PARLIAMENT OF VICTORIA

Public Accounts and Estimates Committee



2024-25 Budget Estimates questionnaire

Department of Education

Received 8 May 2024

Contents

| Contents | |
|---|-----|
| Questionnaire information | |
| Major initiatives | |
| Strategic issues | |
| Revenue and expenditure – variances | |
| Revenue initiatives – new and changed | |
| Expenditure – new programs and initiatives (output and asset) | |
| Expenditure – lapsing programs (output initiatives, including grants) | |
| Capital asset expenditure | |
| Public Private Partnerships – expenditure | |
| Public Private Partnerships – expected and actual benefits | |
| Major project contracting – DTP only | |
| Savings initiatives | |
| Use of funds saved from other programs or initiatives | |
| Performance measures – new | |
| Performance measures – modifications | |
| Performance measures – discontinued | 110 |
| Employees | |
| Workforce capability and capacity | |
| Contractors | |
| Consultants | |
| Labour Hire arrangements | |
| Enterprise Bargaining Agreements | |
| Advertising – expenditure | |
| Relationship between the Commonwealth and Victoria | |
| Service delivery | |
| Climate Change | |
| Gender Responsive Budgeting | 152 |
| Implementation of PAEC recommendations | |
| Community consultation on budget initiatives | |
| Early Intervention Investment Framework | |
| Victoria's Housing Statement | |
| Cyber security | |
| Cyber security – DGS only | 190 |

| Health spending – DH only | 192 |
|---|-----|
| Large scale infrastructure projects – DTF/DTP only | 194 |
| Economic forecast – DTF only | 195 |
| Grants – DTF only | 199 |
| Equity funding – DTF only | 202 |
| Land transfer duty – DTF only | 203 |
| Public Private Partnerships – modifications and accountability – DTF only | 204 |
| Net Debt – DTF only | 205 |
| Medium term fiscal strategy – DTF only | 207 |
| Long term financial management objectives – DTF only | 207 |
| Gender Responsive Budgeting Unit – DTF only | 209 |

Questionnaire information

The Committee's inquiry into the 2024-25 Budget Estimates examines the Government's expenditure and revenue.

The Committee's Budget Estimates inquiry aims to benefit the Parliament and the community by:

- promoting the accountability, transparency and integrity of the executive and the public sector
- encouraging effective and efficient delivery of public services and assets
- enhancing understanding of the budget estimates and the wider economic environment
- assisting members of Parliament in their deliberation on the appropriation bills.

This questionnaire seeks information about how the budget affects each department, including how budget allocations are connected to service delivery, infrastructure projects and assets, and other key economic, financial management and emerging issues.

Timeline and format

Responses to this questionnaire are due by 5.00pm on 8 May 2024.

It is essential that the Committee receive responses by this date to allow sufficient time to consider them before the budget estimates hearings.

The completed questionnaire and Excel worksheet in response to Question 9 should be sent (in the format received) to: paec@parliament.vic.gov.au.

Consistency with the budget papers

Wherever referring to an initiative (including output, asset and savings initiatives) that is also referred to in the budget papers, please use the name used in the budget papers. This ensures that the Committee can correlate the information provided by the department with the information in the budget papers.

Wherever providing details about the department (including amounts of funding, anticipated expenditure and revenue and savings targets) please provide figures for the Department on the same basis of consolidation as is used in the budget papers, unless otherwise specified.

Machinery of government changes

For initiatives (including output, asset and savings initiatives) that have been subject to any prior machinery of government changes, the department with responsibility for the initiative at the time of the 2024-25 Budget is the relevant reporting department for this inquiry.

Specific guidance

Additional guidance is provided for particular questions in the questionnaire.

For any inquiries about this questionnaire, please contact the Committee secretariat:

paec@parliament.vic.gov.au or 03 8682 2867.

Kathleen Hurley, Financial Analyst at kathleen.hurley@parliament.vic.gov.au

Charlotte Lever, Lead Analyst at charlotte.lever@parliament.vic.gov.au

Mathias Richter, Analyst at mathias.richter@parliament.vic.gov.au

Major initiatives

Question 1

What progress has been made in implementing the major initiatives/programs identified in the 2023-24 Budget for the department. Please identify a minimum of five initiatives/programs.

| | Major initiatives/pro grams | Objectives | Output | Activities undertaken | Progress against performance measures as at 30 April 2024 | Progress achieved against key Government outcomes |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1. | Supporting students with disability and their families | The Fighting for Students with Disability and their Families suite of initiatives builds on the High Intensity Outside School Hours Care initiative to better support students living with disabilities, their carers and families. Initiatives include: • High Intensity OSHC • NDIS Navigators • Additional Extracurricular Activities • Specialist School Eligibility Review • AAC Communication Software • Additional Therapy Animals. | Additional Supports for Students with Disabilities | The Department has designed, developed and implemented all activities under the package with the exception of the Therapy Animals initiative. The Department is proposing to revise the approach to these grants to enable schools to develop more sustainable approaches and target funding to initiatives most in demand from schools. | As at 30 April 2024, 546 places for specialist school students supported by High Intensity OSHC services have been provided YTD, against a 2023-24 target of 550. | Under tranche 1 of NDIS Navigators, 17.6 FTE have been recruited to these new roles. Schools have been provided with implementation support and guidance. Tranche 2 schools are currently being selected for commencement in Term 3, 2024. 546 places for specialist school students supported by High Intensity OSHC services have been provided. Specialist School Activity Boost funding distribution to schools began in Term 1 2024. Round 1 of the AAC software grants is complete and 19 schools purchased AAC software for 129 students. A |

| | Major initiatives/pro grams | Objectives | Output | Activities undertaken | Progress against performance measures as at 30 April 2024 | Progress achieved against key Government outcomes |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | second and final round of the AAC grants program closed on 22 March 2024. |
| 2. | Best Start, Best Life | Best Start, Best Life includes the following initiatives: Continue to support Free Kinder for 3- and 4-year- old children. Roll-out Pre-Prep from 2025, transitioning Four- Year-Old Kindergarten to a universal 30-hour per week program of play- based learning for every 4- year-old child. Delivery of 50 new government-owned and operated early learning centres. Continue the roll-out of Three-Year-Old Kindergarten, which will provide 3-year old children with access to 15 hours per week of play based learning by 2029. | Early Childhood Sector Supports and Regulation; Kindergarten Delivery | The Department continues to support the sector to implement Three- Year-Old Kindergarten and prepare for the roll- out of Pre-Prep. This includes support for service delivery, change management activities, collaborative infrastructure planning and investment, and multiple workforce attraction and retention supports. The Victorian Government has announced all locations for the 50 new government- owned and operated early learning centres. | Three-Year-Old Kindergarten enrolments: in 2023- 24, an expected outcome of 67,413 children against a target of 61,000 children. Three-Year-Old Kindergarten participation rate: in 2023-24, an expected outcome of 88.7 per cent against a target of 80 per cent. Average hours per week of Three-Year- Old Kindergarten: in 2023-24, an expected outcome of 13.1 hours against a target of 7.5 hours. | Flexible hours were successfully rolled-out in 2023, with services able to build up to delivering 15 hours per week by 2029. In 2024, more than 80% of services across the state offered 10-15 hours a week. The Department continues to collaborate with services and local governments to plan for Pre-Prep. All services in 2025 rollout areas are on track to deliver Pre-Prep programs. In 2024, the Free Kinder initiative is benefitting up to 140,000 three- and four-year- old children. All funded kindergarten services in Victoria are eligible to participate, and approximately 97 per cent have opted in. As of 30 April 2024, the operating structure for the |

| | Major initiatives/pro grams | Objectives | Output | Activities undertaken | Progress against performance measures as at 30 April 2024 | Progress achieved against key Government outcomes |
|----|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | early learning centres program has been established, legislation passed to enable government to employ staff and set wages and fees, and builders have been appointed. |
| 3. | Targeted initiatives to attract more teachers | The Targeted initiatives to attract more teachers package involves the continuation and introduction of a range of initiatives to grow and support the Victorian school workforce. Key initiatives are: Pre-service teacher Placement Grants, Payments for time-in-lieu for school camps, Flexible Work for School Leaders, Aspiring Koorie Teachers Now, and Teach the Future. | Supports for Schools and Staff | The Pre-service teacher Placement Grant program is providing pre-service teachers who are undertaking a placement in an eligible government school with a per-day grant for each working day of their placement to assist with expenses such as accommodation, travel and meal costs. The Victorian Government has provided funding directly to schools to support schools to implement time in lieu arrangements for | Not applicable – the investment in <i>Targeted initiatives</i> <i>to attract more</i> <i>teachers</i> in the 2023- 24 Budget is not directly associated with a BP3 performance measure. | Since launching in November 2023, the Pre-service teacher Placement Grants program has supported 1320 students completing placements at 524 regional, remote or specialist Victorian government schools. Funding allocated to schools and time in lieu arrangements for school camps have been implemented in Victorian government schools. Through the Flexible Work for School Leaders initiative, the department has successfully supported 197 schools to implement flexible working arrangements in the 2024 school year. Funding for the Aspiring Koorie Teachers Now |

| Major initiatives/pro grams | Objectives | Output | Activities undertaken | Progress against performance measures as at 30 April 2024 | Progress achieved against key Government outcomes |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | | | school camps. | | initiative will provide support |
| | | | | | for up to 160 Aboriginal |
| | | | The Flexible Work for | | and/or Torres Strait Islander |
| | | | School Leaders | | people to join or return to the |
| | | | initiative is providing | | schools workforce. |
| | | | funding equivalent to | | |
| | | | 0.2 FTE to trial part | | The Teach the Future |
| | | | time and job share | | campaign website has |
| | | | arrangements for | | received over 400,000 visits in |
| | | | school leaders. | | the 2023-24 financial year. |
| | | | Aspiring Koorie | | The Placements Plus |
| | | | Teachers Now, will | | programs have been |
| | | | deliver supports to | | confirmed and funding |
| | | | student teachers and | | agreements are being |
| | | | returning teachers | | entered into with universities. |
| | | | who identify as | | |
| | | | Aboriginal and/or | | The Teacher Re-Engagement |
| | | | Torres Strait Islander | | Initiative has supported 61 |
| | | | from July 2024. | | confirmed placements in schools. |
| | | | The Teach the Future | | |
| | | | recruitment | | |
| | | | campaign is | | |
| | | | attracting more | | |
| | | | people to the | | |
| | | | profession through a | | |
| | | | Victorian, interstate | | |
| | | | and international | | |
| | | | multi-channel | | |
| | | | advertising | | |

| | Major initiatives/pro grams | Objectives | Output | Activities undertaken | Progress against performance measures as at 30 April 2024 | Progress achieved against key Government outcomes |
|----|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | campaign. | | |
| | | | | 8 Victorian universities will deliver 13 Placements Plus programs in rural, regional and urban growth corridor areas across 2024 and 2025. | | |
| | | | | Through the Teacher Re-Engagement Initiative, the department is providing placements of up to 40 days in Victorian government schools for teachers to build their capabilities and confidence to return to the workforce. | | |
| 4. | Expanding access to Tech Schools | Two Tech School initiatives are preparing students for the future with skills in science, technology, engineering and maths. | School Education – Secondary | Planning and feasibility work has commenced on the 6 new Tech Schools, with building designs under development | Not applicable – the investment in <i>Expanding access to</i> <i>Tech Schools</i> in the 2023-24 Budget is not directly | 5 Directors for Brimbank, Frankston, Hume, Wangaratta and Warrnambool Tech Schools have commenced (with Dandenong Tech School to appoint their Director after |

| | Major initiatives/pro grams | Objectives | Output | Activities undertaken | Progress against performance measures as at 30 April 2024 | Progress achieved against key Government outcomes |
|----|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | Building 6 new Tech Schools in Brimbank, Dandenong, Frankston, Hume, Wangaratta and Warrnambool will give 62,000 additional students access to a Tech School. Establishing a \$10m Clean Energy Equipment Fund will provide 10 existing Tech Schools with high quality industry-standard equipment that will help deliver education programs to enhance renewable energy pathways for students. | | for 4 Tech Schools supported by local project control groups. 5 Tech School Directors have been appointed with one more to be appointed after mid- 2024. Applications for the Tech Schools Clean Energy Equipment Fund have been approved for 6 Tech Schools and 4 more are under assessment. | associated with a BP3 performance measure. | mid-2024). These Directors will lead Tech School Committees, comprised of school, industry and community representatives, to help tailor building and education program design to match local needs and embed student voice. |
| 5. | Lifting student outcomes - Tutor Learning Initiative (23- 24 Budget Update) | The Tutor Learning Initiative (TLI) provides funding for government and low-fee non- government schools to employ tutors to deliver targeted small-group learning support in literacy and numeracy to students who need it most. | School Education – Primary and Secondary | Schools have employed tutors and commenced tutoring sessions with a focus on students identified in 2023 NAPLAN as "needing additional support" in reading and numeracy. | This initiative makes a contribution to Victoria's NAPLAN results, which are captured in BP3 measures. Students undertake NAPLAN in Term 1 each year. | In 2023, government schools employed over 5,400 tutors who provided small group learning support to more than 120,000 students from prep to Year 12. The Department is monitoring the impact of TLI on student learning outcomes and has made the ACER |

| Major initiatives/pro grams | Objectives | Output | Activities undertaken | Progress against performance measures as at 30 April 2024 | Progress achieved against key Government outcomes |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------|---|--|--|
| | | | Agreements have been finalised with the Victorian Catholic Education Authority and Independent Schools Victoria for distribution of funds to low-fee non- government schools. | | Progressive Achievement Test available to schools through a statewide licence. |

Question 2

For each of the output initiatives detailed in the 2021-22 Budget, 2021-22 Budget Update, 2022-23 Budget, 2022 Victorian Economic and Fiscal Update, 2023-24 Budget and the 2023-24 Budget Update that have allocated funding in 2023-24 and 2024-25, please detail (on the same basis of consolidation as the budget papers):

- a) the original funding allocation for 2023-24 and 2024-25
- b) the current expected funding allocation for 2023-24 and 2024-25
- c) an explanation for any variances between the current funding and what was originally published in the budget papers when the initiative was announced. If machinery of government changes affected the implementation of these initiatives, please detail how.

| | Output initiative | Original funding allocation for 2023-24 | Current expected funding allocation for 2023-24 | Explanation of variance (if any) | Original funding allocation for 2024-25 | Current expected funding allocation for 2024-25 | Explanation of variance (if any) |
|-------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 2021-22 Budget | Additional support for early years management | 7.9 | 7.9 | Not applicable. | 8.4 | 8.4 | Not applicable. |
| 2021-22 Budget | Child Information Sharing Scheme (Information sharing and family violence risk assessment and management reforms - DET component) | Not applicable. | Not applicable. | Not applicable. | Not applicable. | Not applicable. | WoVG response is provided by DFFH which includes DE components. |
| 2021-22 Budget | Child Link | 22.6 | 22.6 | Not applicable. | 18.6 | 19.9 | \$1.297m of funding for training, resources and support was rephased from 2021- 22 to 2024-25 to better align with the expected roll-out of Child Link. |

2021-22 Giving vulnerable and 8.5 Not applicable. 8.5 8.5 Not applicable. 8.5 Budget disadvantaged kids the best start in life 4.9 4.9 Not applicable. 5.1 Not applicable. 2021-22 Kindergarten 5.1 Budget enrolment-based funding Ready for school: Not applicable. 62.7 Not applicable. 2021-22 15.0 15.0 62.7 Kinder for every three-Budget year-old Addressing the cost of 9.9 2021-22 9.9 Not applicable. 16.3 16.3 Not applicable. Budget delivering education 2021-22 Addressing 11.6 11.6 Not applicable. 0.0 0.0 Not applicable. underperformance in Budget schools 2021-22 Not applicable. Not applicable. Doctors in Secondary 12.4 12.4 12.6 12.6 Schools Budget Essential Maintenance Not applicable. 30.0 Not applicable. 2021-22 30.0 30.0 30.0 Budget and Compliance 3.2 3.2 3.3 3.3 2021-22 Reducing the Not applicable. Not applicable. Budget Administrative Burden on Principals 6.2 6.2 Not applicable. Not applicable. 2021-22 Increasing access to 6.0 6.0 Budget Tech Schools Not applicable. Not applicable. 2021-22 Music in Schools 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Budget 2021-22 Marrung (Koorie 9.9 9.9 Not applicable. 10.5 10.5 Not applicable. Budget Initiatives Package) 71.7 Not applicable. 2021-22 Mental health reform 71.7 89.2 89.2 Not applicable. Budget in education: setting up children and young people to thrive 2021-22 0.8 0.8 Not applicable. 0.8 0.8 Not applicable. Social cohesion Budget through education (DET component)

| 2021-22 Budget | Student Health and Wellbeing | 4.2 | 4.2 | Not applicable. | 2.1 | 2.1 | Not applicable. |
|-------------------|---|------|------|--|------|------|-----------------|
| 2021-22 Budget | Swimming and Water Safety Education | 18.6 | 20.2 | Variance is due to approved carryover of \$1.6 million underspend from 2022-23 to 2023-24. | 9.9 | 9.9 | Not applicable. |
| 2021-22 Budget | Support for Students with Disabilities and Additional Needs | 5.0 | 5.0 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| 2021-22 Budget | Targeted initiatives to Attract More Teachers | 0.2 | 0.8 | The variance is due to the department carrying over funds from the 2022-23 financial year to support the continuation of the Teach Today and Teach Tomorrow programs (employment-based pathway programs). | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| 2021-22 Budget | Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership | 34.9 | 34.9 | Not applicable. | 35.7 | 35.7 | Not applicable. |
| 2021-22 Budget | New schools construction | 17.4 | 17.4 | Not applicable. | 18.1 | 18.1 | Not applicable. |
| 2021-22 Budget | Relocatable Buildings Program | 3.0 | 3.0 | Not applicable. | 3.1 | 3.1 | Not applicable. |
| 2021-22 Budget | School upgrades: growth for 2024 | 1.2 | 1.2 | Not applicable. | 2.4 | 2.4 | Not applicable. |
| 2021-22 Budget | School information technology: onsite technical support | 6.4 | 6.4 | Not applicable. | 7.5 | 7.5 | Not applicable. |
| 2022-23 | Continuing early | 5.3 | 5.3 | Not applicable. | 5.2 | 5.2 | Not applicable. |

| Budget | intervention for vulnerable children | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|------|-------|---|------|-----|---|
| 2022-23 Budget | Continuing the early childhood language program | 2.8 | 2.8 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| 2022-23 Budget | Delivering 15 hours of four year old kindergarten | 15.2 | 0.000 | In 2023-24, Victoria continued to deliver 15 hours of Four-Year-Old Kindergarten. This funding supplements the Australian Government's contribution towards Four-Year-Old Kindergarten, Funding will be provided to the Department of Education later this financial year when required 2023-24 funding is confirmed. | 16.7 | 0.0 | In 2024-25, Victoria will continue to deliver 15 hours of Four-Year- Old Kindergarten, and commence the roll- out of Pre-Prep. This funding supplements the Australian Government's contribution towards Four-Year-Old Kindergarten. Funding will be provided to the Department of Education in the 2024- 25 financial year when required funding is confirmed. |
| 2022-23 Budget | Expanding the Kindergarten Fee Subsidy | 0.6 | 0.6 | Not applicable. | 0.6 | 0.6 | Not applicable. |
| 2022-23 Budget | Improving outcomes for children with disability | 4.2 | 4.2 | Not applicable. | 4.3 | 4.3 | Not applicable. |
| 2022-23 Budget | Improving outcomes for culturally and linguistically diverse (CALD) children | 2.0 | 2.0 | Not applicable. | 1.0 | 1.0 | Not applicable. |
| 2022-23 | Kinder Kits for three- | 7.6 | 7.6 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable |

| Budget | year-old kinder | | | | | | |
|---------|--|-------|------|-----------------|-------|-------|-----------------|
| 2022-23 | Maintaining universal | 21.6 | 21.6 | Not applicable. | 21.8 | 21.8 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | access to four-year-old | | | | | | |
| | kindergarten | | | | | | |
| 2022-23 | Digital education – | 25.7 | 25.7 | Not applicable. | 26.2 | 26.2 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Critical IT supporting | | | | | | |
| | every student | | | | | | |
| 2022-23 | Improving teaching | 194.6 | 19.6 | Not applicable. | 254.5 | 254.5 | Not applicable |
| Budget | quality / reducing the | | | | | | |
| | maximum face-to-face | | | | | | |
| | teaching hours for | | | | | | |
| | teachers | 0.5 | | | | | |
| 2022-23 | Software for | 8.5 | 8.5 | Not applicable. | 9.7 | 9.7 | Not applicable |
| Budget | connected learners | 1.2 | 4.2 | Net en Proble | 1.0 | 1.0 | NI-1 P 1-1- |
| 2022-23 | Respectful and safe | 4.2 | 4.2 | Not applicable. | 1.9 | 1.9 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | school communities | F 7 | F 7 | Netenslieskie | 0.7 | 0.7 | Net evelophie |
| 2022-23 | Building equity and excellence for rural | 5.7 | 5.7 | Not applicable. | 8.7 | 8.7 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | and regional students | | | | | | |
| 2022-23 | Active Schools | 9.6 | 9.6 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Active Schools | 9.0 | 9.0 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| 2022-23 | Engaging students in | 2.3 | 2.3 | Not applicable. | 1.5 | 1.5 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | learning – The Geelong | 2.5 | 2.5 | | 1.5 | 1.5 | |
| 244901 | Project, Northern | | | | | | |
| | Centre for Excellence | | | | | | |
| | in School Engagement | | | | | | |
| | and Project REAL | | | | | | |
| 2022-23 | English as an | 11.9 | 11.9 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Additional Language | | | | | | |
| 2022-23 | Enhanced Navigator | 11.1 | 11.1 | Not applicable. | 11.4 | 11.4 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Program | | | | | | |
| 2022-23 | Extension of the | 8.3 | 8.3 | Not applicable. | 4.3 | 4.3 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Primary Mathematics | | | | | | |
| | and Science Specialists | | | | | | |
| | initiative | | | | | | |

2022-23 Out-of-field teaching 3.2 3.2 Not applicable. Not applicable. 3.6 3.6 Budget 2022-23 Student health and 0.8 Not applicable. 0.8 0.8 Not applicable. 0.8 wellbeing – school Budget nursing and student support services 2022-23 Sustaining student 12.5 12.5 Not applicable. 9.9 Not applicable. 9.9 mental health services Budget for schools 2022-23 2.0 2.0 Not applicable. 0.0 0.0 Not applicable. Preparing the Budget education system for self-determination 15.1 Not applicable. 2022-23 Continuation of the 15.1 Not applicable. 7.5 7.5 Budget Student Excellence Program 2022-23 Lifting student literacy 36.6 36.6 Not applicable. 33.0 Not applicable. 33.0 Budget and numeracy outcomes 2022-23 Targeted investment 9.3 9.3 Not applicable. Not applicable. 9.4 9.4 to improve educational Budget outcomes in youth justice 2022-23 33.1 33.1 Not applicable. 23.3 Not applicable. Excellence in 23.3 Budget vocational and applied learning 2022-23 Head Start Not applicable. Not applicable. 18.7 18.7 19.4 19.4 Budget apprenticeships and traineeships for all Victorian government school students 2022-23 Improved vocational 12.4 12.4 Not applicable. 4.5 4.5 Not applicable. and applied learning Budget pathways 2022-23 Universal access to Not applicable. Not applicable. 24.2 24.2 27.3 27.3 Budget high-quality VET for

| | government school students | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|------|------|---|------|------|--|
| 2022-23 Budget | Targeted initiatives to attract more teachers | 14.8 | 20.8 | The variance is due to the department carrying over funds from the 2022-23 financial year to align with commitments made for the Teach Today and Teach Today and Teach Tomorrow programs (postgraduate innovative and employment-based ITE programs), International Teacher Recruitment program, and the Teach Rural Pilot (formerly known as the Go Rural – Education pilot) program | 15.1 | 17.6 | The variance is due to the department rephasing funds to align with commitments made for the Teach Today and Teach Tomorrow programs (postgraduate innovative and employment-based ITE programs). |
| 2022-23 Budget | Next Generation Victorian Curriculum F- 10 | 0.8 | 0.8 | Not applicable. | 0.5 | 0.5 | Not applicable. |
| 2022-23 Budget | Victorian Certificate of Education (VCE) delivery on the Northern Hemisphere timetable | 1.5 | 1.5 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| 2022-23 Budget | Building inclusive kindergartens | 5.8 | 5.8 | Not applicable. | 3.2 | 3.2 | Not applicable. |
| 2022-23 Budget | Essential maintenance and compliance | 32.8 | 32.8 | Not applicable. | 32.8 | 32.8 | Not applicable. |
| 2022-23 | New schools | 15.1 | 15.1 | Not applicable. | 19.4 | 19.4 | Not applicable. |

| Budget | construction | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|------------------------------|
| 2022-23 | Relocatable Buildings | 2.9 | 2.9 | Not applicable. | 3.0 | 3.0 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Program | 2.5 | 2.5 | | 5.0 | 5.0 | |
| 2022-23 | School upgrades: | 0.000 | 0.0 | Not applicable. | 0.9 | 0.9 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | growth for 2025 | | | | | | |
| 2022 | Best Start, Best Life | 365.1 | 365.1 | Not applicable. | 524.8 | 524.8 | Not applicable. |
| Victorian | | | | | | | |
| Economic | | | | | | | |
| and Fiscal | | | | | | | |
| Update | | 27.0 | 27.0 | | | | |
| 2022 Victorian | Mental Health in | 37.9 | 37.9 | Not applicable. | 63.0 | 63.0 | Not applicable. |
| Economic | Primary Schools | | | | | | |
| and Fiscal | | | | | | | |
| Update | | | | | | | |
| 2022 | Extending the Tutor | 129.2 | 129.2 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| Victorian | Learning Initiative | | _ | | | | |
| Economic | | | | | | | |
| and Fiscal | | | | | | | |
| Update | | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Best Start, Best Life: | 20.2 | 20.2 | Not applicable. | 9.0 | 9.0 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Best Kinders for | | | | | | |
| | Victoria Kids | | | | | | |
| | (Education Initiative) | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Best Start, Best Life: | 0.0 | 117.4 | Funding released from | 0.0 | 239.3 | Funding released from |
| Budget | Free Kinder | | | contingency rather | | | central contingency |
| | | | | than newly allocated. | | | rather than newly allocated. |
| 2023-24 | Best Start, Best Life: | 0.0 | 21.5 | Funding released from | 0.0 | 38.9 | Funding released from |
| Budget | Pre Prep | 0.0 | 21.5 | contingency. | 0.0 | 50.5 | central contingency. |
| 2023-24 | Supporting Inclusion in | 4.5 | 4.5 | Not applicable. | 5.4 | 5.4 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Kindergarten for | | | | •••• | | |
| | Children with | | | | | | |
| | Additional Needs | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Supporting | 0.2 | 0.2 | Not applicable. | 0.5 | 0.5 | Not applicable. |

| Budget | Independent Kinder | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|------|------|-----------------------|------|------|------------------------|
| 2023-24 | Three-Year-Old Kinder | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | | | | | 1.0 | 1.0 | |
| 2023-24 Budget | Books in prep bags | 0.8 | 0.8 | Not applicable. | 1.0 | 1.0 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 | Camps, Sports and | 40.6 | 40.6 | Not applicable. | 41.6 | 41.6 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Excursions Fund | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Securing Connected | 3.1 | 3.1 | Not applicable. | 3.2 | 3.2 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Learners | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 Budget | Students with Disabilities Transport | 31.9 | 31.9 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable |
| Budget | Program | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Refugee Education | 2.9 | 0.3 | Not applicable. | 6.2 | 1.1 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Supports | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Anti-bullying Supports | 2.3 | 2.3 | Not applicable. | 2.6 | 2.6 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | for School Students | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Building Equity and | 3.1 | 3.1 | Not applicable. | 1.6 | 1.6 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Excellence for Rural | | | | | | |
| | and Regional Students – Phase 2 | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Expanding access to | 2.8 | 2.8 | Not applicable. | 3.9 | 3.9 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | tech schools | 2.0 | | | 0.0 | | |
| 2023-24 | Fighting for students | 29.6 | 26.5 | Lower due to rephase | 44.1 | 45.7 | Increase due to cross |
| Budget | with disability and | | | for Animal Therapy | | | year rephase for |
| | their families | | | initiative and | | | Additional |
| | | | | Additional | | | Extracurricular |
| | | | | Extracurricular | | | Activities initiative. |
| | | | | Activities initiative | | | |
| | | | | over future years. | | | |
| 2023-24 | Free Period Products in | 3.7 | 3.7 | Not applicable. | 3.8 | 3.8 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | All Government | | | | | | |
| 2022.26 | Schools Initiative | 24.2 | 21.2 | Netenslieskie | 26.7 | 26.7 | Nist surliss bits |
| 2023-24 Budget | Providing Victorian students with the | 21.2 | 21.2 | Not applicable. | 26.7 | 26.7 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | essentials to support | | | | | | |
| | essentials to support | | | | | | |

| | their engagement in learning | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|------|------|--|-----|-----|-----------------|
| 2023-24 Budget | Schools Mental Health Fund and Menu | 1.2 | 1.2 | Not applicable. | 0.8 | 0.8 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 Budget | School-wide positive behaviour support | 0.4 | 0.4 | Not applicable. | 2.6 | 2.6 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 Budget | Student health and wellbeing – primary school nursing and student support services | 9.3 | 9.3 | Not applicable. | 4.8 | 4.8 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 Budget | Engaging At-Risk Youth | 1.9 | 1.9 | Not applicable. | 4.4 | 4.4 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 Budget | Enhancing the Student Excellence Program | 8.3 | 8.3 | Not applicable. | 8.3 | 8.3 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 Budget | Our place partnership and place based education plans | 1.8 | 1.8 | Not applicable. | 5.9 | 5.9 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 Budget | Resilient Recovery: Supporting Educational Communities to Recovery from the impact of the 2022 Victorian Floods | 0.64 | 0.6 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 Budget | Supporting our multicultural and multifaith communities | 1.1 | 1.1 | Not applicable. | 1.7 | 1.7 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 Budget | A new clean energy pathway for schools | 3.0 | 4.38 | Unspent funds allocated to 2022-23 were carried forward into 2023-24. | 1.4 | 1.4 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 Budget | Engaging students to remain in learning | 5.3 | 5.3 | Not applicable. | 9.4 | 9.4 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 Budget | Cheaper energy for Victorians, by | 2.9 | 3.4 | Unspent funds allocated to 2022-23 | 5.5 | 5.5 | Not applicable. |

| | Victorians – work experience | | | were carried forward into 2023-24. | | | |
|---------|--|-------|-------|------------------------------------|------|------|---------------------|
| 2023-24 | Targeted initiatives to | 74.4 | 74.4 | Not applicable. | 60.5 | 60.5 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | attract more teachers | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Supporting teachers | 18.6 | 11.0 | Not all the funding | 13.0 | 6.3 | Not all the funding |
| Budget | with detailed lesson | | | held in contingency | | | held in contingency |
| | planning and high | | | was released due to | | | was released due to |
| | quality curriculum | | | new implementation | | | new implementation |
| | planning and | | | model. | | | model |
| 2023-24 | assessment support Best Start, Best Life: | 3.4 | 3.4 | Not applicable. | 15.5 | 15.5 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Infrastructure | 5.4 | 5.4 | Not applicable. | 15.5 | 15.5 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 | Building Blocks | 0.4 | 0.4 | Not applicable. | 9.6 | 9.6 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Improvement Grants | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Eltham Woods | 2.9 | 2.9 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Childcare Cooperative, | | | | | | |
| | Hughesdale | | | | | | |
| | Kindergarten and | | | | | | |
| | Research Pre-School | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Essential Maintenance | 22.5 | 22.5 | Not applicable. | 65.0 | 65.0 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | and Compliance | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | New school at the | 0.6 | 0.6 | Not applicable. | 0.1 | 0.1 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Royal Children's | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Hospital New schools | 0.4 | 0.4 | Not applicable. | 7.4 | 7.4 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | construction | 0.4 | 0.4 | Not applicable. | 7.4 | 7.4 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 | New Schools Planning | 26.0 | 26.0 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Fund | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Non-Government | 348.8 | 348.8 | Not applicable. | 33.8 | 33.8 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Schools Capital Fund | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Relocatable Buildings | 19.9 | 19.9 | Not applicable. | 4.8 | 4.8 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Program | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | School Upgrades | 4.0 | 4.0 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| Budget | Delivery Fund | | | | | | |
| 2023-24 | Educational Supports | 0.8 | 0.8 | Not applicable. | 10.8 | 10.8 | Not applicable. |

| budget update | for Children in Out of Home Care | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------|-----------------|
| 2023-24 budget | English as an Additional Language | 18.7 | 18.7 | Not applicable. | 19.2 | 19.2 | Not applicable. |
| update 2023-24 budget update | Strengthening self- determination in Education | 0.9 | 0.9 | Not applicable. | 0.0 | 0.0 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 budget update | Taking the Burden Off Government Schools and Teachers with Detailed Lesson Planning Support | 8.2 | 8.2 | Not applicable. | 6.4 | 6.4 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 budget update | Lifting student outcomes - Tutor Learning Initiative | 114.4 | 114.4 | Not applicable. | 231.9 | 231.9 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 budget update | Growing the pipeline of teachers across all Victorian government schools | 14.1 | 14.1 | Not applicable. | 25.7 | 25.7 | Not applicable. |
| 2023-24 budget update | Career Start Program | 18.0 | 18.0 | Not applicable. | 46.1 | 46.1 | Not applicable. |

Strategic issues

Question 3

In order of priority, please list the five most significant strategic issues that influenced the development of the Department's estimates for the 2024-25 financial year. Please describe how the Department will address these issues in 2024-25.

| | Strategic issue | How the Department will address the issue in 2024-25 | What progress, if any, has been made as at 30 April 2024, if applicable |
|--|-----------------|--|---|
|--|-----------------|--|---|

| 1. | Addressing school workforce issues | Funding provided in the 2024–25 budget will support key initiatives to grow the Victorian government school workforce and prioritise school improvement. The 2024-25 budget allocates \$17.9 million for the <i>Building the government schools' teaching workforce</i> to support teacher | Since 2019, the Victorian Government has invested more than \$1.6 billion in school workforce initiatives across 5 priorities – attraction, recruitment, supporting early career teachers, retention and career development. |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | attraction and retention. This includes investment in continuing the <i>International Teacher Recruitment Initiative</i> and the <i>Flexible Work for School Leaders initiative</i> , which will support 200 additional school leaders to trial part time and job share arrangements in the 2025 school year. | There were approximately 8,000 more registered teachers in Victoria in June 2023 than in June 2020. |
| | | The 2024-25 budget also includes \$10.7 million over 3 years and \$3.6 million ongoing for the <i>Reducing the Administrative Burden on</i> | Key successes associated with implementation of initiatives to date include: |
| | | Principals initiative. This continues the work of the School Administration Support Hub (SASH) and the Principal Advisory Service – key initiatives established to improve principal health and wellbeing and reduce workload through the Safe and Well in Education Strategy (2019-2024). | • The International Teacher Recruitment Initiative has sourced over 100 international teachers to hard to staff vacancies in Victorian government schools since 2022. |
| | | In addition to funding allocated through the 2024–25 budget, funding allocated through previous state budgets, together with internal DE funding, continue to support a range of school workforce initiatives in 2024-25: | • Through the Flexible Work for School Leaders initiative, the department has successfully supported 197 schools to implement flexible working arrangements in the 2024 school year. |
| | | • The Secondary Teaching Scholarships initiative that provides 4,000 scholarships p.a. to future teachers, to support students enrolling in a secondary school teaching degree in 2024 and 2025. | The School Administrative Support Hub (SASH) has offered support to more schools, assisting with finance, human resources and student administration. SASH is available to schools with fewer than 200 enrolments, recognising the |
| | | • The <i>Teach Today and Teach Tomorrow</i> programs that provide employment-based teaching degrees to reduce financial barriers to studying teaching | additional administrative burden that these schools face with a smaller staffing profile. Between 2021 and 2023, the number of schools |
| | | • The Targeted Financial Incentives program that supports | using the SASH grew by 324 per cent, from 50 to 162 schools. The number of service streams |

| teachers to take up hard to staff roles in government schools. The <i>Career Start</i> initiative that supports early career teachers | schools use has grown by 509 per cent, from 131 to 668. |
|--|--|
| The cureer start initiative that supports early career teachers through mentoring, time release, networking opportunities. The Pre-service Teacher Placement Grant program to support placements in regional, remote or specialist Victorian government schools. Supporting the implementation of the Victorian Curriculum 2.0 in priority subject areas, including through the creation of lesson plans that will be published on the department's Arc learning platform. The use of common lesson plans can reduce workload pressures and duplication of effort. | The Principal Advisory Service which operates as frontline support system, has provided dedicated phone and email hotlines for school leaders to directly access assistance. Since launching in 2021, the Principal Advisory Service has assisted school leaders with over 3,500 queries and experienced a 100% increase in call volumes for each year of operation. The department has invested in a 1.5 hour reduction in maximum face to face teaching time. |
| • The Aspiring Koorie Teachers Now initiative which will provide support for up to 160 Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander people to join or return to the schools workforce. | reduction in maximum face-to-face teaching time per week for Victorian government school per week with a reduction of one hour per week in 2023 and a further 30 minutes per week in 2024. |
| | • Across 2023 and 2024, 751 teaching degree students are enrolled in or have completed an employment-based degree through the <i>Teach Today and Teach Tomorrow</i> programs. |
| | • Since 2019, the <i>Targeted Financial Incentives</i> program has supported over 600 teachers to move to hard to staff roles in government schools, with approximately 70% of these positions in rural and regional schools. |
| | • Since launching in November 2023, the Pre-service teacher Placement Grants program has supported 1320 students completing placements at 524 regional, remote or specialist Victorian government schools. |

| | | | • As of April 2024, the <i>Career Start</i> initiative is supporting over 950 graduates and over 700 mentors. |
|----|--|--|---|
| 2. | Improving Indigenous student outcomes | The 2024-25 State Budget includes new funding of \$51 million to improve outcomes and experiences for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students through strengthened self-determination in education. This new investment will enable concerted work to strengthen self-determination and cultural safety in schools, through: resourcing the Aboriginal community sector to increase their capacity to support improved education outcomes for Aboriginal students and to contribute to education decision-making. producing locally tailored First Nations curriculum resources and provision of support and professional learning for schools, to be developed alongside community. Producing resources for schools to enhance their cultural safety, to be developed alongside community. This new investment builds on the Victorian Government's commitment to the Marrung: Aboriginal Education Plan (2016-2026). Marrung was developed in partnership with the Victorian Aboriginal Education Association Incorporated (VAEAI), consistent with the principle of self-determination. | Progress towards improved outcomes for Indigenous students differs across key targets and measures. Since the commencement of Marrung: Aboriginal Education Plan (2016-2026) there has been marked improvements in some areas (such as the number of students attaining a Year 12 or equivalent), with others continuing to be difficult to shift (such as absence rates). Through the Self-determination in Education 'Campfire Conversations', funded through the 2021–22 State Budget Marrung (Koorie Initiatives Package) and 2022–23 State Budget Preparing the education system for self-determination, over 3,000 people engaged in a statewide consultation and co-design process on how the education system can work better for Aboriginal students. Participants included Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students and young people, families and carers, Elders and Organisations, as well as school and education staff. This process has outlined the areas for the department to focus its work going forward, in order to achieve and sustain improved outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students, including accountability, truth-telling, voice, capacity building, partnerships and ensuring a culturally safe and responsive school system. |

| 3. | Addressing the cost of education to ensure access for all Victorian children to quality education | The 2024-25 Budget delivers measures to ease the cost of schooling for families. These include: \$280.1 million for a \$400 School Saving Bonus to families of both government school students and students experiencing disadvantage in non-government schools \$6.8 million over 3 years to expand access to the Glasses for Kids program. | These measures build on a number of initiatives that support families with managing the cost of education. These include: \$542.6 million investment in the Camps, Sports and Excursions Fund, which ensures that approximately 200,000 students each year from families holding a means-tested concession card have the same opportunities as their peers \$141.2 million provided since inception for School Breakfast Clubs. \$5.1 million investment in the Glasses for Kids Program. All students in Victorian Government schools must be provided with the materials to access the Victorian curriculum free of charge. The department has also supported the roll out of the national Student Broadband Initiative that provides internet connectivity in the home to those students who do not currently have connectivity. |
|----|---|--|--|
| 4. | Delivery of key reforms in early childhood education | The Victorian Government's investment in <i>Best Start Best Life</i> will support a large-scale expansion of the early childhood education and care sector to facilitate the increased number of enrolled children, support these children to attend for more hours of kindergarten and Pre-Prep, and invest in workforce initiatives to grow the additional early childhood teachers and educators required to deliver the reform. Over the reform period, there will be more than 11,000 new early childhood teacher and educator positions created to deliver Three-Year-Old Kindergarten and Pre- Prep across Victoria. | In 2024, the Free Kinder initiative is benefiting up to 140,000 three- and four-year-old children. All funded kindergarten services in Victoria are eligible to participate, and approximately 97% have opted in. All services in 2025 rollout areas are on track to deliver Pre-Prep programs. Victorian families and the sector have embraced the Three-Year-Old Kindergarten program, with more than 80% of services across the state offering 10-15 hours a |

| Best Start Best Life consists of four major initiatives: | week in 2024. |
|---|---|
| • Free Kinder – Implemented in 2023 for all three-and four- year-old children at participating services in both sessional and long day care settings. | In 2023, Three-Year-Old Kindergarten enrolments, participation and average hours performance measures all exceeded their targets. |
| • Pre-Prep – From 2025, Four-Year-Old Kindergarten will transition to 'Pre-Prep', a universal, 30-hour-a-week program of play-based learning available to all Victorian children in the year before school. | The Government is supporting the sector to grow its infrastructure and workforce capacity to deliver both Three-Year-Old Kindergarten and Pre-Prep. For workforce, the Government is investing almost \$370 |
| • Three-Year-Old Kindergarten – A universal 15-hour-a-week program of play-based learning for all Victorian children that is currently being rolled out and will be fully implemented in 2029. | million in a major package to attract, retain and develop the talented and professional early childhood education workforce. Since 2019, the number of teachers and educators in funded kindergarten |
| • 50 Early Learning Childcare Centres – These will be built across Victoria from 2025, in areas with the greatest need, delivering affordable childcare, Three-Year-Old Kindergarten, Pre-Prep and, where possible, other services for families and children. | programs has grown by more than 50 per cent. The Victorian Government has announced all 50 locations for the new government-owned and operated early learning centres. |
| The Department will continue to make Free Kinder available so that families with children enrolled in participating sessional kindergarten programs can receive a free kindergarten program, saving them up to \$2,563 per year. Families with children enrolled in a kindergarten program in a long day care service receive a fee offset of up to \$2,050 per child. | In 2025, the first 4 centres will open at Eaglehawk North Primary School; Moomba Park Primary School; Murtoa College; Sunshine Primary School. An additional 10 centres opening in 2026 have also been announced, located at Clunes Primary School, Hallam Primary School, Harrisfield Primary School, |
| Pre-Prep will begin rolling out in 2025 in six rural Local Government Areas (LGAs). Pre-Prep is adopting a staged roll-out approach to provide time to build the infrastructure and workforce capacity required to deliver the reform. The Department is helping the sector to build this capacity and plan service-level delivery so that services are ready to offer Pre-Prep in line with the roll-out schedule. | Kings Park Primary School, McClelland Secondary College, Numurkah Primary School, Portland South Primary School, Wedderburn College, Wilmot Road Primary School and Wyndham Park Primary School. As of 30 April 2024, legislation passed to enable government to employ staff, set wages and fees |
| The Department will continue to support the implementation of Three-Year-Old Kindergarten by supporting services to offer the | relating to the centres, and builders have been appointed. Educators and teachers employed at the |

| | | program and scale up their hours of delivery. In 2024-2025, the department will build the first four early learning and childcare centres, recruit centre staff, and open the centres in 2025. | early learning centres will be among the 11,000 new early childhood and educator positions created to deliver Three-Year-Old Kindergarten and Pre-Prep across Victoria. |
|----|--|---|---|
| 5. | Investing in Victoria's school infrastructure | The Victorian Government is prioritising investment to deliver current infrastructure commitments and optimise existing assets. Funding provided in the 2024–25 State Budget contributes to several key government commitments for education infrastructure, as well as providing maintenance funding to efficiently utilise DE's existing assets. The 2024–25 State Budget provides: \$947.7 million to build 16 new schools to open in 2026 that altogether will provide around 9,140 spaces for Victorian students across the 16 schools. \$46.4 million for school expansions – growth area schools. This includes additional stages at new schools and growth area schools. \$68.9 million to acquire land for 3 new school sites and 1 site at an existing school. \$152.4 million for the Relocatable Buildings Program (RBP) to purchase and deliver 266 new and refurbished relocatable buildings. This will help accommodate around 8,600 additional spaces for the 2025 school year buildings, as part of the department's enrolment management initiatives. \$226.7million for upgrade and modernisation projects at election commitment schools which means that 67 of the 96 | The delivery of the 16 new schools funded in 2024–25 State Budget will now acquit the 100 New Schools election commitment made in 2018. Of the 54 election commitments made in 2022 a further 25 projects that are in detailed planning have been funded for construction. The government has now funded the construction for 67 of the 96 schools committed in the 2022 election. Maintenance funding builds on the department's continued program of Asset Management Reform, which has strengthened the department's asset management system and has better supported schools to manage their facilities since the introduction of the Rolling Facilities Evaluation (RFE) in 2018. With the full implementation of the original initiatives in 2023, the use of the Asset Information Management System (AIMS) has now been completely rolled out to all Victorian Government schools. |

| election commitment school upgrades have now been funded for construction. |
|---|
| • \$1 million to plan for school provision in inner Melbourne. |
| • \$25 million for the Capital Works Fund (CWF) |
| The 2024–25 State Budget also provides \$265 million in maintenance and compliance funding for school infrastructure over 4 years. This will uplift the funding for education infrastructure maintenance, ensuring that education facilities are safe and well- maintained. |

Revenue and expenditure – variances

Question 4

Budget Paper No. 5: Statement of Finances provides a comprehensive operating statement that details each department's revenue and expenses on an accrual basis reflecting the cost of providing its output.

For each line item of the comprehensive operating statement if there is a variance greater than 10 per cent (positive or negative) or greater than \$100 million (positive or negative) please explain the reason for the variance between the budget for 2023-24, the revised estimate for 2023-24 and the budget for 2024-25.

Guidance

Where the variance is in 'Other operating expenses', please supply the relevant expense category.

| Line item | 2023-24 Budget (\$ million) | 2023-24 Revised estimate (\$ million) | Explanation for any variances greater than ±10% (or greater than \$100 million) 2023-24 Budget vs. 2023-24 Revised estimate |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Output appropriations | 15,435 | 15,748 | The variance is primarily driven by new initiatives announced since the 2023-24 Budget including initiatives such as <i>Lifting Student Outcomes – Tutor Learning Initiative, English</i> <i>as an Additional Language</i> and <i>Building the government schools' teaching workforce.</i> The variance also reflects the realignment in Enrolment Based Funding for Kindergartens, Government and Non-Government Schools. |
| Sale of goods and services | 220 | 333 | The variance is driven by growth in schools' third-party revenue from activities such as camps, excursions, extracurricular activities, outside school hours care and hire of school facilities/equipment. |
| Grants | 10 | 30 | The variance is driven by Growth Areas Infrastructure Contributions (GAIC) from the Department of Transport and Planning for Land Acquisition. |

| Employee benefits | 9,456 | 9,694 | The variance is primarily driven by new initiatives announced since the 2023-24 Budget including initiatives such as <i>Lifting Student Outcomes - Tutor Learning Initiative, English</i> <i>as an Additional Language</i> and <i>Building the government schools' teaching workforce.</i> The variance also reflects <i>School enrolment-based funding</i> announced in the 2024-25 Budget, and the funding of the COVID debt levy. |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Grants and other transfers | 1,875 | 1,686 | The variance reflects rephases of grants and other transfers expenses from 2023-24 to 2024-25. This includes initiatives such as <i>Ready for school: kinder for every three-year-old</i> and <i>Non-Government Schools Capital Fund</i> . In addition, the variance reflects the reallocation of expenditure related to the <i>Best Start Best life</i> across account categories to better reflect the nature of the expenditure. |
| Other operating expenses | 3,523 | 3,916 | The increase in other operating expenses is primarily due to the following: Expected increase in other operating expenditure in schools driven by additional agency staff engaged by schools, payments to service providers, camps/excursions/activities, and class materials. Rephase of funding from 2022-23 to 2023-24 for the <i>Students with Disability Reform</i> initiative. Accounting reclassification of some expenditure for the Best Start Best Life initiative |
| Line item | 2023-24 Budget (\$ million) | 2024-25 Budget (\$ million) | Explanation for any variances greater than ±10% (or greater than \$100 million) 2023-24 Budget vs. 2024-25 Budget |

| Output | 15,435 | 16,511 | The variance is primarily driven by new funding for initiatives approved as part of the |
|-------------------|--------|--------|--|
| appropriations | | | 2024-25 Budget. This includes initiatives such as Supporting Families with the Cost of |
| | | | Education, Best Start, Best Life and Three-Year-Old Kindergarten, and Students with |
| | | | Disabilities Transport Program. |
| | | | The increase is also driven by new initiatives announced since the 2023-24 Budget |
| | | | including initiatives such as Lifting Student Outcomes - Tutor Learning Initiative, Building |
| | | | the government schools' teaching workforce and English as an Additional Language. |
| | | | The increase in the budget includes the realignment in Enrolment Based Funding for |
| | | | Kinder, Government and Non-Government Schools and funding of the COVID debt levy |
| | | | as well as rephases of funding from 2023-24 to 2024-25. The increase also relates to the |
| | | | superannuation guarantee for the school workforce. |
| Interest | 73 | 82 | The variance is due to increase in Central Banking System (CBS) interest rates, which are |
| | | | calculated based on the Reserve Bank of Australia's Overnight Cash Rate (OCR). The |
| | | | OCR increased from a low of 0.1 per cent in March 2022 to its current level of 4.35 per |
| | | | cent resulting in increases impacting the budgeted interest revenue from 2023-24 to |
| | | | the 2024-25 budget. |
| Sale of goods and | 220 | 342 | The variance is driven by growth in Schools' third-party revenue from activities such as |
| services | | | camps, excursions, extracurricular activities, outside school hours care and hire of |
| | | | school facilities/equipment. |
| Grants | 10 | 77 | The variance is driven by Growth Areas Infrastructure Contributions (GAIC) from the |
| | | | Department of Transport and Planning for Land Acquisition and New School |
| | | | Construction. |

| Employee benefits | 9,456 | 10,079 | The variance is primarily driven by new funding for initiatives approved as part of the 2024-25 Budget. This includes initiatives such as <i>School enrolment-based funding</i> and <i>English as an Additional Language</i> . The increase is also driven by new initiatives announced since the 2023-24 Budget including initiatives such as <i>Lifting Student Outcomes - Tutor Learning Initiative</i> and <i>Building the government schools' teaching workforce</i> . |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|
| | | | The increase in the budget includes funding for the COVID debt levy and wage indexation adjustments. The increase also relates to the superannuation guarantee for the school workforce. |
| Other operating expenses | 3,523 | 4,174 | The variance is primarily driven by new funding for initiatives approved as part of the 2024-25 Budget. This includes initiatives such as <i>Best Start, Best Life and Three-Year-Old Kindergarten</i> and <i>Students with Disabilities Transport Program</i> . The variance also reflects additional funding for the <i>School Cleaning Reform</i> . |
| | | | Other factors contributing to the variance include the reallocation of expenditure across accounts to better reflect nature of the expenditure and an increase in other operating expenses for schools driven by expected agency staff engaged by schools, payments to service providers, camps/excursions/activities and class materials. |
| Line item | 2023-24 Revised estimate (\$ million) | 2024-25 Budget (\$ million) | Explanation for any variances greater than ±10% (or greater than \$100 million) 2023-24 Revised estimate vs. 2024-25 Budget |
| Output appropriations | 15,748 | 16,511 | The variance is primarily driven by new funding for initiatives approved as part of the 2024-25 Budget. This includes initiatives such as <i>Supporting Families with the Cost of Education, Best Start, Best Life and Three-Year-Old Kindergarten,</i> and <i>Students with Disabilities Transport Program</i> . Other factors contributing to the variance include the superannuation guarantee for the school workforce and rephases of funding from 2023-24 to 2024-25. |

| Interest | 69 | 82 | The variance is due to increase in Central Banking System (CBS) interest rates, which are calculated based on the Reserve Bank of Australia's Overnight Cash Rate (OCR). The OCR increased from a low of 0.1 per cent in March 2022 to its current level of 4.35 per cent resulting in increases impacting the budgeted interest revenue from 2023-24 to the 2024-25 budget. |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------|---|
| Grants | 30 | 77 | The variance is driven by Growth Areas Infrastructure Contributions (GAIC) from the Department of Transport and Planning for Land Acquisition and New School Construction. |
| Employee benefits | 9,694 | 10,079 | The variance is primarily driven by new funding for initiatives approved as part of the 2024-25 Budget. This includes initiatives such as <i>School enrolment-based funding</i> and <i>English as an Additional Language.</i> |
| | | | Additionally, the variance is also due to an increase in year-on-year funding for initiatives funded from previous budgets such as <i>Inclusion for all: New funding and</i> <i>support model for school students with disability, Improving Teaching Quality</i> and <i>Building the government schools' teaching workforce.</i> The increase also relates to the superannuation guarantee for the school workforce. |
| Grants and other transfers | 1,686 | 1,824 | The variance primarily relates to the 2024-25 Budget decisions for <i>Supporting Families</i> with the Cost of Education. |
| Other operating expenses | 3,916 | 4,174 | The variance is primarily driven by new funding for initiatives approved as part of the 2024-25 Budget. This includes initiatives such as <i>Best Start, Best Life and Three-Year-Old Kindergarten</i> and <i>Students with Disabilities Transport Program.</i> |
| | | | The variance also includes additional funding for the School Cleaning Reform. |

Revenue initiatives – new and changed

Question 5

For all new revenue initiatives in the 2024-25 budget papers and for all existing revenue initiatives that have changed in the 2024-25 budget papers as compared to the previous financial year, please provide the:

- a) name of the initiative as used in the 2024-25 budget papers
- b) objective/s of the initiative
- c) reason for the new initiative or change to the initiative
- d) expected outcome/benefit for the Victorian community of the new initiative/change to the initiative
- e) anticipated revenue in the financial year 2024-25 and over the forward estimates gained or foregone as a result of the new initiative/change to the initiative.

| Name of the initiative as used in the 2024-25 budget papers | Not applicable |
|---|----------------|
| Objective/s of the initiative | Not applicable |
| Reason for new initiative or change | Not applicable |
| Expected outcome/benefit for the Victorian community of the new initiative/change to the initiative | Not applicable |
| Anticipated revenue in financial year 2024-25 gained or foregone | Not applicable |
| Anticipated revenue in financial year 2025-26 gained or foregone | Not applicable |
| Anticipated revenue in financial year 2026-27 gained or foregone | Not applicable |
| Anticipated revenue in financial year 2027-28 gained or foregone | Not applicable |

Expenditure – new programs and initiatives (output and asset)

Question 6

For all new programs and initiatives (output and asset) in the 2024-25 budget papers, please provide the:

- name of the program/initiative
- objective(s) of the program
- budgeted expenditure in financial year 202425 on the program/initiative
- details of how it will be funded (i.e. through new output appropriation, Commonwealth funding, internal reprioritisation etc.)

| Name of the program/initiative | Objective(s) of the program | Budgeted expenditure in financial year 2024- 25 on the program/initiative (\$ million) | Details of how it will be funded |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| Place-based education and wellbeing programs | 4 place-based education and wellbeing programs: Academy Movement: To enable 10 schools to establish sports academies using the successful Academy Movement model. The sport academy programs will support more than 1,500 students to improve academic outcomes, increase school engagement, improve access to senior secondary pathways and increase the number of CALD students representing their school in sport at state or national level. | \$1.8 | New output appropriation |
| | Farm My School: To extend the current pilot of the Farm My School project at Bellarine Secondary College, supporting 675 students from years 7-12 to participate in a working school farm. The program will encourage career pathways into modern agricultural and horticultural industries, including traineeship/school-based apprenticeships and will establish the school farm as a sustainable food supply and commercially viable market garden, promoting healthy eating, and a climate resilient community. Geelong Chances pilot program: To provide an additional 40 financially | | |
| | disadvantaged secondary students in Corio and Norlane with scholarships of approximately \$1,000 to access laptops, textbooks, home internet, | | |

| | transport costs and calculators (over two years). | | |
|--|---|-------|--------------------------|
| | One Red Tree – mental health support in schools: To increase delivery of quality mental health support to schools in the Ararat and Stawell area. The program will provide placements and employment opportunities in regional and rural schools to provisionally registered and early-career psychologists to address mental health workforce supply challenges. | | |
| | Also includes a small proportion of funding to continue lapsing programs, including The Geelong Project, the Northern Centre for Excellence in School Engagement (NCESE), Project REAL, Beyond the Bell and the Education Benalla Program. | | |
| Improving outcomes for indigenous children | To improve education outcomes and experiences for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students through strengthened self-determination in education, including through: | \$8.9 | New output appropriation |
| | • resourcing the Aboriginal community sector to increase their capacity to support improved education outcomes for Aboriginal students and to contribute to education decision-making. | | |
| | locally tailored First Nations curriculum resources and provision of support and professional learning for schools, developed alongside community. | | |
| | resources for schools to enhance their cultural safety. | | |
| Improving School Staff Mental Health and Wellbeing Support | To improve school staff mental health and wellbeing support and address the financial risks to the workers' compensation scheme by reducing mental injuries to government school employees, sustain and build upon improvements in the department's return to work performance and promote retention in the teaching profession. | \$8.9 | New output appropriation |
| Safer Victorian faith- based schools | To assist Victorian Jewish and Islam affiliated schools with extraordinary security costs beyond those normally incurred by schools. This can include additional security patrols or upgrades to security infrastructure. | \$2.0 | New output appropriation |

| Senior Secondary Pathways Reform: Access to Vocational taster experiences | To pilot a taster program that supports student in their understanding and exploration of career pathways and decision making about future learning pathways including VET Delivered to Secondary Schools (VDSS), better preparing them for participation in further education and training. | \$1.3 ¹ | New output appropriation |
|--|---|--------------------|--------------------------|
| School Saving Bonus | To support equitable access to education through: the expansion of the successful Glasses for Kids the introduction of a one off \$400 School Saving Bonus in 2024-25 to provide relief to parents across Victoria with the cost of education. | | New output appropriation |

¹ Funding in 24-25 is 2024-25 Budget Paper 3, Chapter 1, page 17 component of 'Senior Secondary Pathways Reform'

Expenditure – lapsing programs (output initiatives, including grants)

Question 7

For all programs (output initiatives, including grants) with total funding of equal to or greater than \$5 million that were to lapse in the financial year 2023-24, where funding is to be extended in the 2024-25 Budget, please provide the:

- a) name of the program
- b) objective(s) of the program
- c) expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years)
- d) details of how the program will be funded (i.e. through new output appropriation, Commonwealth funding, internal reprioritisation etc.)
- e) confirmation that an evaluation of the program has been conducted as per *Section 6.1 Evaluating lapsing programs* of the Resource Management Framework.² Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation in the questionnaire response.
- f) evidence of the continued need for the program, and Government's role in delivering it
- g) evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes, including the alignment between the program, its output (as outlined in *Budget Paper No. 3: Service Delivery*), departmental objectives and any government priorities
- h) evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices
- i) extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program
- j) information about the nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts
- k) evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program.

