

[REDACTED]

From: Inquiry into the Impact of Animal Rights Activism on Victorian Agriculture
Sent: [REDACTED]
To: aglawsinquiry
Subject: New Submission to Inquiry into the Impact of Animal Rights Activism on Victorian Agriculture

Inquiry Name: Inquiry into the Impact of Animal Rights Activism on Victorian Agriculture

Mr Jason Pincini

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SUBMISSION CONTENT:

--

Having worked on 2 large properties, in the past - one selling a 1st draft of 1000 lambs to Coles ,before studying business management, I am dismayed to see the total lack of action against protestors, in relation to bio-security and interfering with a lawful enterprise. The \$1 fine imposed was a blight on our judicial system, and the sanction should have been equitable -Unions who interrupt a lawful enterprise without court protection are liable for all losses arising as a result. "We are all equal before the law" . How are the "executive " of these groups not fully liable for financial losses and psychological harm they cause ?? - The contract requirements relating to animal husbandry standards imposed by large buyers such as Coles on lambs purchased are far in excess of the minimum requirements drawn up by animal welfare organisations such as the RSPCA and enforced by statute . For example the feedlots have mandated certified HGP free status for all cattle purchased since the early/mid 1990's if not earlier (contrary to what the marketing hype might indicate as the feedlots supplying the supermarkets allegedly used a short cycle HGP on cattle purchased until much more recently)Enforcement of available penalties needs to be equitably applied to offenders on both sides of the debate : Jason Pincini

--

File1:

File2:

File3: