

Inquiry into the protections within the Victorian Planning Framework

Mr John Locco

Organisation Name:
Your position or role:

YOUR SUBMISSION

Submission:

Well, it seems to me that most of us love old homes and buildings.
It seems to me society has a value on what we describe as Heritage.
But who is paying for it?

It, heritage is there for almost all to enjoy and reflect upon. But upon whom does the burden of providing it fall?

It seems to me that the burden of providing the Heritage value for all falls fairly and squarely upon the “lucky” owner of a so called Heritage home/ building.

Now personally I am being swamped by three story apartments in my street, Male Street, Brighton. The street scape is being obliterated!

Where once next to me was a single home which someone decided was of no heritage merit, there is now 14 apartments well under construction.

I am being surrounded, living with all the associated problems of added traffic etc.

Meanwhile my neighbours are nicking off with the developers price for their land in their pockets, whilst others are trapped in a different sometimes non existent market for their properties.
I think you get my drift!

Heritage laws are making two different classes of persons under the law, one class / group that can deal with developers and under class that cannot.

It's a transfer of equity sponsored by society's want of Heritage. That is unsustainable, for many obvious reasons.

Now I am Male Street's longest living resident, I do not want to sell, I want to go out of here in my pine box.

So in that context I am saying this:

If it is good for the goose it's good for the other sex as well!

Same rules for all.. if you want it badly enough like everything you have to pay the price, etc etc

Cheers
John locco

FILE ATTACHMENTS

File1:
File2:
File3:

Signature:

John Iocco