Guidance

Lapsing program—the Committee uses the definition of lapsing program as set out in the Department of Treasury and Finance, *Resource Management Framework*: A lapsing program is a program to deliver services (output-related) that is funded for a specified time period only (and not ongoing in nature). Programs of a 'one-off' or a time-specific nature (e.g. funding provided for specific events) are considered 'fixed-term' and do not fall under the definition of a 'lapsing program'.

² Department of Treasury and Finance, *The Resource Management Framework*, Melbourne, 2023, pp. 139-140

Response

| Name of the program | Active Schools and Swimming and Water Safety Education Programs | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | The Active Schools initiative and the Swimming and Water Safety Education programs (Swimming in Schools and Public Water Safety Initiatives (PWSI)) support Victorian primary, secondary, P-12 and | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | students with high | | | | |
| | | | grams aim to emb | ed the capabilitie | s, knowledge and | d skills to adopt | |
| | a healthier lifes | tyle and remain sa | | 2025.26 | 2026.27 | 2027.20 | |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | Existing | \$28.3m | \$9.9m | _ | _ | _ | |
| | funding | , | 1 | | | | |
| | Approved in | - | \$20.9m | \$31.2m | \$31.8m | \$31.9m | |
| | 2024-25 | | | | | | |
| Details of how the program will be funded | Existing and new output appropriation. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for | | | | | | |
| has been conducted. Please advise who | consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for | | | | | | |
| undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of | Cabinet conside | ration, this is Cabi | net in Confidence | and unable to be | provided. | | |
| the executive summary of the evaluation | | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program | Active Schools | nitiative | | | | | |
| and the Government's role in delivering it | Only one in 4 Victorian students in years 5, 8 and 11 met the Australian Physical Activity | | | | | | |
| | Guidelines of 60 minutes of physical activity per day in 2018, with male students more likely than | | | | | | |
| | female students to meet the guideline. | | | | | | |
| | The initiative contributes to collective action across government to increase physical activity as a | | | | | | |
| | priority under the Victorian Health and Wellbeing Plan 2023-2027 and Child Health and Wellbeing | | | | | | |
| | Plan: Healthy Kids, Healthy Futures. | | | | | | |
| | Evidence shows that to have a state-wide impact on the complex issue of student physical | | | | | | |
| | activity, it is likely to take multiple interventions over time to target the specific barriers students | | | | | | |
| | face to being active. Children spend around 6 hours per day in education settings, equating to roughly 1,000 hours per | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | • | |
| | annum in school, making schools a key setting to utilise to improve students' health and wellbeing. | | | | | | |
| | 0 | Water Safety Edu | cation Programs | | | | |
| | e | trater barety Edd | | | | | |

| | Learning to swim and to be safe around water early in life is key to the safety of young people, particularly in Australian society, where time spent in and around water is one of the most popular activities across all age groups. Swimming lessons provided through school not only support delivery of the curriculum but provide a core and enduring health and safety benefit to the broader community. While improving swimming competency and preventing drowning is a shared responsibility between families, government, schools and the aquatics industry, schools provide an important setting to ensure basic swimming competencies are developed. Evidence shows that should funding cease, it would result in a decrease in school delivery of swimming and water safety education. The Public Water Safety Initiative builds resources and capacity for swimming and water safety education, which helps schools to deliver both "out of water" education as well as increasing school and aquatic industry capacity. Evidence shows that Government has a clear role as funder for the initiative to ensure that appropriate resources are provided for schools to support the delivery of swimming and water safety education. |
|--|---|
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its | Active Schools Initiative |
| stated objectives and expected outcomes | To date, the Active Schools initiative has delivered almost \$20 million in direct funding to approximately 500 schools in disadvantaged communities. These schools have received dedicated and practical support from the Active Schools Expert Support Service to develop and implement whole school approaches to improving physical activity, aligned to the Active Schools Framework. Quarterly reports from the Active Schools Expert Support Service provide a positive view of the success of the initiative, not just in increasing physical activity but also by making a positive impact on secondary outcomes for students and school communities, including improved attendance, behaviour and student engagement and agency. Swimming and Water Safety Education Programs Evidence shows that the vast majority of Victorian primary schools are delivering swimming and water safety education programs and meeting curriculum obligations. Evidence shows that the initiative delivered all required activities and resources on time and budget. The Inspector General of Emergency Management (IGEM) draft report in 2023 found that Victoria's approach to embedding swimming and water safety within the curriculum was leading practice in Australia. |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within | Active Schools initiative |
| | |

| with ap | pe, budget, expected timeframe and in line opropriate governance and risk gement practices | To date, the program has been delivered in scope and within budget and has delivered: A toolkit for school leaders and teachers A workforce of 13 Physical Activity Advisors as part of the Expert Support Service to provide direct support to schools Targeted funding and direct support to approximately 500 schools in disadvantaged communities to help implement whole-schools approaches to improving physical activity through running sports, outdoor education and active recreation programs before, during and after school. |
|---------|--|--|
| | | The 'Get Your Move On' public communications campaign 5 behavioural intervention trials to trial innovative, effective and sustainable behavioural interventions which incentivise physical activity among adolescents. |
| | | Swimming and Water Safety Education Programs |
| | | Evidence shows that Swimming in Schools funding provides a meaningful contribution to the delivery of swimming and water safety education programs to all Government primary, specialist and English Language School students across Victoria. |
| | | To date, the Public Water Safety Initiative has delivered resources and services to support the delivery of swimming and water safety programs to Victorian students, including: Case studies which highlight innovative practices utilised by schools to deliver swimming and water safety programs. Enhancement of the Swimming and Water Safety toolkit to improve usability for schools. |
| | | A research project to identify students most at risk of not achieving minimum competency within the Victorian Curriculum. |
| Extent | and level of efficiencies realised in the | Not applicable. |
| deliver | y of the program | |
| what s | e of the impact of the program ceasing and trategies have been identified to minimise gative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024-25 budget. |
| Eviden | ce that the further funding reflects the | Active Schools initiative |
| actual | cost required to deliver the program | Active Schools funding is calculated based on the cost of delivery in previous years, including the number of and value of grants provided to schools. Swimming and Water Safety Education |
| | | Swimming in Schools funding is calculated based on confirmed enrolment data for primary schools, specialist and English Language Schools. The funding is not intended to fully fund swimming programs in schools, but to provide an important contribution to school costs to reflect the additional costs involved in offering swimming programs through providers. |

| Name of the program | Student Excellence Program (lapsing component: Enhancing the Student Excellence Program – SRP funding) | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Objective(s) of the program | To provide direct support and learning extension for high-ability students across all government schools. | | | | | vernment |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | Existing funding | \$23.4m | \$15.8m | \$3.9m | - | - |
| | Approved in 2024-25 | - | \$8.5m | \$8.5m | - | - |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New and existin | g output appropri | ation. | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | The overall Student Excellence Program is funded to the end of the 2025 school year. The only component of the initiative which was lapsing was the SRP component. A program evaluation for the overall initiative will be conducted to align with the scheduled end date of the overall initiative. The Student Excellence Program was introduced in 2019 in response to evidence suggesting that many high-ability students were not reaching their full educational potential. This is particularly evident for students from disadvantaged backgrounds, where studies have shown there are significant achievement gaps for high-ability students from disadvantaged backgrounds compared to their more advantaged peers, which is directly attributable to fewer extension and enrichment learning opportunities. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | The Student Excellence Program was introduced in 2019 in response to evidence suggesting that many high-ability students were not reaching their full educational potential. This is particularly evident for students from disadvantaged backgrounds, where studies have shown there are significant achievement gaps for high-ability students from disadvantaged backgrounds compared to their more advantaged peers, which is directly attributable to fewer extension and enrichment learning opportunities. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | The Student Excellence Program has provided over 130,000 places in extension and enrichment activities across the curriculum from prep to Year 12 through the Victorian Challenge and Enrichment Series. It has also provided more than 80,000 places for students in Years 5 to 8 to participate in the 10-week online enrichment programs in English and Mathematics offered through the Victorian High- Ability Program. | | | | | |

| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices | Both the Victorian Challenge and Enrichment Series and the Victorian High-Ability Program are on- track to reach the targeted number of students by the end of 2025. |
|---|---|
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | The use of a virtual delivery model for the Victorian High-Ability Program has aided both the efficiency and accessibility of the program, ensuring that high-ability students from government schools across Victoria can access high-quality extension programs regardless of location. |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024–25 State Budget. |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | The further funding for schools via the Student Resource Package maintains the existing quantum of support to schools. Schools design their support for high-ability students based on the quantum provided and can supplement this through other funding lines. |

| Name of the program | Extension of the Primary Mathematics and Science Specialist Initiative (PMSS) (Lapsing Initiative) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | To train 100 teachers from | To train 100 teachers from approximately 50 schools as specialists in mathematics. | | | | | |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | |
| | Current funding | \$8.3m | \$4.3m | - | - | - | |
| | Approved in 2024-25 | - | \$5.2m | \$10.3m | \$5.3m | | |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New and existing output appropriation. | | | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet consideration, this is Cabinet in Confidence and unable to be provided. | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | While Victoria ranks first or second in 16 of 20 measures for mean scores on NAPLAN 2023, Victoria's numeracy results have been falling since 2006. Priority cohorts, such as disadvantaged students, achieve lower mean scores than their peers, with the gap larger at higher year levels. | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its | Evidence shows that for Cohort 7 of PMSS highlights that students in participating schools were | | | | | | |
| stated objectives and expected outcomes | showing improved attitudes toward mathematics and early-stage positive effects on student confidence and mathematical capabilities. | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within | The department has delivered PMSS since 2012 with each cohort delivered on time, within budget | | | | | | |

| its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices | and meeting BP3 targets. A strong governance and reporting framework for the delivery of PMSS is in place. |
|---|--|
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | Use of a Master Service Provider (MSP) to co-design and deliver the PMSS professional learning program has improved efficiencies in the overall delivery of the initiative. Contracts for the MSP incorporate high-value disbursement components, resulting in funding only paid to meet actual costs. |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024–25 State Budget. |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | Funding requests for an additional cohort of PMSS has been fully costed, noting that the major component of the funding is used for the release of participating teachers 0.5 FTE for two years. This release is used to relieve teachers of full-time classroom duties to participate in professional learning, support colleagues, and work with school leaders to design and enact whole school change. |

| Name of the program | Supporting delivery of e | Supporting delivery of early years literacy assessment. | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | As part of the Foundation to Level 2 (F-2) Early Years Literacy Assessment Suite initiative, the | | | | | | | |
| | mandatory requirement | to assess stude | ents' early literac | y skills, including | phonics, using t | he English | | |
| | Online Interview (EOI) w | as extended to | Grade 1 student | s in government s | schools in 2023 | (in addition | | |
| | to the existing requirem | ent to use the I | EOI with Foundat | ion Students). Im | plementation su | ipports, | | |
| | including casual relief te | acher (CRT) fur | nding, professiona | al learning and re | sources were pr | ovided as | | |
| | part of the initiative. The | e 2 years of CR1 | funding provide | d under the 2022 | -23 Budget bid | lapses in | | |
| | 2023-24. The 2024-25 St | • | • • | | - | • | | |
| | support Grade 1 teachers to use the EOI with their students in 2025 and 2026. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Responses to the following questions relate to the lapsing element of this program – the CRT | | | | | | | |
| | funding. | 0.1 | | • | | | | |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | | |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ | | | | | | | | |
| million) | | | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Current funding | \$4.8 | \$0.5m | \$0.5m | \$0.1m | - | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Approved in 2024-25 | - | \$4.0m | \$4.2m | - | - | | |
| | New and existing output appropriation | | | | | | | |

| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet consideration, this is Cabinet in Confidence and unable to be provided. |
|--|--|
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | Preliminary evidence highlights that CRT funding has been instrumental in supporting schools to fulfil the requirement to use the EOI with their Grade 1 students. The additional 2 years of CRT funding will support schools to embed the Grade 1 requirement within their assessment schedules, systems and processes. |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | CRT funding has supported the majority of Victorian government schools to engage with the Grade 1 EOI mandate. |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices | Initiative milestones continue to be delivered on track and within budget. This includes the CRT allocations being paid directly to schools via the Student Resource Package in January 2023 and 2024. |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | Direct allocation of the CRT funding to schools through the Student Resource Package has created efficiencies by removing the need for schools to apply for the funding. |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024–25 State Budget. |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | The funding is allocated based on estimated Grade 1 enrolments, consistent with the established approach used for CRT funding for Professional Practice Days in the Student Resource Package. |

| Name of the program | Reducing the Administrative Burden on Principals (Lapsing initiatives) |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | To relieve workload and administrative burden by reducing the time school leaders spend on |

| | operational policy and c maintenance of the Sch projects based on ident | ool Policy Templa | ates Portal and t | he Policy and Ad | lvisory Library, a | - | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | Existing funding | \$3.2m | \$3.3m | - | - | - | |
| | Approved in 2024-25 | - | - | \$3.6m | \$3.5m | \$3.6m | |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New and existing outpu | t appropriation | | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | As the currently funded conducted. | service does not | lapse until June | 2025, a formal e | evaluation has n | ot yet been | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | In Victoria, principals are the instructional leader of their schools and are responsible for the educational outcomes of their students. They are also responsible for ensuring the school's compliance with State and Federal law and department policy across a broad and often complex range of areas including health, safety and wellbeing, facilities, child safety, finance and human resources. | | | | | | |
| | However, the volume of schools is presenting ch use of a principal's skills their students, they are General's Office (VAGO) significant cause of poo | allenges at the lo and expertise, ti also a cause of si audit of Principa | cal school level. me consuming, a gnificant occupa Il Health and We | Not only are the and a distractior tional stress, wi | ese activities an h from the learn th a Victorian A | inefficient ing needs of uditor | |
| | These lapsing program components are contributors to reducing workload for principals, providing expert operational policy advice and support, maintaining and continuously improving departmental operational policy and resources, supporting completion of financial and payroll administration tasks for schools, and other core tasks that reduce workload and red tape for school leaders. | | | | | | |
| | In 2023, VAGO's audit of Principal Health and Wellbeing found that workload is the most significant cause of poor principal health and wellbeing, citing administrative tasks, compliance obligations and government initiatives as disproportionally contributing to principals' workloads. The audit concluded that the department needs to do more to reduce principal workload if it is to achieve better | | | | | | |

| | outcomes. Government funding for delivery of this work is an important demonstration of its |
|--|--|
| | commitment to supporting principals in their day-to-day work that can 'take work from their desks'. |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its | Since launching in October 2021 (and to December 2023), the Principal Advisory Service has: |
| stated objectives and expected outcomes | assisted school leaders with over 3,200 queries relating to operational policy and compliance requirements. |
| | • maintained an average speed of answer of 13 seconds when a principal contacts the service. |
| | resolved 73% of all queries directly, rather than referring school leaders to another specialist area. |
| | • experienced an increase in demand year on year as principals receive timely and expert |
| | support, including a 100% increase in query volume from 2022-2023. |
| | In addition, the Principal Advisory Service team maintain and continuously improve: |
| | the department's Policy and Advisory Library which contains expert advice on over 440 departmental policies and has had over 22,000,000 views since its launch in July 2020. |
| | • the school policy templates portal, which has had over 1,000,000 views since launch in 2019 and provides schools with 63 template policies that they can adapt to their local context. |
| | Between 2021 and 2023, with the funding provided to expand on the previous model, the number of |
| | schools using the SASH has grown by 324 per cent, from 50 to 162. The number of service streams |
| | schools using the SAST has grown by 524 per cent, non 50 to 102. The number of service streams schools use has grown by 509 per cent, from 131 to 668. |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within | When originally funded in 2021, the scope of the initiative was to establish and expand smarter |
| its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in | supports to relieve the administrative burden on school leaders, delivering: |
| line with appropriate governance and risk | the Principal Advisory Service |
| management practices | the Frincipal Advisory Service the Local Administrative Bureau (LAB), now renamed the School Administration Support Hub |
| | (SASH) |
| | The Principal Advisory Service and SASH commenced in 2021 and have been operating at full capacity, |
| | with a 100% increase in queries to PAS year on year since commencement and 324% growth in SASH |
| | uptake. |
| | Both initiatives have been delivered within budget and expected timeframes. |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the | The initiatives deliver efficiencies to school leaders. |
| delivery of the program | |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and | This program has been extended in the 2024-25 budget. |
| what strategies have been identified to minimise | |
| any negative impacts | |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the | This funding relates to staffing costs only, with all funded roles filled and teams working at capacity. |
| actual cost required to deliver the program | |

| Name of the program | Respectful Relationships for Children and Youth | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | family violence initi | Respectful Relationships for Children and Youth (Respectful Relationships) is a primary prevention of family violence initiative. The whole school approach to Respectful Relationships supports schools to embed a culture of respectful and gender equality across the entire school community. | | | | | |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ | Existing funding | \$7.7m | - | - | - | - | |
| million) | Approved in 2024-25 | - | \$10.4m | \$10.0m | \$9.3m | \$9.4m | |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New output approp | riation | | · | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | consideration in the | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet consideration, this is Cabinet in Confidence and unable to be provided. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | Family violence continues to have a wide-reaching impact in Victoria and Australia, with a high cost to government, the community and individuals. The Royal Commission into Family Violence (RCFV) highlighted the importance of long-term efforts and investment in primary prevention to stop family violence before it starts. Continued funding for the core features of Respectful Relationships in schools is critical to Victoria achieving a generational shift in attitudes and behaviours to prevent family violence. Free from violence: Victoria's strategy to prevent family violence acknowledges that the primary prevention of family violence requires social and cultural change. Ending violence and challenging its causes requires enduring focus and effort and a sustained commitment to ensure the prevention of | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | violence in Victoria in the longer term.Over 1,950 Victorian government, Catholic and independent schools are signed up to the whole school approach to Respectful Relationships. This includes all government schools, acquitting the Royal Commission into Family Violence recommendation.402 schools have signed up to become a Respectful Relationships Lead School, and 40,000+ school- based staff have participated in whole-school Respectful Relationships professional learning. This meets the BP3 measure provided through the 2020-21 State Budget.Evidence shows that interactions between staff and students have become more respectful, with teachers taking more time to listen to students, respect their concerns and work with them to | | | | | acquitting the 40,000+ school- I learning. This espectful, with | |

| | develop solutions, and students' social and emotional skills have improved, including improved emotional literacy, attitudes, and behaviours. |
|---|---|
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk | The department has acquitted recommendation 189 of RCVF and met the Respectful Relationships BP3 measures provided through the 2020-21 State Budget. |
| management practices | The Respectful Relationships Advisory Group, which includes members from education, government and family violence sector organisations, provides the governance arrangements to support implementation of the initiative. |
| | The following will manage risk by supporting effective implementation of the initiative: Continuation of the Respectful Relationships area-based workforce will support schools to implement with fidelity and identify and respond to staff and students impacted by family violence. |
| | The Respectful Relationships Resource Kit for schools guides long-term implementation. Development of a fidelity measure to track schools' progress and provide tailored support. Promoting local positive stories, case studies and Myth and Facts. Early years professional learning promoted early and backfill provided for staff participating in |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | the training. The department's assessment of implementation and evidence informed the Respectful Relationships 2020-2024 work plan. |
| | Evidence from 2023 found that the area-based workforce provides an efficient model for reaching the Victorian school population – utilising the 34 area-based staff to support implementation rather than solely relying on staff at each school to build capacity of the school and drive implementation is a productively efficient model. |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024-25 budget. |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | All components outlined respond to the Respectful Relationships evaluation and Modelling Respectful and Equality pilot evaluation findings, and Family Violence Implementation Monitor reports. |

| Name of the program | Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students (lapsing initiative: Rural and Regional | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Education Reform (School cluster support component)) | | | |
| Objective(s) of the program | To support rural and regional schools to improve curriculum choice and quality from Foundation to | | | |

| | Year 12 | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | | |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ | Existing funding | \$1.4m | - | - | - | - | | |
| million) | Approved in 2024-25 | - | \$1.4m | \$1.5m | \$1.5m | \$1.6m | | |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New output appropriat | ion | | · | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program | An evaluation of this pr | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for | | | | | | |
| has been conducted. Please advise who | consideration in the 20 | 24-25 budget p | rocess. As a coi | mponent of a bu | usiness case pre | pared for Cabinet | | |
| undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of | consideration, this is Ca | abinet in Confid | ence and unab | le to be provide | d. | | | |
| the executive summary of the evaluation | | | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program | Compared to their met | • • | | - | | | | |
| and the Government's role in delivering it | range of subjects to cho | | | - | | | | |
| | This initiative funds 8 C | | | | - | | | |
| | as supporting curriculu | | • | | | • | | |
| | school collaboration to | increase oppor | tunities for cur | riculum offering | gs for schools wi | th limited | | |
| | capacity. | | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its | Since the 8 Curriculum | | • | | • | | | |
| stated objectives and expected outcomes | knowledge and dedicated support to assist schools to undertake curriculum planning, increase inter- school collaboration and support clusters of schools who work together to improve subject choice and | | | | | | | |
| | school collaboration an curriculum quality. | id support clust | ers of schools v | vho work togeth | her to improve s | ubject choice and | | |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within | Evidence shows that th | e program has | been delivered | within its scope | , budget, expec | ted timeframe | | |
| its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in | and in line with approp | riate governan | ce and risk man | agement practi | ces. | | | |
| line with appropriate governance and risk | | | | | | | | |
| management practices | | | | | | | | |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the | Not applicable | | | | | | | |
| delivery of the program | | | | | | | | |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing | This program has been | extended in the | e 2024-25 budg | jet. | | | | |
| and what strategies have been identified to | | | | | | | | |
| minimise any negative impacts | | | | | | | | |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the | Further funding is for salary costs for 8 Curriculum Access Coordinators. | | | | | | | |
| actual cost required to deliver the program | | | | | | | | |

| Name of the program | School-Wide Positive Behaviour Support |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | SWPBS provides coaching supports, professional learning and resources for the prevention and early |
| | intervention of problem student behaviours over a multiyear implementation cycle. |

| | imp strection con imp imp a rection | provemen engthened inection fo proved sch proving sta eduction in | t schools implementing t in teachers' capability d teacher-student relati or students nool safety for students aff confidence to deal v n the number of days o n the frequency and se | to manage beh onships, contrib and staff, includ vith behavioural f suspension | aviour effectively in the outing to a positive sens ding a decline in bullyir issues | se of belonging and |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------|
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | - 410 | 2023- | 2024-25 | 2025- | 2026-27 | 2027-28 |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ | | 24 | | 26 | | |
| million) | Existing funding | \$4.2m | \$2.1m | - | - | - |
| | Approved in 2024- 25 | - | \$2.3m | \$4.5m | \$4.5m | \$4.5m |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New and ex | kisting out | put appropriation. | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet consideration, this is Cabinet in Confidence and unable to be provided. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | Post-COVID-19, across Australia, teachers have reported that student behaviour has become more challenging ² with frequent reports that students are more disruptive ³ , distracted and less engaged than pre-pandemic. The Federal Senate inquiry into: The issue of increasing disruption in Australian school classrooms, has highlighted the behavioural issues experienced in Australian classrooms, with a need to provide support to schools in practices and interventions to promote positive and prevent problem behaviours in the classroom. The Government has a role to ensure that all schools are safe, respectful learning environments for students and staff. The Department plays a role in supporting schools to implement evidence-based effective prevention and intervention strategies to reduce problem behaviours. ⁴ | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | SWPBS has engaged with over 700 schools since 2018 to implement the framework through 17 Region based specialist coaches. Evidence found in 2023 has identified significant positive impacts to schools implementing the framework as per stated objectives. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in | The program has been delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices. Implementation and outcome data are | | | | | |

| line with appropriate governance and risk management practices | utilised termly to monitor progress. |
|---|---|
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | Evidence shows efficient delivery of the program – minor adjustments to implementation design over the course of implementation has ensured that the resources, both human and operational provide value. |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024-25 budget. |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | Costs are based on staff salaries per the Victorian Public Service Award and known market costs for services. |

| Name of the program | Senior Secondary Pathways Reform | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | To support schools via Jobs, Skills and Pathways Coordination funding to manage the administration burden of delivery of vocational and applied learning that is a key barrier to students accessing Vocational Education and Training delivered to School Students (VDSS), and also to elevate the status, knowledge, and availability of high-quality vocational and applied learning options for Year 11 and 12. | | | | | | | |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | | |
| | Existing funding | \$34.6m | \$13.7m | \$1.5m | - | - | | |
| | Approved in 2024-25 | - | \$17.2m | \$19.0m | \$1.4m | - | | |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New and existing output appropriation. | | | | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet consideration, this is Cabinet in Confidence and unable to be provided. | | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the | Schools have reported that the JSPC funding made a difference to their vocational and applied | | | | | | | |
| program and the Government's role in | learning (VAL) program and t | | - | | | | | |
| delivering it | A survey of school principals also found that 61 per cent of principals said that in 2023 delivery of vocational and applied learning required more administration than in 2022. Though expected for a | | | | | | | |

| | significant process of change, this underscores the need to provide continued support. The Review into Vocational and Applied Learning Pathways in Senior Secondary Schooling (the Firth |
|---|--|
| | Review) found that community unfamiliarity with the Victorian Certificate of Applied Learning (VCAL) was a likely cause of the stigma it faced. |
| | The department's research indicates that community knowledge of the VCE Vocational Major is not |
| | yet on par with knowledge of the Victorian Certificate of Applied Learning (VCAL). It also indicates |
| | that greater knowledge of the VCE Vocational Major is associated with more positive perceptions of it. |
| | To guard the VCE Vocational Major against the stigma faced by VCAL, further work is required to |
| | build community knowledge of, and support enrolment in, the new program. Evidence shows that |
| | schools require additional support to integrate key activities as an interconnected program of career education. |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | School and stakeholder engagement demonstrates that the initiative has had an overall positive impact on managing the administrative burden of VAL and increasing schools' overall capacity to deliver VAL. |
| | Evidence shows that a majority (75 per cent) of school respondents believed the funding had |
| | reduced the administrative burden of vocational and applied learning delivery, with over 50 per cent |
| | noting a moderate or significant impact. |
| | Evidence also highlights effectiveness of campaign communications and career education |
| | components of this initiative. For campaign communications, which included positive reception to |
| | public awareness campaign material, and strong initial awareness. Additionally, the department's |
| | research indicates that knowledge of the VCE Vocational Major in the community is growing, particularly among school staff, students and parents. |
| | For career education, the evidence shows increased uptake of the department's career education programs in schools, and inroads made toward lifting the visibility and priority of career education and career practitioners within schools. |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within | Evidence shows that schools largely spent their allocated JSPC funding in the manner that was |
| its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in | intended. |
| line with appropriate governance and risk | The program is being delivered within the expected timeframe of the 2023 and 2024 academic |
| management practices | years. |
| | Funding is delivered through the SRP which enables JSPC funding expenditure to be tracked by |
| | auditing the line items against which it is allocated by each school. |
| | The Senior Secondary Pathways Reform Inter-departmental Committee receives regular program |
| | updates, including a risk register and progress toward milestones. The committee also receives briefs |
| | on key items for decision or noting. Reports to this committee have shown that these projects are |

| | being delivered as expected. |
|---|---|
| | The scope of this program has expanded to include Vocational taster experiences, to support Year 9 and 10 student in their understanding and exploration of career pathways and decision making about future learning pathways including VET. |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | This funding is an extension of existing funding and implementation is already underway. Funding maintains current support to schools and utilises existing program guidelines and resources. The JSPC funding initiative is aligned with other initiatives which aim to increase students' access to quality vocational and applied learning. Schools will have discretion in their use of the funding, allowing them to address their individual points of need. Through periodic evaluation of awareness and knowledge of senior secondary education pathways, surveys of school principals, and consultation with relevant stakeholders, communication approaches and products have been refined to better support school operations and community knowledge development. Similarly, ongoing consultation with career education practitioners has allowed program support to adapt to the needs of schools. Iteration and refinement of existing material and models of support has provided efficiencies in program support. |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024–25 State Budget. |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | The costings are based on actual expenditure trends of the existing program in recent years. The level of funding maintains current support to eligible schools and provides support for any new eligible schools, to enable them to manage the administrative burden of delivery of vocational and applied learning. Public awareness campaign activity has been procured through a competitive tender process, ensuring value for money is taken into consideration when selecting suppliers. The lapsing career education program support component is entirely comprised by program staffing costs, with continued funding determined by existing program workload. |

| Name of the program | Senior Secondary Reform: Supporting senior secondary completion in non-school settings | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Objective(s) of the program | To support TAFEs to deliver h | To support TAFEs to deliver high-quality, safe and supported Year 12 completion pathways to school- | | | | |
| | aged early school leavers, est | ablish a central | referral and ex | emption system | n to support de | elivery. |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ | | | | | | |
| million) | | | | | | |

| | Existing funding | \$4.1m | \$2.3m | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| | Approved in 2024-25 | | \$12.8m | \$18.2m | \$20.7m | \$19.2m |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New and existing output app | ropriation | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | An evaluation of this progran consideration in the 2024-25 Cabinet consideration, this is | budget process | s. As a compon | ent of a busine | s case prepare | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | The Review into Vocational a Review) recommended an ec a senior secondary certificate play a crucial role in offering require sufficient governmen | uitable funding in non-school Year 12 comple | g model be deve settings such as etion pathways | eloped that sup s TAFE institute to early school | ports students s. TAFEs will co leavers and the | completing |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | Grant funding for non-school wellbeing staff and supports providers report these staff a retention and learning engag workload. | for predominat and supports ha | ely disadvantag ve had positive | ged and vulnera impacts in res | able students. N pect to improvi | Ion-school ng student |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices | Grant recipients are required Department of Education, wh activities. Reporting takes pla and ensure compliance with The scope of this program ha exemption technology solution moving from school into othe | nich is then asse ace for each gra grant terms and s expanded to on for system o | essed for compl nt round to ide d conditions, in include the tria versight and ac | liance with the entify and mana cluding delivery I and evaluation | scope of eligibl ge risks, acquit / timelines. n a school refer | e funded funding ral and |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | Expenditure plans for grant for a structure plans for grant for a structure plans for grant for a structure plans for a structure pl | unding are subj | ect to scrutiny | by the Departm | ent of Education | on to |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | The funding has been extend | - | | t. | | |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the | Further funding is based on a | | | TAFE 1 | | |

| actual cost required to deliver the program the department, which is c | ost-reflective and benchmarked with like services in the schools sector. |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| Name of the program | Strengthening School Performance program (Lapsing initiatives) | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | To assist schools facing challenging and complex circumstances to ensure there is consistently strong practice across the government school system. | | | | | | |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | Existing funding | \$11.6m | - | - | - | - | |
| | Funding approved | - | \$10.6m | \$11.1m | \$11.3m | - | |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New output approp | oriation | | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet consideration, this is Cabinet in Confidence and unable to be provided. | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | Victorian government schools are providing a world-class education for students every day. Victoria's recent NAPLAN results were a resounding endorsement of our students' hard work, our world class teachers and the major investments in supporting student learning and wellbeing. However, data shows that there are a small number of schools that are facing challenging circumstances and need further support to improve. | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its | The lapsing initiativ | e Addressing Ui | nderperformance | <i>in Schools</i> from th | ne 2021-22 State | Budget funded | |
| stated objectives and expected outcomes | 17 Turnaround Teams (consisting of 1 x Executive Principal and 2 x leading teachers) to work intensively with government schools facing significant and complex challenges to improve student outcomes and create sustainable change by building leadership capability and transforming school processes and structures. Evidence shows that Turnaround Teams had demonstrated positive impacts on school capability and student outcomes. | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk | Delivery of the initiative in 2020 and 2021 was impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, with challenges in Turnaround Teams engaging with schools face-to-face. System-wide workforce pressures at times led to vacancies in the Turnaround Teams workforce, with the imperative to avoid impacts on staffing | | | | | | |
| management practices | in schools. | <u></u> | | | | | |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | Over the delivery of the program, the department has introduced greater flexibility in the design of the Turnaround Teams to ensure greater efficiency in deployment of staff, to ensure that resources were provided in a way that met the individual circumstances of schools and maximised public value. | | | | | | |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing | This program has b | This program has been extended in the 2024–25 State Budget. | | | | | |

| and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | |
|--|--|
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | The further funding is based on contemporary cost estimates which reflects applicable awards for staffing in the Government Teaching Service and the Victorian Public Service, as well as current market |
| | costs for the provision of services. |

| Name of the program | | Student Health and Wellbeing (Lapsing initiatives: Student support services and sustaining student mental health services for schools (headspace component)) | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--------------------------|
| Objective(s) of the program | To support stud for timely asses challenges expe To provide mer enhanced acces | dent health and ssment, interve erienced by stu ntal health sup ss to counsellir | d wellbeing via the ention and referra idents. port for governme | e provision of nursing I regarding health, we ent secondary school uilding for school staf | ellbeing and devel students, particul | opmental arly through |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | Existing funding: | \$22.6m | \$15.4m | \$10.8m | - | - |
| | Approved in 2024-25 | - | \$9.7m | \$12.6m | \$12.4m | \$12.6m |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New and existin | ng output appr | opriation | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet consideration, this is Cabinet in Confidence and unable to be provided. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | Some children arrive at school with health, wellbeing and development challenges, which can impact their educational achievement and ability to reach their full potential. Unaddressed, these challenges can result in negative and often lifelong consequences for children and communities. Schools play an important role in offering a structured and accessible platform to reach students to provide timely health, mental health and wellbeing support. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | The nursing and | The nursing and allied health staff funded through this program maintains the department's ability to provide effective multidisciplinary responses to the health and wellbeing needs of vulnerable students | | | | |

| | and families. |
|---|---|
| | Nursing and allied health staff continue to report increased demand for appropriate interventions that address health and wellbeing concerns and promote effective engagement with learning. Allied health staff are increasingly focused on responses to critical incidents and complex cases over proactive interventions. |
| | Evidence shows that it addressed a clear and growing need for mental health support in secondary schools, increased student access to mental health supports and was responsive in providing resources and strategies to students. |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk | Area based, frontline multidisciplinary Health and Wellbeing Staff delivering these long-standing programs do so in accordance with program scope, guidelines, timelines and budget. |
| management practices | Evidence shows that it was implemented on time, within budget and aligned to the scope and reported the program has been managed with appropriate governance and risk management practices. |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | This funding is an extension of existing funding and implementation is already underway. Funding maintains current service levels and utilises existing program guidelines and resource. |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | Should funding cease, the service model for the primary school nursing program and student support services would revert to pre-2018 resourcing. This would result in some schools and students not receiving timely service due to increased demand due to student enrolment growth. |
| | Should funding cease for the EMHHS program, students in Victorian government secondary schools will lose access to dedicated counselling services and exacerbate wait times for headspace counselling. It would also remove free mental health training, capacity building, and support for Victorian School staff and targeted individual, secondary and group consultations for Student Support Services staff. |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | Costs are based on staff salaries per the Victorian Government Schools Agreement (primary school nurses) and the Victorian Public Service Award (Student Support Service). |
| | Costs for EMHHS include staffing, training, and operational costs. Evidence shows that the further funding reflects the required costs to continue service delivery at its current level. |
| | Costs for information technology system improvements based on existing departmental systems and resources. |

| Name of the program | Support for regional and small schools' Outside School Hours Care (Lapsing initiatives: Increasing the availability of outside school hours care (2020 COVID-19 Recovery Budget)) | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|
| Objective(s) of the program | To provide support for Outside School Hours Care (OSHC) at small and regional schools that received funding from the OSHC Establishment Grants Initiative (OSHC Initiative) from the 2020-21 Budget. The program enables families across Victoria to enhance economic participation and increase access to workforce and training opportunities for parents and carers, in particular women. | | | | | |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 |
| | Existing funding | \$34.9m | - | - | - | |
| | Approved in 2024-25 | - | \$3.6m | \$3.7m | \$3.8m | \$3.6m |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New output appro | priation | | | | <u> </u> |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet consideration, this is Cabinet in Confidence and unable to be provided. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | Evidence shows that OSHC services at smaller schools and located in regional areas are likely to continue to face sustainability challenges without further financial support. Attendance figures provided by schools as part of the grant acquittal process suggest many services are not yet financially viable. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | The program's objective was to increase access to OSHC services at 400 schools, which was achieved, and increase workforce participation. 80% of parents surveyed had, or were planning to, commence or increase work, with 11% engaging in study. | | | | | - |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices | The program was delivered through 4 staged funding rounds, distributing \$71.25 million to 420 schools. The program was delivered through close collaboration between central and regional officers to support schools to use the funding appropriately and efficiently, and to identify and manage risks. | | | | | |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | Efficiencies were gained through applying lessons from earlier funding rounds and identifying ways to reduce costs of OSHC provision. This includes identifying schools that could pool resources to create a single service that benefits multiple schools, support to use the lower-cost school council model, | | | | | es to create |

| | advice on use of grant funding and assistance with administrative requirements to reduce the burden on school leadership. |
|---|---|
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024–25 State Budget. |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | The level of funding for on-site delivery is based around the cost of engaging an OSHC educator at the relevant Award, as this is a fixed cost for any small OSHC service. The level of funding for transport is based on information received in acquittals from grant-funded schools. |

| Name of the program | Building Blocks | Improvement and | Inclusion Grants | s (lapsing Building | Inclusive and Sus | stainable | |
|---|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------|--|
| | Kindergartens - | (Building Blocks I | nclusion compon | ent)) | | | |
| Objective(s) of the program | The Building Blocks Improvement Grants stream supports kindergartens to renovate and refurbish | | | | | | |
| | their learning er | nvironments and | purchase IT equi | pment to assist in | the delivery of e | ducational | |
| | programs, servi | ce administration | , and enhance th | e quality and ame | enity of learning e | environments. | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | orts kindergartens | | | |
| | | | | d purchase equip | ment to provide s | safe and more | |
| | inclusive enviro | nments for childr | en of all needs ar | nd abilities. | - | - | |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | Existing | \$13.1m | \$12.8m | _ | _ | _ | |
| | funding | 913.1m | Υ Ι Ζ.0Π | | | _ | |
| | Approved | - | \$5.0m | \$13.1m | \$0.9m | - | |
| | 2024-25 | | | | | | |
| Details of how the program will be funded | Internal reprior | tisation | | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program | An evaluation o | f this program wa | s included as rec | uired as part of th | ne business case s | submitted for | |
| has been conducted. Please advise who undertook | | | | a component of a | | pared for | |
| the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive | Cabinet conside | ration, this is Cab | inet in Confidence | ce and unable to b | e provided. | | |
| summary of the evaluation | | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program | | | - | locks Improveme | | | |
| and the Government's role in delivering it | demonstrated by the continued demand for the program from the sector. The demand is strong | | | | | | |
| | | • | | early childhood se | | | |
| | Continuing supp | port to the sector | to maintain and | improve existing f | facilities is a critic | al part of the | |

| | sector's continued engagement with early childhood education. |
|---|--|
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | Since the Building Blocks Improvement Grants stream was launched in 2020, it has supported 264 infrastructure projects. 191 of these are complete and the remaining are in progress. This has included development of outdoor learning spaces, upgrades to bathrooms and kitchens, and new flooring and windows. Additionally, the stream has funded 2,007 IT projects since 2020. |
| | Since the Building Blocks Inclusion Grants stream was launched in 2020, it has supported 163 infrastructure projects. 62 of these are complete and the remaining are in progress. Additionally, the stream has funded 726 equipment projects since 2020 to make educational environments more inclusive for children of all needs and abilities. |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices | All grant applications are submitted via an online grant system. Before being recommended for approval by the Minister for Children, an assessment panel assesses their merit and eligibility against program guidelines. This ensures funding is allocated to projects that align with each program. |
| | Agreed timeframes have been established and are regularly monitored to ensure timely delivery of the program. |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | The Victorian School Building Authority (VSBA) delivers several grant programs and maximises efficiencies in the delivery of its programs. This includes the alignment of grant closing timelines, so that necessary services can be procured in bulk when required and procuring assurance services for the whole portfolio of programs. |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | Implementation of Best Start, Best Life and Three-Year-Old Kindergarten The successful implementation of the Three-Year Old Kindergarten and BSBL reforms relies on existing infrastructure capacity in the sector being maintained and continuing to offer kindergarten services. |
| | The Improvement and Inclusion Grants streams have a valuable role in ensuring that existing services are in good condition and able to contribute to kindergarten expansion and provision. The Improvement stream provides funding to ensure kindergarten facilities are in good condition. The Inclusion stream promotes and enables inclusive education and contributes to the government's inclusion agenda. Continued sector support |
| | Continuing support to the sector to maintain and improve existing facilities is a crucial part of the department's response to ensure the sector's continued engagement with the reforms, especially local governments. |

| | Local governments provide several key functions for early childhood education and care, including service delivery, funding, asset ownership, and planning. Their active participation is vital to the success of the BSBL reform. Many local governments see delivery and implementation of the BSBL reform as a state government responsibility. |
|--|--|
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | Funding is based on the cost of delivery in current and previous years. |

| Name of the program | Early Childhood | Early Childhood Intervention Services - Continuity of Support (Lapsing initiatives) | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | appropriate earl | y intervention an pilities and develo | d preventative re | ity of Support (EC esponses for youn /ho are not eligibl | g children (from | pirth to school |
| | Supplementary to increase the u | - | ered in 2022-23 | State Budget to re | espond to increas | ed demand and |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | Existing funding | (see note below*) | - | - | - | - |
| | Approved funding | - | \$2.9m | - | - | - |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | *A proportion of existing funding was committed from the Department of Families, Fairness and Housing's initiative: Strengthening Victoria's interface with the National Disability Insurance Scheme. An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet consideration, this is Cabinet in Confidence and unable to be provided. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | Timely early intervention is important for children with disabilities or developmental delay and outside of the EICS CoS program this is not available for non-resident children. The program responds to the needs of Victorians through the delivery of early childhood intervention services by a local provider. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | • | supplementary fu providers have an children being of the majority of an kindergarten pro | dividual children unding n incentive to be fered a service by ge-appropriate ch gram | comes were: receiving support involved in the pr y a provider in 202 hildren in receipt is in place for a si | ogram with more 22-23 compared t of the program w | e referred o 2020-21 ere attending a |

| | clients. |
|---|--|
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices | The 2022-23 supplementary funding was implemented on time, within budget and aligned to the scope and reported the program has been managed with appropriate governance and risk management practices. |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | Efficiencies are achieved by building on the capabilities and organisational infrastructure of providers that also deliver other supports such as NDIS. Other efficiencies include the development of a Family Service Support Plan which ensures alignment between the family and service provider with respect of the services to be delivered and the use of efficient forms of service delivery where possible. |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024–25 State Budget. |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | All children assessed as eligible are receiving support through the current program budget incorporating 2022-23 supplementary funding. |

