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Audit summary

Background

Fraud is the crime of obtaining financial or another benefit by deception. The impact of fraud on councils and their communities can be significant. It can disrupt business continuity, reduce the quality and effectiveness of critical services, and threaten the financial stability of a council. It can also damage a council’s public image and reputation.

Each year, local government collects $7 billion in operating revenues, expends $6 billion, and manages assets with a total value of $60 billion. These significant resources are at risk if councils are not active, vigilant and effective in dealing with the risk of fraud.

The Local Government Act 1989 (the Act) requires councils to develop and maintain adequate internal control systems. An effective fraud control framework is widely recognised as a critical element of such systems. The Australian Standard AS8001–2008 on fraud and corruption control, and other related good practice guides, identify the key elements of an effective fraud control framework. These include:

- a fraud control plan documenting an entity’s fraud prevention, detection and response initiatives
- periodic and comprehensive assessment of an entity’s fraud risks
- documented policies and procedures for dealing with suspected fraud, including protection for whistleblowers
- a sound ethical culture supported by a code of conduct
- regular fraud awareness training to maintain staff awareness of related policies and procedures
- internal audits of the effectiveness of fraud controls and of related procedures
- dedicated controls for activities with a high fraud risk exposure.

Our 2008 report Local Government: Results of the 2006–07 audits undertook a high level review of fraud management practices across all 79 Victorian councils. It found that approximately one-third of councils had yet to develop a fraud control plan, clearly document their related policies and procedures, or provide management and staff with adequate training on identifying fraud risks.

This audit assessed the effectiveness of fraud prevention strategies at Maroondah City Council, Nillumbik Shire Council, Moira Shire Council, Buloke Shire Council and West Wimmera Shire Council.

We also tested the adequacy of controls for targeted high-risk fraud areas, known to account for the majority of frauds in public sector entities.
Conclusions

The examined councils do not effectively manage their exposure to fraud risk as none have developed a strategic and coordinated approach to controlling fraud. This is concerning given the significant value of the public funds and assets they manage.

While each of the five councils has aspects of a fraud control framework, critical elements are either absent or poorly implemented.

Risk-based fraud control plans do not yet exist at all councils. Coupled with inadequate monitoring of the fraud control framework by management and audit committees, this shows they are not sufficiently vigilant nor effective in dealing with the risk of fraud.

Without a risk-based fraud control plan there is no formal basis to assess whether fraud strategies are soundly based, coordinated, purposely implemented and reviewed.

These shortcomings increase opportunities for fraud and thus put councils’ reputations and limited public funds at risk.

Consequently, the examined councils cannot be assured that their fraud prevention strategies are effective and that all major fraud risks have been adequately mitigated.

Councils, therefore, need to take the issue of fraud more seriously.

The audit’s scope did not extend to undertaking an exhaustive fraud investigation. However, our targeted testing of high-risk areas disclosed a number of internal control deficiencies which further heighten the risk of fraud.

Findings

Limited improvements to fraud management practices since 2008 were evident at most examined councils. These included:

• establishing specific fraud risk registers based on assessments of fraud risk—at Nillumbik and Buloke, with Maroondah in the process of developing one
• issuing a code of conduct for staff, clarifying expected and unacceptable behaviours—at Maroondah, with codes already in place at the remaining councils
• implementing a fraud policy detailing the consequences for fraudulent conduct, including procedures for managing allegations and incidents—at all councils except Moira
• providing training to staff on their responsibilities for managing fraud risk—at Maroondah and Nillumbik.

Although encouraging, these initiatives have not been sufficient to establish an effective fraud control framework at each council.

The following sections highlight key shortcomings identified with existing fraud management arrangements, and the actions required to address them.
Fraud prevention strategies

Fraud control plans, risk assessments and policies

None of the examined councils had developed a fraud control plan, and only two out of five had completed assessments of their fraud risks at the time of the audit. Consequently, the fraud control strategies of all examined councils were neither adequately documented nor, in most cases, informed by a sound understanding of their exposure to fraud risk. Maroondah advised it completed its fraud risk assessments following the audit, and that this would inform its development of a fraud control plan.

Although most councils had developed adequate fraud policies and codes of conduct, the absence of fraud control plans and completed fraud risk assessments demonstrates that, in most cases, these policies were not being effectively implemented or enforced by management.

Further, while all councils relied on contractors and volunteers to deliver key services, only Maroondah had apprised them of its fraud policy. Similarly, none had distributed their code of conduct to contractors, and only Nillumbik had provided it to volunteers.

This reduces assurance that contractors and volunteers at the remaining councils are clear as to what constitutes fraud and ethical behaviour, and what action they should take in the event they suspect a fraud has occurred.

Moira acted to address this during the audit.

Fraud awareness training

With the exception of Nillumbik, none of the examined councils provided adequate fraud training to their staff.

West Wimmera did not provide fraud awareness training to new and existing employees. Additionally, while the remaining councils informed new employees about the existence of their fraud policy and/or code of conduct, this was not adequately supported by further training and awareness-raising initiatives aimed at gaining assurance that staff understand their fraud control responsibilities.

Maroondah began an extensive program of refresher training for existing employees in April 2011 and has since advised it will provide ongoing refresher and induction training for all staff. Moira and Buloke similarly commenced action to deliver fraud training to new and existing employees during the course of the audit.

All councils had yet to establish arrangements for evaluating the adequacy of their fraud training and awareness programs.
Monitoring and oversight

There was significant scope to improve monitoring and oversight of the fraud control framework at all examined councils.

None had established effective arrangements for systematically monitoring and reporting on the performance of their fraud control activities to senior management and the audit committee.

Consequently, the examined councils were unable to demonstrate the effectiveness of their fraud control framework.

Internal audit

All councils, except West Wimmera, had a risk-based internal audit program, however, none systematically reviewed the adequacy of their fraud management arrangements. This, coupled with the absence of completed fraud risk assessments at Maroondah and Moira, offered little assurance that council internal audit programs adequately addressed all major fraud risks.

Moira conducted an audit of its fraud management framework in 2005. While the audit recommended it establish an integrated fraud control and risk management framework, this has yet to occur.

West Wimmera advised it intends to establish an internal audit function in 2013–14.

Audit committee

Audit committees have a key role in monitoring councils’ fraud minimisation and detection programs, including whether there are strong internal controls to effectively manage key fraud risks. However, it was not evident that they actively monitored management’s efforts in implementing fraud prevention strategies.

While all committees received reports and maintained a watching brief on suspected fraud incidents, they did not systematically review the effectiveness of the council’s wider fraud prevention framework.

Further, West Wimmera’s and Maroondah’s audit committees lack sufficient independence from councillors and council staff as neither was comprised of a majority of independent members. This impedes their capacity to effectively scrutinise management actions. Maroondah advised it intends to address this by restructuring its committee’s membership so that the majority are independent.

In addition, none of the councils adequately assessed the performance of their audit committee, and all relied overly on limited reviews of fraud management practices by their insurer. These reviews, however, have inherent limitations and do not provide sufficient assurance that fraud control arrangements are effective.
Internal controls to prevent and detect fraud

No instances of fraud were identified in our targeted testing at the examined councils. However, a number of internal control weaknesses were observed which heighten the risk of fraud. These are outlined below.

Pre-employment screening

All examined councils had recruitment policies and procedures for pre-employment screening. However, none adequately addressed all major fraud risks. Specifically, only Moira and Nillumbik required verification of an applicant’s identity. Similarly, while all councils require a police criminal history check for some roles, only three of the five examined councils applied this to high fraud risk positions.

Reference checks are mandatory at all councils. While they were evident in all cases examined at Moira, they did not occur in 80 per cent of the files examined at Nillumbik, 69 per cent of files at Maroondah, and around half of the files reviewed at both Buloke and West Wimmera. Following the audit, Maroondah, Nillumbik and Buloke advised that practices have since been improved.

Council policies require staff to verify the qualifications of new employees. This was evident in almost all files examined at four of the five councils. However, at Nillumbik, employee qualifications had been verified in only around 20 per cent of the files examined.

Accounts payable

Accounts payable relies on effective segregation of duties around the set-up and maintenance of vendor details to prevent payments to fictitious suppliers. This was the case for Nillumbik and West Wimmera, however, due to limited staffing and resources there was a lack of segregation of duties at the remaining three councils.

Accounts payable staff at these councils can create and edit vendor details as well as enter invoices for payment. Although this increases the opportunity for fraud, both Maroondah and Moira have reasonable compensating controls.

This was not the case at Buloke. While an independent officer signs off on a report of changes to vendor bank details, it is not supported by any documentation substantiating the changes. Without this the council is unable to verify if implemented changes are appropriate and, therefore, easily detect if a fraud has occurred.

Asset management

Maroondah, Nillumbik and Moira had adequate processes to safeguard their assets, however, there is scope for improvement at Buloke and West Wimmera. Neither council maintained an up-to-date and accurate asset register, nor did they assign a unique asset number to all assets so they could be traced. Additionally, West Wimmera did not do regular stocktakes.
These weaknesses create an opportunity for assets to be misappropriated and misused without detection.

**Recommendations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>develop and maintain an up-to-date fraud control plan clearly documenting their fraud prevention, detection and response initiatives and responsibilities</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>conduct thorough, periodic fraud risk assessments to assure they identify and effectively manage all major fraud risk exposures</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>provide induction and periodic fraud awareness training to all council staff, to assure they understand their fraud control responsibilities</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>systematically monitor and report on the effectiveness of their fraud control strategies</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>establish arrangements that assure effective ongoing scrutiny by executive management, internal audit and audit committees, of the effectiveness of the fraud control framework</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>establish effective pre-employment screening processes that provide sufficient assurance over the integrity, identity and credentials of all council staff</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>make sure accounts payable systems have effective preventative and/or compensating controls that adequately mitigate the risk of fraudulent purchases and/or payments</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>maintain accurate and an up-to-date asset registers that are regularly reviewed to mitigate the misuse and/or misappropriation of assets</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>systematically review the operation and effectiveness of all their internal control systems to assure they adequately prevent, deter and detect major frauds.</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Submissions and comments received**

In addition to progressive engagement during the course of the audit, in accordance with section 16(3) of the *Audit Act 1994* a copy of this report was provided to the Department of Planning and Community Development, Maroondah City Council, Nillumbik Shire Council, Moira Shire Council, Buloke Shire Council and West Wimmera Shire Council with a request for submissions or comments.

Agency views have been considered in reaching our audit conclusions and are represented to the extent relevant and warranted in preparing this report. Their full section 16(3) submissions and comments however, are included in Appendix A.
1 Background

1.1 Introduction

Fraud is the crime of obtaining financial or another benefit by deception. The Australian Standard for fraud and corruption control defines fraud as:

‘Dishonest activity causing actual or potential financial loss to any person or entity including theft of moneys or other property by employees or persons external to the entity and where deception is used at the time, immediately before or immediately following the activity. This also includes the deliberate falsification, concealment, destruction or use of falsified documentation used or intended for use for a normal business purpose or the improper use of information or position for personal financial benefit’.

Fraud can be perpetrated by employees, customers, contractors and external service providers, acting alone or in collusion. Research indicates that around 65 per cent of fraud is perpetrated by an employee.

1.1.1 Major frauds by type

There are many different types of fraud. Examples include:

• theft of cash or assets
• unlawful use of equipment including misuse of vehicles, telephones and other property or services
• creating dummy or duplicate vendors to process fraudulent payments
• falsifying expense claims
• obtaining ‘kickbacks’ or bribes from suppliers or contractors
• creating a ‘ghost’ employee and depositing their salary into the fraudster’s bank account
• unauthorised use of a credit card
• disclosure of sensitive or confidential information, with the discloser obtaining some benefit
• falsifying academic or training credentials in an employment application.

Figure 1A and 1B show the incidence and value of major fraud types in Australia and New Zealand based on the aggregated results of KPMG’s biennial fraud and misconduct survey of private and public sector entities from 2006, 2008 and 2010.
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Figure 1A
Proportion of major fraud incidences by type

Source: Victorian Auditor-General’s Office based on information from KPMG’s Fraud and Misconduct Survey 2010, 2008 and 2006, Australia and New Zealand.

Figure 1B
Proportion of the value of major frauds by type

Note: The total combined value of major frauds during the last three reporting periods was $142.6 million.

Source: Victorian Auditor-General’s Office based on information from KPMG’s Fraud and Misconduct Survey 2010, 2008 and 2006, Australia and New Zealand.
KPMG’s survey results show that the theft of cash, followed by the theft of assets, and accounts payable fraud accounted for almost 60 per cent of reported fraud incidents during the last three reporting periods. Similarly, these accounted for 70 per cent of the total value of all reported major frauds.

1.1.2 Fraud in local government

Fraud is a significant problem for private and public sector entities worldwide. However, quantifying the extent and cost of fraud is difficult because of its concealment by perpetrators, and the lack of reliable reporting. The Australian Institute of Criminology (AIC) estimates that for every fraud identified, there are three that go unreported or undetected.

Each year, local government collects $7 billion in operating revenues, expends $6 billion, and manages assets with a total value of $60 billion. These resources are at risk if councils are not active, vigilant and effective in dealing with the risk of fraud.

AIC estimates that all fraud costs the Australian economy around $8.5 billion per year, which includes reported and estimated fraud and associated costs. This represents around a quarter of the total cost of crime nationally. In Victoria, fraud is estimated to cost over $640 million per year.

The incidence and cost of fraud in the Victorian local government sector is unknown as there is no requirement for councils to compile and centrally report this information. However, research by PriceWaterhouseCoopers indicates that government and state-owned enterprises, on average, experienced a higher incidence of fraud than private entities. This suggests that fraud is an issue local government should be vigilant about.

Incidences of fraud in local government

Councils are custodians of significant public funds, and it is important that the public has assurance that these are adequately protected from fraud.

Fraud can adversely impact a council’s ability to meet its legislative obligations and achieve its objectives. It can damage its public image and reputation and adversely impact on business continuity including service delivery and financial stability. A council’s finances may also be significantly impacted by fraud as usually only a small percentage of losses are recovered.

A number of incidences of fraud have occurred recently in Victorian local government:

- More than $377 000 was stolen from inner city council parking meters over a 15-month period from 2007 to 2008. The thefts were committed by contracted employees responsible for collecting the council’s parking revenue. The offences were uncovered when an audit identified discrepancies in the revenue collected. In addition to the stolen money, the council incurred costs of over $76 000, including the repair of meters. The accused were all prosecuted and received sentences ranging from two to four years prison, suspended sentences and community-based orders.
A senior compliance officer at another inner city council took bribes of more $134,000 between 2002 and 2010 from brothel operators. The officer agreed not to investigate breaches and to tip off operators about compliance inspections by the council. The offender was prosecuted and received a 20-month prison sentence.

An accounts payable clerk at another inner city council used 88 blank council cheques to steal a total of $596,868 over a two-year period between February 2005 and March 2007. The theft was found when the council changed computer software and reconciled its cheques. The offender was prosecuted and sentenced to two years and eight months jail.

## 1.2 The regulatory framework

### Local Government Act 1989

The *Local Government Act 1989* commits councils to establishing a code of conduct and an audit committee, and to developing and maintaining adequate internal control systems.

A fraud control framework is widely recognised as a critical element of such systems. Councils therefore have a responsibility to minimise opportunities for fraud, and to respond to inappropriate actions and behaviours.

### Whistleblowers Protection Act 2001

The *Whistleblowers Protection Act 2001* (the Act) facilitates the making of disclosures of improper conduct or detrimental actions by public officers and public bodies. The Act sets out procedures for both disclosure and investigation, and provides protection to a person who makes a disclosure as well as remedies for the person where detrimental action has been taken against them.

Under the Act, each public body is also required to establish written procedures for handling any disclosures.

### Standards and guidelines

The Australian Standard AS8001–2008, Fraud and Corruption Control provides authoritative guidance for organisations wishing to implement a fraud and corruption control program.

Similarly, the Australian National Audit Office’s 2011 better practice guide, *Fraud Control in Australian Government Entities*, provides additional information on the principles and practices for effective control that are relevant to Victorian councils.
Local Government Victoria has also developed several better practice guides for councils that are relevant to fraud management:

- *Framework for the Development and Review of Council Staff Codes of Conduct*, September 2011, which provides direction to councils in fulfilling their responsibilities to develop and implement a staff code of conduct under the *Local Government Act 1989*.

- *Conflict of Interest: A Guide for Council Staff*, October 2011, which summarises staff obligations in regard to conflict of interest. Similar guidance was also developed for councillors in June 2011 and members of council committees in 2012.

- *Audit Committees: A Guide to Good Practice for Local Government*, January 2011, which aims to assist councils in establishing an effective audit committee.

### 1.3 Key elements of effective fraud control

To minimise the occurrence and impact of fraud, councils need a robust fraud control framework to prevent, detect and respond to fraud. The key elements of an effective fraud control framework, drawn from the Australian standard and other good practice guides, are shown in Figure 1C. These elements are discussed in the following sections.
The fraud control plan is a critical component of an effective fraud control framework. The plan should:

- communicate the council’s intent and responsibility for fraud management
- contain key risks identified through the fraud risk assessments
- outline the key internal controls in place to limit opportunities for fraud
- set out monitoring and review activities to assure the council’s fraud control framework is effective.

The fraud control plan should suit the needs of the council, and cover the essential elements required to effectively manage the council’s fraud risks.

**Communicating intent**

A fraud policy and code of conduct are key mechanisms for clearly articulating a council’s objectives and expected outcomes in managing fraud. An effective fraud policy clearly establishes a council’s attitude and approach to fraud control, while a code of conduct promotes high standards of ethical behaviour expected of staff and a council’s commitment to these standards.

Setting the right ‘tone at the top’ is critical to fraud control. A council culture based on sound ethics and integrity, as displayed by senior management, underpins an effective fraud control framework.

**Identifying risks**

Developing an effective fraud control framework requires having a good understanding of a council’s key fraud risks.

The fraud risk assessment should cover all the discrete functions and operations of a council. To assure an integrated and consistent approach, the assessment should also form part of a council’s overall risk management strategy.

**Limiting opportunities**

Once a council has established its fraud risk profile through a risk assessment process, it needs to implement dedicated internal controls—system, processes and procedures—that will minimise those risks.

**Raising awareness**

Fraud awareness training is an effective method of assuring that all employees, contractors and volunteers are aware of their responsibilities for fraud control and of expectations for ethical behaviour in the workplace.

**Monitoring**

Audit committee oversight is critical to the success of the fraud control framework. The audit committee should regularly review a council’s internal control, risk management processes and fraud control strategies.
An effective internal audit is a key mechanism available to councils to discharge this responsibility. Internal audits review internal controls for key risks, including fraud risk, within a council and provide assurance to management about the operating effectiveness of these controls.

Senior management also have a role in reviewing the outcomes of monitoring activities and using the insights gained to inform continuous improvement in fraud prevention.

1.4 Previous audit

Our 2008 report Local Government: Results of 2006–07 audits included a high-level review of the fraud control framework of all 79 councils. It examined whether each council:

- had a fraud policy, procedures and code of conduct
- had a fraud control plan and associated strategies based on a comprehensive fraud risk assessment
- provided fraud awareness training
- maintained an appropriate level of insurance for fraud
- implemented pre-employment screening processes.

The audit found that approximately one-third of councils did not have a robust fraud control framework in place. These councils did not have clearly documented and up-to-date fraud policies and procedures, a fraud control plan, or provide adequate staff training on identifying fraud risks. The audit recommended that councils develop a fraud control plan and undertake fraud risk assessments as a priority, and review the adequacy of their fraud management policies and procedures.

1.5 Audit objectives and scope

The objective of this audit was to determine the effectiveness of fraud prevention strategies by local councils. Specifically, for a selection of councils the audit assessed whether they:

- have an effective fraud control framework, which is integrated with their organisation-wide risk management strategy
- have appropriate, well understood and current fraud control plans, which address major risks
- have established effective fraud controls
- have adequate internal systems, training and reporting processes to support effective implementation and monitoring of fraud control plans
- regularly test, monitor and report on the effectiveness of the fraud control plan and associated practices
- review the outcomes of testing and use the insights gained to inform continuous improvement in fraud prevention and to update fraud control plans to ensure their ongoing appropriateness.
The audit examined fraud prevention policies, plans and practices and the adequacy of selected fraud controls at the following councils:

- Maroondah City Council—an inner metropolitan municipality
- Nillumbik Shire Council—an outer metropolitan municipality
- Moira Shire Council—a large rural municipality
- Buloke Shire Council—a small rural municipality
- West Wimmera Shire Council—a small rural municipality.

1.6 Method and cost

The audit was conducted in accordance with the Australian Auditing and Assurance Standards. The cost of the audit was $485 000.

1.7 Structure of the report

The report is structured as follows:

- Part 2 discusses fraud prevention strategies
- Part 3 discusses key internal fraud controls.
Fraud prevention strategies

At a glance

Background
The foundation of any good fraud control framework is a set of integrated prevention strategies. While fraud cannot be eliminated, the risk of it occurring can be substantially reduced if councils adopt targeted preventative measures.

Conclusion
None of the councils examined have adopted a strategic and coordinated approach to the management of fraud risk. The absence of fraud control plans at all five examined councils, and completed risk assessments at three of the five, means that none can be assured that their fraud prevention strategies are effective and that fraud risks have been adequately mitigated.

Findings
- None of the councils examined have developed appropriate fraud control plans.
- Three of the five councils examined had not assessed their fraud risks at the time of audit. Maroondah addressed this following the audit.
- All councils, except Moira, had developed adequate fraud policies, however, they had not been effectively implemented.
- Four of the five councils examined had not distributed their fraud policy and code of conduct to contractors and volunteers.
- Only Nillumbik has provided staff with sufficient training on identifying fraud risks.
- None of the councils had adequately assessed the performance of their fraud control activities.

Recommendations
Councils should:
- develop and maintain an up-to-date fraud control plan and associated strategies based on a comprehensive assessment of fraud risks
- systematically monitor and report on the effectiveness of their fraud control framework.
2.1 Introduction

Sound prevention strategies are the foundation of a reliable fraud control framework. While they cannot eliminate fraud risk, coordinated and effectively implemented strategies can minimise the chances of it occurring.

Key preventative measures critical to a sound fraud control framework include:

- a fraud control plan documenting the responsibilities for fraud prevention, detection and response initiatives
- periodic fraud risk assessments to identify and treat major fraud risks, and inform the fraud control plan
- a fraud policy establishing clear standards and procedures for dealing with suspected fraud incidents
- a code of conduct clarifying the council’s expectations for ethical behaviour that is demonstrably adhered to and promoted by senior management
- regular staff fraud awareness training on their responsibilities for identifying, preventing and reporting potential wrongdoing
- scrutiny by internal audit and the audit committee of the effectiveness of the council’s fraud control framework and related activities
- effective controls for activities with a high fraud risk exposure.

Once implemented, these preventative measures should be regularly monitored and evaluated to assure their effectiveness in operation.

This Part of the report assesses the adequacy of the fraud control framework at each examined council. Specifically, it examines whether fraud control plans and associated strategies are soundly based and minimise opportunities for fraud to occur.

2.2 Conclusion

The examined councils have not yet developed a strategic and coordinated approach to the management of fraud risk. Although most have a fraud policy and all have a code of conduct setting standards for ethical behaviour, this is only part of the solution.

The absence of documented fraud control plans at all five councils, and completed risk assessments at Maroondah, Moira and West Wimmera, means fraud policies were neither effectively implemented nor enforced. Therefore these councils could not be assured that their fraud prevention strategies were effective and that all material fraud risks had been identified and adequately mitigated.
Figure 2A summarises the adequacy of each council's fraud control framework.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key feature</th>
<th>Maroondah</th>
<th>Nillumbik</th>
<th>Moira</th>
<th>Buloke</th>
<th>Wimmera</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fraud control plan in place documenting the council’s approach to controlling fraud</td>
<td>x&lt;sup&gt;(a)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorough fraud risk assessment undertaken</td>
<td>x&lt;sup&gt;(a)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy provides sufficient guidance on managing fraud</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code of conduct sets clear standards for ethical behaviour</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular fraud awareness training provided to staff</td>
<td>x&lt;sup&gt;(a)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x&lt;sup&gt;(a)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>x&lt;sup&gt;(a)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit committee effectively scrutinises operation of fraud control framework</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Council took action to strengthen practices during the audit.

Source: Victorian Auditor-General’s Office.

2.3 Fraud control framework

Each examined council has aspects of a fraud control framework in place. However, all the practical elements required for effective fraud control are not yet established or fully integrated.

Specifically, none of the councils have clearly documented their actions for implementing and monitoring key fraud control initiatives. Additionally, none have developed adequate indicators for evaluating the effectiveness of their initiatives.

The councils advised that these weaknesses were influenced by ongoing resource constraints and the difficulty of attracting and retaining staff skilled in fraud control, particularly in regional and rural areas.

The following sections summarise the key issues identified at each council.

2.3.1 Fraud control plan

A soundly based fraud control plan is a critical element of a council’s fraud control framework. However, all five examined councils had yet to develop such a plan.

The fraud control plan documents the approach to controlling fraud at a strategic, tactical and operational level, including the responsibilities for implementing and monitoring related activities.
Key features of a sound fraud control plan include:

- a summary of the council's major fraud risks identified through a robust fraud risk assessment
- details of strategies and controls for mitigating material fraud risks
- clear roles and responsibilities for implementing and monitoring key prevention, detection and response initiatives
- performance measures, including procedures for assessing the plan's effectiveness.

A sound fraud control plan provides the basis on which a council can obtain assurance that it is aware of all its major fraud risks, and that it has adequate prevention, detection and response initiatives in place.

The fraud control plan should be periodically reviewed and updated, as needed, following an assessment of its effectiveness. It should also be tailored to the needs of each council, reflecting its relative size, structure and fraud risk profile.

It is important to note that a fraud control plan is a key operational document that explains how the council's discrete fraud policy, risk register, prevention, detection and response strategies should coordinate, be operationalised and reviewed. Therefore, the plan is not simply a mechanism for physically co-locating these separate elements; it is the overarching guiding framework that demonstrates their effective coordination in practice.

The absence of a fraud control plan at the selected councils means they cannot demonstrate their fraud prevention, detection and response strategies are soundly based, coordinated, purposely implemented and reviewed.

### 2.3.2 Identifying fraud risks

**Fraud risk assessment**

Effective fraud management depends on a thorough fraud risk assessment, but this had not yet occurred at most examined councils. Only Nillumbik and Buloke had thoroughly assessed their fraud risks and had developed a fraud risk register at the time of the audit.

These shortcomings mean that most examined councils could not demonstrate they were effectively managing all their major fraud risks as unidentified risks cannot be planned for and addressed.

Fraud risk management is an important adjunct to a council's risk management framework. It goes beyond considering general risks to the achievement of strategic objectives normally found in corporate risk registers, to identifying, analysing and addressing specific fraud risks.
Apart from Buloke and Nillumbik, the remaining councils mainly relied on their corporate risk registers as the foundation for managing their fraud risk. However, West Wimmera’s corporate risk register was incomplete and did not include any fraud risks. Similarly, Maroondah’s and Moira’s corporate risk registers contained a limited number of fraud risks, but there was little assurance they reflected all major fraud exposures in the absence of completed fraud risk assessments.

Moira’s risk register also lacked information about the adequacy of existing controls for some listed fraud risks. Therefore, it was not evident how the council had determined the risk rating in these cases.

Maroondah had commenced work prior to this audit to strengthen its fraud control framework. It was in the process of developing an organisation-wide fraud risk register, which had already led to improvements to some of its controls. Maroondah advised following the audit that it completed its fraud risk assessments, and that this would inform its development of a fraud control plan.

These actions are positive and the council intends to use the insights from this audit to inform its future improvement initiatives.

### 2.3.3 Communicating intent

A well understood fraud policy and code of conduct that is demonstrably adhered to and promoted by senior management is essential for establishing a sound ethical culture.

Most examined councils had adequate fraud policies and codes of conduct. However, the absence of fraud control plans and completed fraud risk assessments demonstrates that, in most cases, these policies have not been effectively implemented and enforced by management.

**Fraud policy**

A sound fraud policy should include:

- a definition of fraud
- a clear statement of the council’s tolerance and attitude towards fraud
- the required standards and procedures for preventing, detecting, reporting and investigating suspected fraud incidents
- staff responsibilities for mitigating fraud risks and managing fraud incidents
- staff responsibilities for reviewing and updating the policy
- information on where further details can be found about specific fraud management responsibilities.

The policy should also be regularly reviewed and distributed widely throughout the council including, where necessary, to contractors and volunteers.

All councils, except Moira, had developed adequate fraud policies.
Moira had yet to develop a specific fraud policy, and instead relied on fraud detection and reporting procedures contained within its code of conduct. These procedures, however, are limited and do not address the requirements for implementing effective fraud prevention strategies.

**Whistleblowers Protection Act 2001**

The *Whistleblowers Protection Act 2001* requires all councils to have written procedures for handling and investigating disclosures about improper conduct by public officers and/or bodies. The Act provides protection to a person who makes such a disclosure, and offers remedies for detrimental action taken against them.

Only three of the five examined councils—Maroondah, Moira and Nillumbik—had established written procedures for managing allegations arising from protected disclosures and made explicit reference to these within their fraud policies.

While Buloke and West Wimmera include a general reference to the Act, neither policy refers to such procedures as they don’t exist.

Consequently, Buloke and West Wimmera are less likely to adequately discharge their statutory responsibilities for whistleblower protection when responding to alleged fraud incidents.

Buloke developed training on the general requirements of the Act during the course of the audit. Although positive, this initiative does not acquit its statutory obligation to develop written procedures for handling protected disclosures.

**Code of conduct**

The *Local Government Act 1989* requires the chief executive officer to develop and implement a code of conduct for council staff.

In 2011, the Department of Planning and Community Development released guidance for councils, titled *Framework for the Development and Review of Council Staff Codes of Conduct*, to assist them meet this statutory requirement.

We found that all councils had implemented a code of conduct for staff consistent with the requirements of the Act. However, there was significant scope for improvement at West Wimmera as its code does not clearly convey who it applies to, what happens if there is a breach, where to report a breach, or how staff conflicts of interests should be managed.

Therefore, it fails to inform staff clearly about what constitutes ethical behaviour, and the likely consequences, including disciplinary action, of breaches of the code.
Coverage of contractors and volunteers

While all councils relied on contractors and volunteers to deliver key services such as information technology, statutory planning, emergency management and recreational services, only Maroondah had apprised them of its fraud policy. Similarly, none had distributed their code of conduct to contractors, and only Nillumbik had provided it to volunteers.

This reduces assurance that contractors and volunteers at the remaining councils are clear as to what constitutes fraud and ethical behaviour, and what action they should take in the event they suspect a fraud has occurred.

Moira acted to address this during the audit.

2.3.4 Raising awareness

Fraud training

Induction and periodic refresher training for staff assists in assuring they are aware of and understand their fraud control responsibilities.

However, except for Nillumbik, none of the remaining councils provided adequate training to their staff.

Specifically, West Wimmera had yet to establish arrangements to train its staff on fraud control.

Similarly, while Maroondah, Moira and Buloke informed new employees about their fraud policy and/or code of conduct, this was not sufficient to assure staff understood their fraud control responsibilities in the absence of supporting training.

The weakness of this approach was highlighted by the Australian National Audit Office in its 2011 better practice guide Fraud Control in Australian Government Entities. Specifically, it noted an internal review by the New Zealand Inland Revenue Department which found that its employees did not generally read the organisation’s code of conduct until it was breached.

Maroondah began an extensive program of refresher training for existing employees in April 2011, and advised that it has now completed the training for all staff. While, it had yet to introduce induction training for new employees it has since advised that it will provide ongoing refresher and induction training for all staff.

Moira and Buloke similarly commenced action to deliver fraud training to new and existing employees during the audit.

All councils are yet to develop systems for evaluating the quality and effectiveness of their training programs. Consequently there is little assurance the training has been successful and that staff are sufficiently aware of their fraud control responsibilities.
Nillumbik provided both induction and regular refresher training to all staff on fraud and the code of conduct, requiring a minimum pass score of 80 per cent. Testing whether participants achieve the required minimum score is a positive initiative that assures they understand the content, but is not equivalent to evaluating the quality and effectiveness of the training provided in terms of whether it is fit for purpose.

2.3.5 Monitoring

There was significant scope to improve monitoring and oversight of the fraud control framework at all examined councils.

None had established effective arrangements for systematically monitoring and reporting on the performance of their fraud control activities to executive management and the audit committee.

Consequently, the examined councils were unable to demonstrate the effectiveness of their fraud control framework.

Internal audit

Internal audit has an important role to advise the audit committee about the effectiveness of a council’s internal controls and fraud prevention strategies. However, West Wimmera had yet to establish an internal audit program and none of the remaining councils adequately review existing fraud control arrangements.

Further, while the program was periodically reviewed by the audit committee, the absence of completed fraud risk assessments at Maroondah and Moira offered little assurance in each case that it adequately addressed all major fraud risks.

Additionally, none of the internal audit programs systematically reviewed the adequacy of the council’s wider fraud control arrangements. Most focused instead on targeted reviews of key controls associated with high-risk financial functions, such as payroll, accounts payable and cash handling.

While these reviews are useful, broader audits of organisational fraud management arrangements can assist a council to gain a better insight into the adequacy of its fraud control framework and of the extent of compliance with related fraud policies and procedures.

Moira conducted an audit of its fraud management framework in 2005. While the audit recommended it establish an integrated fraud control and risk management framework, this has yet to occur.

Nillumbik had scheduled an audit of fraud management in its forward program for completion by 30 June 2012.

The current absence of an internal audit program at West Wimmera represents a significant gap in the council’s governance framework, and offers little assurance over the effectiveness of existing fraud controls. West Wimmera advised during the audit that it intends to establish an internal audit program in the 2013–14 financial year.
The following case study derived from a recent investigation by the NSW Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC) shows how the absence of an internal audit function at a council can create an environment in which fraud can easily occur.

**Figure 2B**

**Impact from the absence of internal audit**

ICAC investigated a number of allegations in relation to the general manager of the Burwood City Council in NSW. The general manager was using council staff to work on his private residence and investment property. He also used council funds totalling more than $35 000 to install security equipment at his home and hired a friend as the principal council architect without disclosing their relationship.

ICAC found that the absence of an internal audit function was a significant factor that allowed the general manager to undertake inappropriate expenditure and to hide it from council. This represented an obvious governance weakness.

Without this independent source of information from internal audit, councillors were only provided information the general manager was willing to provide.

ICAC recommended that establishing an internal audit function become a statutory responsibility for local councils. In the case of small councils, the possibility of them sharing an internal audit function was also provided as an option.


**Audit committee**

Audit committees have a key role to monitor a councils’ fraud minimisation and detection programs. Relevant good practices identified within Local Government Victoria’s 2011 guide *Audit Committees: A Guide to Good Practice for Local Government* include monitoring:

- whether fraud risks have been adequately identified, assessed and mitigated
- management’s efforts to establish strong internal controls
- the council’s fraud prevention and detection framework, including any action taken with respect to actual and suspected instances of fraud.

However, it was not evident that council audit committees actively monitored management’s efforts in implementing fraud prevention strategies. While all committees received reports and maintained a watching brief on suspected fraud incidents, they did not systematically review the effectiveness of the council’s wider fraud prevention framework.

Buloke advised that it considered regular reviews by its audit committee of council’s fraud risk register as indicating that it adequately assesses fraud activities. However, this could not be substantiated as meeting minutes only indicate that the risk register is noted by the committee, and do not document its discussions and assessments of the wider fraud control framework.

Local Government Victoria’s good practice guide also highlights the importance for the majority of audit committee members to be independent of council management, and for periodic reviews of the audit committee’s performance.
At West Wimmera the audit committee does not include any independent members and consists of councillors and senior council staff. Similarly, at Maroondah the audit committee does not comprise a majority of independent members. Consequently these audit committees lack sufficient independence, which impedes their capacity to effectively scrutinise management actions. Maroondah advised it intends to address this by restructuring its committee’s membership so that the majority are independent.

Further, none of the councils adequately assessed the performance of their audit committee. Buloke’s audit committee undertook a self-assessment in 2011. While the outcome was generally positive, the assessment had inherent limitations as it relied solely on the unverified perceptions of existing audit committee members without reference to objective criteria and supporting evidence.

2.4 Evaluation and continuous improvement

Periodic evaluations of a council’s fraud control arrangements are important for continuous improvement and for assuring they remain effective. Specifically, they can assist in determining:

- the relevance and priority of fraud strategies in light of current and emerging risks
- whether fraud strategies are effectively targeting the right and/or desired areas
- whether there are more cost-effective ways of managing key fraud risks.

None of the councils had adequately assessed the performance of their fraud control activities, nor had they internal reporting processes that adequately monitored compliance with their fraud policies or procedures.

Additionally, none had adequate arrangements for detecting fraud in the event that their preventative systems failed. For example, none had performed regular data mining and forensic data analysis to detect potential fraud incidents.

Consequently, the examined councils cannot demonstrate that their current fraud control arrangements are effective.

Notwithstanding, limited improvements to fraud management practices since our 2008 review were evident at most examined councils. These included:

- establishing specific fraud risk registers based on assessments of fraud risk—at Nillumbik and Buloke, with Maroondah in the process of developing one
- issuing a code of conduct for staff clarifying expected and unacceptable behaviours—at Maroondah, with codes already in place at the remaining councils
- implementing a fraud policy detailing the consequences for fraudulent conduct including procedures for managing allegations and incidents—at all councils except Moira
- providing training to staff on their responsibilities for managing fraud risk—at Maroondah and Nillumbik.

Further improvements were also evident from our reviews of the external assessments of fraud management practices at Nillumbik, Moira, Buloke and West Wimmera by their fidelity insurer.
These assessments involve assigning a score to each council based on a limited review of their corporate governance, fraud policy, fraud risk assessments, controls, and fraud awareness training. All examined councils showed an improvement from their previous assessment—ranging from a 16 per cent increase in score at West Wimmera to 32 per cent at Nillumbik, 52 per cent at Buloke and 55 per cent at Moira.

These reviews, however, do not provide sufficient assurance that fraud control arrangements are effective as they are high-level in nature and exclude key fraud control initiatives essential for good practice, such as whether councils have a fraud control plan in place.

Therefore, while the improvements are encouraging, they have not been sufficient to establish an effective fraud control framework at each council.

Recommendations

Councillors should:

1. develop and maintain an up-to-date fraud control plan clearly documenting their fraud prevention, detection and response initiatives and responsibilities
2. conduct thorough, periodic fraud risk assessments to assure they identify and effectively manage all major fraud risk exposures
3. provide induction and periodic fraud awareness training to all council staff, to assure they understand their fraud control responsibilities
4. systematically monitor and report on the effectiveness of their fraud control strategies
5. establish arrangements that assure effective ongoing scrutiny by executive management, internal audit and audit committees, of the effectiveness of the fraud control framework.
Key internal fraud controls

At a glance

Background
Internal controls consist of the systems, processes and procedures that enable a council to respond appropriately to any type of risk. The *Local Government Act 1989* requires councils to develop adequate internal controls to meet their objectives.

Conclusion
No instances of fraud were identified by our targeted testing at the examined councils. However, it revealed a number of control deficiencies with pre-employment screening, accounts payable processes and asset management which heighten the risk of fraud.

Findings
- While all examined councils had recruitment policies and procedures for pre-employment screening, none adequately addressed all fraud risks.
- There was a lack of segregation of duties in accounts payable at Maroondah, Moira and Buloke which poses a fraud risk. Reasonable compensating controls, however, had been put in place at Maroondah and Moira increasing the chances that fraud will be detected.
- Controls to safeguard assets can be improved at two of the examined councils.

Recommendation
- Councils should assess and where necessary strengthen their internal controls to assure they efficiently and effectively mitigate their fraud risks.
3.1 Introduction

Research by KPMG indicates that more than half of all major frauds occur because of poor internal controls or the overriding of these controls.

Adequate internal control systems are critical for preventing and detecting frauds. The nature and extent of these systems should reflect each council’s unique operating environment, fraud risk profile and available resources.

Although strong preventative controls are the best defence against fraud risk, establishing them may not always be feasible because of resource constraints, particularly at smaller rural councils. In these cases, effective compensating controls are needed. These are normally detective in nature as they generally operate after a fraud has occurred.

While this is less satisfactory than preventing a fraud, it represents a practical approach for small resource-constrained councils to mitigating the increased risk through deterrence.

This Part of the report examines the effectiveness of internal controls at selected councils for activities with a high fraud risk.

3.2 Conclusion

All councils examined had internal controls to mitigate fraud risks but they were not consistently enforced which reduces their effectiveness in operation. A number of control breaches were identified which increase the opportunities for fraud to occur.

These breaches indicate a need for closer monitoring and enforcement of the internal control framework by executive management to reduce their incidence and gain greater assurance of compliance with key fraud prevention strategies.

3.3 Adequacy of selected controls

We undertook targeted testing of the following four council functions with a high fraud risk to assess the effectiveness of fraud controls:

- cash handling
- pre-employment screening
- accounts payable
- asset management.

Collectively, these functions typically account for almost 60 per cent of all reported major fraud incidents across both private and public sector entities, and 70 per cent of the value of all such frauds.

The scope of this audit did not extend to undertaking an exhaustive forensic fraud investigation. The audit did not identify instances of fraud.
However, the targeted testing revealed a number of internal control deficiencies relating to pre-employment screening, accounts payable and asset management which heighten the risk of fraud. Cash handling controls were found to be adequate at all examined councils.

Where possible, the potential consequences of the risks we identified are highlighted by examples of actual incidents to illustrate the need for addressing them.

### 3.3.1 Pre-employment screening

Pre-employment screening is important for gaining assurance as to the integrity, identity and credentials of staff employed by the council.

While all examined councils had policies and procedures for dealing with employment screening, these generally fell short of good practice and did not fully address existing opportunities for fraud.

#### Verification of applicants identity

Only Moira and Nillumbik require the verification of an applicant’s identity. However, Moira’s recruitment policy only requires one form of identity document, rather than two and Nillumbik’s fraud policy does not clarify the minimum standards for identity checking. Seeking two forms of identity, such as a passport, full birth certificate or drivers licence is important for corroborating the information supplied by prospective employees.

Nillumbik advised that it obtains proof of identity as part of its police checks. However its recruitment policy only mandates this for particular positions. As a result, this practice does not assure coverage of all council staff.

#### Police criminal history checks

All councils require a police criminal history search for some positions. However, only three of the five examined councils applied this to high fraud risk positions dealing with cash or financial management duties. All files reviewed at Nillumbik and Buloke showed that a police criminal history check had occurred, but this was not evident in the files examined at Moira.

Further, Maroondah and West Wimmera mainly undertook mandated criminal history searches for positions involving working with children or the aged—not for positions that handle cash or have a high risk of fraud.

#### Reference checks

Reference checks are mandatory at all councils. While they were evident in all cases examined at Moira, they did not occur in 80 per cent of the files at Nillumbik, 69 per cent of files at Maroondah, and around half of the files reviewed at both Buloke and West Wimmera. Maroondah, Nillumbik, Moira and Buloke advise that their current practices have improved.
Verification of qualifications

All councils, except Nillumbik, systematically verified employee qualifications. Nillumbik had only verified the qualifications of staff in around 20 per cent of the files examined.

Nillumbik advised they sometimes relied on previous employers having already verified the qualifications of an applicant. Consequently, there is little assurance its current procedures for recruiting staff adequately mitigate the potential for fraud to occur.

The following case study drawn from CPA Australia’s 2011 guide on mitigating employee fraud highlights the importance of verifying an applicant’s credentials.

**Figure 3A**

**Case study: Employment screening**

A telecommunications company recruited a sales manager to reorganise and expand their business into new geographic areas. The successful applicant claimed possession of two degrees and a masters. The employer was impressed that they could recruit such a well-qualified applicant at a relatively low salary.

The employee:
- set up a program to expand the business into a new geographic area
- expanded the range of products the business sold
- expanded the business into retail.

However, after a while the company began to sense that something was not right as they had to send the employee on an Excel course as his spreadsheet skills were poor. This did not equate with someone who was so well qualified. Further, the employee informed management that in order to expand the business they needed to go through a particular distributor, and to establish a relationship they had to pay the distributor $10,000 in cash.

The company then initiated further background checks on the employee, including checking with the education institutions the employee claimed to have graduated from. These checks revealed that the employee did not have the claimed qualifications.

Further investigation discovered the employee:
- had organised ‘kickbacks’ with suppliers of the new of products
- was stealing stock, including expensive electronic equipment.

Two days after the dismissal, the individual found new employment and was seeking to establish a similar fraudulent arrangement with the same suppliers.

Upon discovering that the employee had falsified their qualifications, the company promptly dismissed the employee and notified the police.

It now requires all prospective employees to produce original certificates of qualifications and also checks with their prior employers and referees.

Source: Employee fraud: A guide to reducing the risk of employee fraud and what to do after a fraud is detected, CPA Australia.

As pre-employment checks may stop perpetrators of fraud moving from one organisation to another, all councils should satisfy themselves that their processes and procedures for recruiting staff adequately minimise the potential for this to occur.

Accounts payable

The accounts payable function at each council has a high risk of fraud given the volume and value of transactions typically processed each year—around $71.5 million. This can manifest in the fraudulent purchase of goods and services or the fraudulent payment of fictitious invoices not represented by a legitimate purchase.
To mitigate these risks the accounts payable process relies on the following key controls:

- segregation of duties around the set-up and maintenance of the vendor masterfile to prevent ‘dummy or duplicate’ vendors being established to process fictitious payments
- verification of vendor details including their names, addresses and ABN numbers before they are set-up on the vendor masterfile to assure they are not fictitious
- independently reviewing changes to the vendor masterfile and checking this against supporting documentation.

While these controls were in place at Nillumbik and West Wimmera, there was a lack of segregation of duties at the remaining councils due to limited staffing and resources.

Accounts payable staff at these councils can create and edit vendor details as well as enter invoices for payment, which increases the opportunity for fraud. However, both Maroondah and Moira have reasonable compensating controls. An independent officer at each council reviews changes to the vendor masterfile including consulting supporting documentation on a monthly basis. While this does not prevent a fraud from occurring, it increases the chances of detection and thus acts as a deterrent.

This was not the case at Buloke. While an independent officer similarly signs-off on a report of changes to vendor bank details, it is not supported by any documentation substantiating the changes. Without this, the council is unable to verify if the implemented changes are appropriate and, therefore, easily detect if a fraud has occurred.

Further, at Buloke the vendor names, addresses and ABN numbers at initial set up are not verified or validated to assure they are correct before entering them into the account payable system. Our review of a sample of vendor details revealed that all ABN numbers had been incorrectly entered. Although this was not due to fraud, it demonstrates that fictitious vendors could be set up with little chance of detection.

Figure 3B highlights the importance of verifying vendor details.

**Figure 3B**

*Case studies: Accounts payable frauds*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In 2001 the New York CPA Journal reported a case where a department head set up a dummy corporation on the vendor masterfile and used his home address as the mailing address. Over a two-year period, the officer submitted false invoices for more than $250,000. The fraud was eventually detected when a new employee noticed that the vendor’s address matched the officer’s address.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In another case, an IT manager from a Victorian outer metropolitan council defrauded more than $1.5 million between 2005 and 2008 in collusion with other external parties. The fraud involved submitting over 100 invoices from three fake IT companies of which the offenders were directors. The fraud was revealed when a council accountant investigated anomalous payments in financial records. The offenders were prosecuted and received prison sentences.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source:* Victorian Auditor-General’s Office.
3.3.2 Asset management

Assets

The examined councils are responsible for managing around $2.5 billion in assets. It is important they have sound controls to help prevent the theft or inappropriate use of these assets.

Maroondah, Nillumbik and Moira had adequate processes to safeguard their assets but there was scope for improvement at the remaining two councils.

Key controls for mitigating the misuse or theft of assets include:

- maintaining an accurate and complete asset register with clear asset descriptions
- assigning unique asset numbers to individual assets so they can be identified
- storing assets securely
- carrying out regular stocktakes or spot-checks to confirm the existence of assets.

Neither Buloke nor West Wimmera maintained an up-to-date asset register, or had assigned a unique ID to all assets so they could be traced. Additionally, West Wimmera did not do regular stocktakes. These weaknesses create an opportunity for assets to be misappropriated and misused with little chance of detection.

Buloke maintained three asset registers. However, they had not been recently reconciled against the general ledger to assure they were accurate and complete. Our review identified an ID Card Printer that could not be found on the councils asset registers and another asset—a trailer frame tool box valued at $1,560—that could not be physically located. The council was unable to explain where this asset was. It also advised that the ID Card Printer was missing from the asset register as it had been incorrectly classified as an expense item. The theft of assets cannot be easily detected if they are not routinely and accurately recorded on the asset register.

Buloke commenced action to update its asset registers during the audit. It also advised that it had begun work on establishing a unique identifier for all assets and had introduced a policy clarifying the items which need to be recorded on the asset register.

Similarly at West Wimmera we identified three assets—an antique table, desk top computer and a laptop—that were not listed in the general ledger or asset register. The council was also unable to explain why these assets were not recorded in the asset register.
Recommendations

Councils should:

6. establish effective pre-employment screening processes that provide sufficient assurance over the integrity, identity and credentials of all council staff

7. make sure accounts payable systems have effective preventative and/or compensating controls that adequately mitigate the risk of fraudulent purchases and/or payments

8. maintain accurate and up-to-date asset registers that are regularly reviewed to mitigate the misuse and/or misappropriation of assets

9. systematically review the operation and effectiveness of all their internal control systems to assure they adequately prevent, deter and detect major frauds.
Appendix A.

Audit Act 1994 section 16—submissions and comments

Introduction

In accordance with section 16(3) of the Audit Act 1994 a copy of this report was provided to the Department of Planning and Community Development, Maroondah City Council, Nillumbik Shire Council, Moira Shire Council, Buloke Shire Council and West Wimmera Shire Council with a request for submissions or comments.

The submission and comments provided are not subject to audit nor the evidentiary standards required to reach an audit conclusion. Responsibility for the accuracy, fairness and balance of those comments rests solely with the agency head.
RESPONSE provided by the Secretary, Department of Planning and Community Development

Auditor-General
Level 24, 35 Collins St
MELBOURNE VIC 3000

Dear Mr. Pearson,

AUDIT ACT 1994, S16(3) – PROPOSED AUDIT REPORT, FRAUD PREVENTION STRATEGIES IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Thank you for the opportunity provided to make a submission for inclusion in the proposed report, Fraud prevention strategies in Local Government.

The focus of this audit on fraud prevention strategies in local government is timely. The report provides a series of concise recommendations for the consideration of both the five councils who were subject to the audit and the local government sector generally. Local governments will be asked to examine their own practices and to have regard to the recommendations in the report.

Your acknowledgement in part 1.2 of the report that Local Government Victoria has developed several better practice guides for councils that are relevant to fraud management is appreciated.

I am confident that this report will become a valuable benchmark for all councils in the pursuit of responsible fraud prevention strategies.

Yours sincerely,

Andrew Tongue
SECRETARY

Ambassador Victoria
RESPONSE provided by the Mayor and Chief Executive Officer, Maroondah City Council

31 May 2012

Mr DDR Pearson
Auditor-General
Victorian Auditor-General’s Office
Level 24, 35 Collins Street
MELBOURNE VIC 3000

Dear Mr Pearson

Re: Proposed Audit Report Fraud Prevention Strategies In Local Government

Maroondah City Council ("MCC") appreciates the opportunity to provide feedback on the above audit report.

MCC takes fraud seriously. Extensive work is done on an ongoing basis to ensure high risk areas have internal controls in place to prevent fraud. This now includes the establishment of a dedicated Fraud Risk Register.

MCC has put in substantial work towards its fraud and corruption controls, using Australian Standard AS8001 2008 as a basis, and is pleased this has been recognised by the Auditor-General’s office.

MCC provided evidence of its employee code of conduct, the double-up on procedures and all signing authorities and extensive internal audits of fraud risks regarding such areas as payroll, accounts payable and cash handling have all stood Maroondah in good stead relative to the Fraud Control Framework on page 5, Figure 1C of the Report.

Further, MCC completed its fraud risk assessments in late November 2011 and placed its Fraud Risk Register on its website on 14 December, 2011.

In delivery of Council’s Awareness and Training program, our promotional title was "Fraud it happens" Council grasped the reality and responsibility of implementing a framework to address this governance risk. Using the recent release of the Australian Standards AS 8001 in 2008, MCC’s aim was to strengthen and promote an ethical culture. This was undertaken with a multi faceted approach to fraud control and awareness to improve knowledge, critique systems and challenge thinking.

Training was customised for the different service areas within Council, to ensure understanding and retention, to promote discussion and to ultimately have greater effectiveness. This new knowledge was used by Managers and Directors in the completion of risk assessments and creation of the Fraud Risk Register. It is an ongoing process that needs to be customised for individual organisations.
RESPONSE provided by the Mayor and Chief Executive Officer, Maroondah City Council – continued

The quality of work already undertaken by Councils, such as the policies, training programmes and risk assessments is recognised. Further constructive feedback from VAGO will also be valuable. The design and customisation of Council’s risk assessment tools were created to assist Managers’ understanding and accuracy in identifying and rating relevant risks. The focus was on identifying meaningful risk data and determining effective fraud management controls.

