

[REDACTED]

From: Inquiry into the Impact of Animal Rights Activism on Victorian Agriculture
Sent: [REDACTED]
To: Tueday, 9 July 2019 1:04 PM
aglawsinquiry
Subject: New Submission to Inquiry into the Impact of Animal Rights Activism on Victorian Agriculture

Inquiry Name: Inquiry into the Impact of Animal Rights Activism on Victorian Agriculture

Miss traudy glasencnik

T: [REDACTED]
E: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SUBMISSION CONTENT:

--

Dear Inquiry Members,
Exploiting and abusing helpless animals is big business. Exposing the public to the many abuses considered legal or not is not an easy task due to the lack of transparency within such industries and the industries fear of public discourse when the truth is known. Why has exposing the truth become a crime? When the truth of what has been exposed is a crime in itself, indicative to jail time and monetary fines. If a caring individual takes it upon themselves to non violently, adhering to biodiversity laws find a way to create public awareness of what is actually happening on animal agricultural farms, why are they considered to be doing the wrong thing? Would the law or public consider a different view of the circumstances if the victim were a human child as apposed to a sentient calf, why the difference, abuse is abuse? I understand the law of trespass on to ones property and individuals who choose to trespass will need to consider the consequences when making such choices, however, why should there be a different law for a farm trespass to any other property trespass? If industry practices remain hidden and industry practice discussions clandestine, are we the public making our own choices or are industries making them for us? I as a person believe that it is my right to decided on the industries I support, therefore want to all industries to be as transparent, held accountable and honest under the law, then there would be no need for any of the above trespass activities.
Yours Sincerly,
Traudy Glasencnik

--

File1:

File2:

File3: