prone Pompeis Landing;
- a three-level mixed-use complex of 11 apartments and 52 seat restaurant, effectively four-levels because of a 2.4m ground floor level with 3m high entrances, requiring massive landfill on a frequently flooded site;
- presence of a Telstra pole emitting 5G radiation on the edge of the development site close to habitable rooms.

Kingston Council, perhaps all local governments, are not required to consider climate-related inundation for this or any development in a flood prone area; nor the impact of mobile phone tower emissions on human health of any adjacent new development and refer such matters to a telecommunications authority that do not consider planning, health or social impacts.

Thus, I would like these two additions to be considered in this Inquiry, and to be included/adequately covered in a revised Planning and Environment Act.

Thank you in advance for your consideration.
Yours faithfully,
Nina Earl

Subject: Thank you for your submission
Date: Mon, 31 Jan 2022 22:50:02 +1100
From: Parliament of Victoria - Committees csso@parliament.vic.gov.au

Dear Nina,

Thank you for your interest in our Inquiry into the protections within the Victorian Planning Framework. Your submission has been received and a summary is included at the end of this email.

Once the Committee formally accepts your submission, it will be published on the Committee's website and protected by parliamentary privilege. However, if you choose to publish your submission elsewhere, that publication will not be covered by this privilege.

Please note that when your submission is published, your contact details (address and phone numbers) will be removed and won't be made public. Any requests for confidentiality will be reviewed by the Committee.

If you are interested in receiving updates on the progress of the inquiry, please email news@parliament.vic.gov.au, and request 'Inquiry into the protections within the Victorian Planning Framework' inquiry updates. To receive all news alerts from the Parliament of Victoria, including news alerts for all parliamentary committee inquiries, email news@parliament.vic.gov.au and ask for 'all news alerts'. You can also check the Committee's website for updates, or contact the Committee Secretariat if you have any further questions.

Inquiry into the protections within the Victorian Planning Framework

Mrs Nina Earl

CONTACT DETAILS

Phone:

Email:

Organisation Name:

Organisation Postion:

Address

Suburb:

State: VIC

Postcode:

Your age group:

{age:value}

YOUR SUBMISSION

Submission:

Dear Parliamentarians

As a citizen and conservation volunteer for the natural and built environment, I value the livability of places for nature and people and advocate for them when I can.

Thus, I respectfully request the following considerations for this enquiry:

- 1) Priority given to considering the environmental impacts of all types of development in all decision-making by all levels of government and agencies.
- 2) Protect native flora and fauna habitats and ensure connectivity between habitats and through urban landscapes.
- 3) Strengthen native vegetation clearing rules, with less reliance on native vegetation offsets, which is unreliable compensation for removing native vegetation because offsets are often remote from the removal site and conditions can be difficult to replicate.
- 4) Mandate 70% local native vegetation in all landscaping, and no introduced invasive plants.
- 5) All developments setback from coastlines and waterways, at least 20m or more depending upon landscape, to ensure space for nature and green recreation for people.
- 6) Strengthen protection of natural and built heritage to retain habitats, livability and character of places in Metropolitan Melbourne and Victoria as a whole.
- 7) Protect Green Wedges and the Urban Growth Boundary.
- 8) Mandate a percentage of green landscape per lot with space for canopy trees, including landscaped setbacks for block buildings.
- 9) Mandate new dwellings/buildings to be climate-friendly with optimal orientation, roof eaves, verandahs, light colours, insulation, window covers, natural ventilation rather than air-conditioning, solar panels, green landscaping with canopy trees.
- 10) Get tough on illegal demolitions and removal of trees or native vegetation.
- 11) Mandatory not discretionary height limits with upper setbacks if necessary, so that no property, public or green space is unfairly overshadowed.
- 12) Mandatory affordable housing in all new developments.
- 13) All new dwellings to have separate not shared access, covered from the elements as needed, to reduce spread of pandemics/infections.
- 14) Local government to retain input with Ministerial call-ins.
- 15) Reduce the unfair cost of VCAT appeals for the public.
- 16) Promote housing as a place to live, rather than investment that drives up prices.
- 17) Encourage manufacturing to drive the economy rather than over-reliance on property development.
- 18) Promote public transport to reduce reliance on private vehicles and ever more roads, which pollute the air, scar the landscape, and is a poor use of land. The Mordialloc Freeway and the Suburban Rail Loop stabling yards are particularly damaging to the Kingston Green Wedge and local environments, the stabling yards ought to be relocated out of the green wedge.
- 19) Existing wide roads could have light rail.
- 20) Review population growth, limits to growth of a place are needed, people will go elsewhere, consider the carrying capacity of this land that has never been assessed.

Thank you for the opportunity to contribute.

Yours faithfully, Nina Earl

Recipient of Victorian Environmental Friends Network 2021 Best Friend Award for landcare in Victoria.

Are you interested in appearing before the committee in person to talk about your submission?

Yes

FILE ATTACHMENTS

File1:

File2:

File3:

Confidentiality:

Signature:

Nina Earl

=====

Yours sincerely

Legislative Council Environment and Planning Committee

t: 03 8682 2869

e: planninginquiry@parliament.vic.gov.au