MCC has reviewed the nine recommendations made by VAGO and takes seriously the need to continually be mindful of the possibility of fraud. Below are Council’s comments and/or commitments for actions on each of the recommendations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>MCC’s Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Develop Fraud Plan</td>
<td>Now that the performance audit has concluded, MCC will finalise the fraud control plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Risk Assessments</td>
<td>The current fraud risk assessments will be reviewed on a regular basis with particular emphasis on all high risk areas. The level of risk will dictate the frequency of reporting and align it with Council’s existing risk register reporting cycle (i.e. High Risks 6 monthly review).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Fraud Training</td>
<td>Training is an extremely important aspect of the fraud control within MCC and Council will continue to provide not only induction training but refresher training for current employees to encourage a positive fraud aware culture. This will be programmed into Council’s Learning and Development delivery cycle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Monitor and Report</td>
<td>The monitoring and reporting will flow from the finalised fraud control plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Scrutiny of effectiveness</td>
<td>Council will ensure the effectiveness of our fraud control framework and that risk control action plans are duly monitored and implemented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Pre-employment Screening</td>
<td>Council will strengthen the current pre-employment screening processes as identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Accounts Payable</td>
<td>Council will continue to monitor and expand, where necessary, the current controls not only within the Accounts Payable area but all high risk areas across Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Asset Management</td>
<td>Council will continue to maintain up-to-date asset registers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Internal Controls</td>
<td>The continual review of systems and internal controls will be a consequence of our finalisation of the fraud control plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Council’s Internal Auditors will be scheduled to review this report for MCC and within the next twelve months will review the level of compliance with the nine recommendations.
RESPONSE provided by the Mayor and Chief Executive Officer, Maroondah City Council – continued

MCC appreciates the opportunity to comment on the audit report and would welcome the opportunity to be involved in any future discussions on fraud control in Local Government.

Yours Sincerely

Cr Rob Sisane
Mayor

Frank Dixon
Chief Executive Officer
RESPONSE provided by the Chief Executive Officer, Nillumbik Shire Council

25 May 2012

Mr Des Pearson
Auditor-General
Victorian Auditor-General’s Office
Level 24, 35 Collins Street
MELBOURNE VIC 3000

Dear Mr Pearson

Re: Submission – Fraud Prevention Strategies in Local Government

Council appreciates the opportunity to provide a submission to you regarding your audit report to Parliament on Fraud Prevention Strategies in Local Government.

Council has been pleased to participate in this audit due to the fact that the Audit Committee, through the approved forward program, had scheduled our contract internal auditors to undertake an audit on this topic by June 2012. As a consequence of your selection of Nillumbik as one of the councils in your audit, Council has been able to instead undertake an alternative internal audit review within the existing resources allocated to further our path towards continuous improvement.

Your Office plays an important role in the process for continuous improvement in the Local Government Sector. Independent audit is most effective when it acts in a positive way to promote better practice and improve outcomes for both the organisation reviewed and the community. The use of independent audit to review existing processes, highlight areas of concern and provide positive recommendations for improvement not only allows the audited council to enhance their internal processes but provides other councils with the opportunity to undertake an assessment of their current control frameworks and seek improvement in their governance processes. This makes audit a constructive process for improvement in the Sector.

The implementation of effective fraud prevention and management strategies in any organisation to prevent actions occurring and quickly detect any instances should they happen is always a matter of balancing the cost of the control with the improvement that control will provide to managing the risk. The best method of preventing fraud is to have staff, contractors and volunteers that do not want to undertake these actions in the first place. This starts with recruitment, training (both code of conduct and what constitutes fraud) and awareness of fraud prevention processes. The second step is to develop control mechanisms that deter people with the propensity or desire to defraud from acting in these inappropriate ways. The final stage is to ensure that fraud is detected or
RESPONSE provided by the Chief Executive Officer, Nillumbik Shire Council – continued

reported quickly should it occur. It is important to remember that even with all these processes in place, fraud is something that can always occur in any organisation and the perpetrators go to great lengths to prevent the detection of their actions. This is why Council continues to review and audit its processes and controls by placing significant resources toward fraud management and prevention and remains vigilant to potential fraud in our organisation.

In relation to specific controls implemented by Nillumbik Shire, it is pleasing to note in the report that of the six key features of a fraud control framework listed in Figure 2A on page 11 of the report, Council has only been listed as deficient in 2 elements. This result reflects the following actions undertaken by Council:

- Utilising the risk management framework to assess specific fraud risk;
- Scheduling of an internal audit on Fraud Management for completion by June 2012 as part of the forward program;
- Development and Implementation of the Fraud Prevention and Control Policy;
- Completion of fraud management training by staff, including completion of a test to assess each employee’s understanding of fraud management (pass mark 80%); and
- Updated Code of Conduct policy and procedure training for staff on ethical behaviour.

While not reflected specifically in the report, it is important to note that of the elements of a fraud control plan noted on page 11 and 12 of the report, Council has demonstrated that a number of the elements of this plan have been implemented.

It is also pleasing for the Sector that during targeted testing undertaken during the audit that no instances of fraud were identified.

Council acknowledges that the report highlights the need to implement processes to measure the effectiveness of fraud prevention strategies and improve oversight of the fraud control framework by the Audit Committee. Council is committed to implementing these controls in order to promote improved practice and greater assurance in preventing fraud.

While the overall conclusion for the audited councils is that there is a need to take the issue of fraud more seriously, the resources allocated, actions undertaken to date and further process improvements committed to in this response demonstrate that fraud prevention is and will remain a serious and activity monitored issue for Nillumbik Shire Council.

Yours sincerely

Stuart Burdack
Chief Executive Officer

Nillumbik Shire Council Civic Drive (PO Box 478), Greensborough Vic 3088
T 9433 3111 | F 9433 3777 | E nilumbik@nilumbik.vic.gov.au | W www.nilumbik.vic.gov.au
RESPONSE provided by the Chief Executive Officer, West Wimmera Shire Council

31st May 2012

D D R Pearson
Auditor General – Victorian Auditor General’s Office
Level 24, 35 Collins Street,
Melbourne, VIC 3000.

Dear Mr. Pearson,

Performance Audit – Fraud prevention strategies in local government.

This is in relation to your report on Performance Audit dated 7th May 2012.

We have agreed to the observations and recommendations. However please note that we are a small rural shire council. We will endeavour to implement systems and processes as long as they are cost effective and practical to implement.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

Mark Crouch
Chief Executive Officer
## Auditor-General’s reports

### Reports tabled during 2011–12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report title</th>
<th>Date tabled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developing Cycling as a Safe and Appealing Mode of Transport (2011–12:2)</td>
<td>August 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Safety Camera Program (2011–12:3)</td>
<td>August 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individualised Funding for Disability Services (2011–12:5)</td>
<td>September 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAFE Governance (2011–12:9)</td>
<td>October 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portfolio Departments and Associated Entities: Results of the 2010–11 Audits (2011–12:13)</td>
<td>November 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victorian Institute of Teaching (2011–12:15)</td>
<td>December 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of Road Bridges (2011–12:18)</td>
<td>December 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report title</td>
<td>Date tabled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casual Relief Teacher Arrangements Performance (2011–12:26)</td>
<td>April 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Expense Reimbursement, Travel Expenses and Corporate Credit Cards (2011–12:28)</td>
<td>May 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments to Visiting Medical Officers in Rural and Regional Hospitals (2011–12:29)</td>
<td>May 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary Education and Other Entities: Results of the 2011 Audits (2011–12:30)</td>
<td>May 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAGO’s website at www.audit.vic.gov.au contains a comprehensive list of all reports issued by VAGO. The full text of the reports issued is available at the website.

Availability of reports

Copies of all reports issued by the Victorian Auditor-General’s Office are available from:

- Victorian Government Bookshop
  
  Level 20, 80 Collins Street
  
  Melbourne Vic. 3000
  
  AUSTRALIA

  Phone: 1300 366 356 (local call cost)
  Fax: +61 3 9603 9920
  Email: bookshop@dbi.vic.gov.au
  Website: www.bookshop.vic.gov.au

- Victorian Auditor-General’s Office
  
  Level 24, 35 Collins Street
  
  Melbourne Vic. 3000
  
  AUSTRALIA

  Phone: +61 3 8601 7000
  Fax: +61 3 8601 7010
  Email: comments@audit.vic.gov.au
  Website: www.audit.vic.gov.au