| Name of the program | Strengthening Participation of CALD Children in Early Childhood Education (Lapsing initiatives: CALD Outreach and Supports – Lapsing and Family Learning Support Program – Lapsing) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Objective(s) of the program | | To support the inclusion of children from a culturally and linguistically diverse (CALD) background and their families in early childhood education and care (ECEC) services with a focus on funded kindergarten. | | | | |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 |
| | Existing funding | \$2.0m | \$1.0m | | | |
| | Approved funding | - | \$2.0m | \$3.6m | \$3.8 m | |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New output appropriation, existing funding including internal reprioritisation. | | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet | | | | | |

| executive summary of the evaluation | consideration, this is Cabinet in Confidence and unable to be provided. |
|--|---|
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | Supporting children's participation in kindergarten is a priority for the Victorian Government. The Best Start, Best Life reforms provide all children with access to two years of quality kindergarten before starting school and is the policy basis for continued funding for the Initiative. Best Start, Best Life recognises that early childhood education is important for all children with the benefits being particularly valuable for children from vulnerable or disadvantaged backgrounds. The initiative includes local council CALD Outreach Workers, a partnership with the Municipal Association of Victoria (MAV) and the Brotherhood of St Laurence (BSL) Family Learning Support Program. |
| | Additional evidence was identified for the continued need of the initiative: The programs contribute to the successful delivery of the Victorian Government's Best Start, Best Life reforms, transforming early childhood education over the next decade – and notably the participation objectives and prioritisation from 2026 of children from a refugee or asylum seeker background for early access to Pre-Prep. Children from CALD backgrounds experience barriers to access kindergarten. Children from CALD backgrounds are a substantial proportion of the community; and there has been a substantial recent increase in migration to Victoria. Engagement with kindergarten is an important early intervention – with the dual benefit of enabling early learning and providing the basis for future education engagement. Support is a recurring need with each annual cohort entering kindergarten and the Victorian Government funded education system for the first time. |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | Evidence shows that: The programs actively engaged and assisted CALD families which resulted in an increase in ESK enrolments in 2022, which contributed to the department's Budget Paper No. 3 Output Measure- 'Children funded to participate in Early Start Kindergarten or Access to Early Learning in the two years before School'. Overall, over 6,000 children from CALD backgrounds were assisted through the CALD Outreach Worker activities between March 2021 and September 2023 Approximately 400 families were supported across 7 public housing sites through the Family Learning Support Program between September 2020 and September 2023 |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line | Evidence shows that: • Delivery has been aligned to expected role for each of the activities and timeframes; and |
| | |

| with appropriate governance and risk | managed with appropriate governance and risk management practices. |
|---|--|
| management practices | Due to greater than expected demand from local councils, the department allocated internal reprioritisation of funds to enable 22 councils to participate in the initiative. Additional funding was also required to deliver the partnership with MAV. The Family Learning Support Program has been delivered within the expected budget. |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the | Evidence shows that: |
| delivery of the program | • Efficiencies have occurred through competitive tendering processes, building on the existing capability of funded organisations, and in maximising access to other supports through local delivery. |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024–25 State Budget. |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | Evidence shows that staff wages was the main cost driver for the Initiative. Since the Initiative's commencement, wage indexation has increased across the community. For example, the 2022-23 increase in the National Minimum Wage modern award was in the range of five per cent. While councils operate under different awards, it is expected that similar indexation would need to apply to sustain the Initiative at current staffing levels. |
| | To address the pressures of wage increases as well as increases in operating costs, wage increases of at least 4.6% across the Social, Community, Home Care and Disability Services Industry Award in July 2022 (Fair Work Decision) were factored into the submission to the 2024-25 State Budget. |

| Name of the program | Victorian African Communities Action Plan (Lapsing initiatives) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | To promote educational engagement, inclusion and cultural safety, improve academic performance and drive school completion among African heritage students and their families. The <i>School Community Liaiso</i> | | | | | |
| | Officer Grant Initiative provide targeted supports. The grant people to engage with their of school connections, keeping provides grants to communit programs to improve academ | des grants to schools w s are used to employ S education, deliver targ young people connect y organisations to deli | vith significant ichool Communeted interventi ed to their sch ver tailored an | African herita nity Liaison Of ion programs ool. The <i>Home</i> d culturally re | ge populations, ficers who sup and help streng <i>ework Club Gra</i> | , to deliver port young gthen family- <i>nts initiative</i> |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 |
| 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ | Existing funding | (see note below*) | - | - | - | - |

| million) | Approved in 2024-25 | - | \$3.4m | \$3.5m | \$3.4m | \$1.7m | |
|--|---|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | * The existing funding directed towards this initiative by the Department of Education was allocated by the Department of Families, Fairness and Housing as part of an agreement between the departments in delivering the Government's overall Victorian African Communities Action Plan | | | | | | |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New output appropriation | | | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the | An evaluation of this program | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for | | | | | |
| program has been conducted. Please advise | | consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet | | | | | |
| who undertook the evaluation and attach a | consideration, this is Cabinet | t in Confidence and un | able to be prov | ided. | | | |
| copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the | Evidence shows that VACAP | Education Initiatives h | ave demonstra | ted an ongoin | g need for gov | ernment- | |
| program and the Government's role in | delivered programs that add | | | | | | |
| delivering it | African heritage. The VACAP | Education Initiatives a | re part of the \ | /ictorian Gove | rnment broade | er 10-year | |
| | Victorian African Communiti | · · | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its | The program supports 24 schools through 8 clusters with a School Community Liaison Officer and | | | | | | |
| stated objectives and expected outcomes | programming funding, and 17 community organisations to deliver homework clubs in communities with | | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within | large populations of student Initiative milestones continu | | | budget The f | ulleamalamaa | t of cohools | |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in | and community organisation | | | - | • | | |
| line with appropriate governance and risk | payments are tied to signific | | - | • | | | |
| management practices | required to submit termly, m | | | | • | | |
| C . | department. Schools are req | · · | • | | | | |
| | reviewed and approved by the department. Additional supports (including community of practice model, | | | | | | |
| | workshops and coaching ses | | led to SCLOs to | minimise the | cultural load r | isk factors | |
| | which exist within their roles | | | | - | | |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the | Efficiencies in administrative | • | | - | | | |
| delivery of the program | requirement barriers and fre community of practice mode | | ing consultatio | n and improve | ement activitie | s such as the | |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing | This program has been exter | | ate Budget | | | | |
| and what strategies have been identified to | | | ate buuget. | | | | |
| minimise any negative impacts | | | | | | | |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the | The cost of the program has | been tested through d | elivery – the fu | Ill scope of the | e current progr | am is being | |
| actual cost required to deliver the program | delivered within the allocate | | - | | | | |
| | determined by the fixed cost of employing an SCLO at the relevant Award level. The funding allocation for | | | | | | |
| | the Homework Club Grants I | nitiative is informed by | / acquittals rec | eived from gra | ant-funded con | nmunity | |

| l organisations. This tested funding model has been used as the basis for the further funding request. |
|--|
| organisations. This tested funding model has been used as the basis for the funding request. |
| |

| Name of the program | Advanced professional training to teachers and leaders | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|---|
| Objective(s) of the program | The Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership (the Academy) creates and offers evidence-ir professional learning programs, events and initiatives designed to take Victoria's highly skilled te and school leaders from great to exceptional. The Academy's legislated objectives are to: improve outcomes for school students through the provision of specialised teach leadership excellence programs for exceptional teachers and school leaders increase equity of access to professional learning to lift the quality of teaching ac Victoria provide a dedicated pathway for established exceptional teachers to contribute and system improvement improve the quality of school leadership raise public awareness of the capability and status of school leaders and teacher science and practice of teaching. | | | | | ed teachers teaching and ing across bute to school |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 |
| | Existing expenditure (\$m) | \$34.9m | \$35.7m | - | - | - |
| | Approved funding | - | - | \$10.3m | - | - |
| Details of how the program will be funded | New and existing appropriation fun | ding | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | A lapsing program evaluation in line with Resource Management Framework requirements is being compiled for the 2025-26 State Budget, a formal evaluation has not yet been conducted. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | Identified as the vital element missing from the Victorian education system, the Academy was designed to provide a whole of Victorian government system and consistent state-wide approach to capability-building our teaching and school leader workforce, and to raise the status of the profession. Established on 1 January 2022, the Academy supports the Education State vision to provide outstanding teachers and school leaders in every classroom and school, delivering excellence and equity in learning for every Victorian child and young person. | | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its | Given the system-wide and comple | x function of th | ne Academy, th | e Academy h | as developed | an evaluative |

| stated objectives and expected outcomes | strategy and methodology that ensures rigorous measurement and reporting on impact of the Academy's individual professional learning offerings. In addition, the Academy has in place a robust Evidence Strategy which articulates how evidence and insights are captured and disseminated, aligned to the Academy's overarching impact as a Statutory Authority and its ambitious legislated objectives. The Academy has also met its Budget Paper 3 Output Performance Measures since inception on 1 January 2022. |
|---|---|
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices | As part of the 2021-22 four-year funding commitment, BFC sought and has received annual Report Backs on progress of achievement of Academy objectives which detail evidence of the Academy being delivered within its funded required remit. |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | As part of the supplementary business case noted above, a lapsing program evaluation in line with Resource Management Framework requirements is being compiled for the 2025-26 State Budget and will provide an independent review and assessment of the extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the Academy. |
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024–25 State Budget. |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | The funding for staffing in this lapsing funding request is based on VPS and non-VPS (teaching service) staffing grades and rates. |

| Name of the program | Kinder Kits for Three-Year-Old-Kinder | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | The objectives of the Kinder Kits program are to: | | | | | |
| | Contribute to children's early learning (e.g. literacy and fine motor skills) outcomes through the provision of appropriate materials that align with the Victorian Early Years Learning and Development Framework (VEYLDF) | | | | | |
| | Provide a Kit of appropriate materials (high-quality books, educational toys and activities) to children and their families at the commencement of funded Three-Year-Old Kindergarten | | | | | |
| | Strengthen the relationship between home and kindergarten | | | | | |
| | Support all Victorian families to extend their children's learning at home (e.g. reading with children, engaging in developmentally appropriate play) | | | | | |
| | Raise awareness of the benefits of Three-Year-Old Kindergarten in the community and the value of | | | | | |

| | two years of kindergarten Support the Victorian economic recovery from COVID-19 by utilising local suppliers | | | | |
|---|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | |
| Expenditure in the financial years 2023-24 and 2024-25 (and where relevant, future years) (\$ million) | | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 |
| | Existing expenditure (\$m) | \$7.6m | | | |
| | Approved funding | | \$8.1m | | |
| Details of how the program will be funded | Internal reprioritisation | | | | |
| Confirmation that an evaluation for the program has been conducted. Please advise who undertook the evaluation and attach a copy of the executive summary of the evaluation | An evaluation of this program was included as required as part of the business case submitted for consideration in the 2024-25 budget process. As a component of a business case prepared for Cabinet consideration, this is Cabinet in Confidence and unable to be provided. | | | | |
| Evidence of the continued need for the program and the Government's role in delivering it | Kinder Kits was established for children commencing funded Three-Year-Old Kindergarten, to initiate a shared experience that supports early literacy and encourages families to engage in their children's learning. | | | | |
| Evidence of the program's progress toward its stated objectives and expected outcomes | There has been a total of 227,784 Kinder Kits delivered to services for children attending funded Three Year-Old Kindergarten from 2022 to April 2024: | | | | |
| | • 2022: 72710 | | | | |
| | • 2023: 77,074 | | | | |
| | • 2024: 74,153* | | | | |
| | *Secondary orders for 2024 Kinder Kits will commence in May 2024 for late enrolments. | | | | |
| | Provision of Kits, contribution to early learning and support and strengthen learning at home | | | | |
| | Evidence from 2023 shows that services reported children and families were pleased to receive their Kinder Kits and were absorbed with the contents. Children have been observed to be highly engaged with the kits, utilising the items both independently and with family members. | | | | |
| | The items contained within the Kinder Kits have been perceived as safe and age-appropriate by both services and families. The comprehensive range of items has been recognised as suitable for various stages of development. | | | | |
| | Among all services surveyed, the views on the diverse and inclusive mix of Kinder Kit items were positive with reports that they meet the needs of all families. The resources and books by Aboriginal | | | | |

| | and Torres Strait Islander authors were noted by services as positive. Many of the kit items were described as appropriate across all cultures. |
|---|---|
| | Communicating the importance of two years of Kinder |
| | Each Kinder Kit includes information to parents and carers about Three-Year-Old Kindergarten and the importance of two years of funded kindergarten before commencing primary school. |
| | Supporting the Victorian post-COVID economic recovery |
| | Kinder Kits engage a majority of local suppliers who complete Local Industry Development Plans detailing how they engage with local industry and commit to local content and local jobs. |
| Evidence of the program being delivered within its scope, budget, expected timeframe and in line with appropriate governance and risk management practices | Evidence shows that the initiative has effectively delivered on the main objective, to provide Kinder Kits to all children enrolled in funded Three-Year-Old Kindergarten from 2022 to 2024, while also responding to greater than expected demand driven by enrolments exceeding expectations. |
| | The initiative has been delivered on-time, within budget and managed with strong governance and risk protocols. Strong relationships with suppliers have supported the successful delivery of this initiative despite challenging timelines for production. All industry safety standards and relevant government procurement protocols were adhered to. |
| Extent and level of efficiencies realised in the delivery of the program | Delivery of Kinder Kits to kindergarten services across the state occurred on time in Term 1 each year, and delivery continued throughout the school year in response to late enrolments to ensure each eligible child received a Kinder Kit. |
| | Kindergarten services reported for the most part that the ordering system was easy to use, and the logistics team were very responsive, especially with additional orders. Kindergarten services have reported that they have a good understanding of the eligibility criteria for Kinder Kits, and that ordering accuracy is improving with a more routine number of enrolments from year to year and more familiarity across staff with the criteria. |
| | To ensure eligible children receive a Kinder Kit at the start of the Three-Year-Old Kindergarten year, services are required to estimate their enrolments prior to the annual confirmation process. Order numbers are monitored closely and further scrutinised when the department has confirmed enrolment data after Term 1 when the Annual Confirmation data is due. In instances where the department's enrolment data indicates services have ordered more Kinder Kits than they have eligible children, services with an excess of five or more Kinder Kits are asked to return their surplus Kits for redistribution to other services. Services with fewer than five surplus Kits are generally asked to hold onto their spare Kinder Kits to distribute to eligible children the following year. |
| | Procurement and budget management efficiencies of the initiative have improved in 2023/4 due to the |

| | provision of two years of funding in the 2023/4 Victorian State Budget. | |
|---|--|--|
| Nature of the impact of the program ceasing and what strategies have been identified to minimise any negative impacts | This program has been extended in the 2024–25 State Budget. | |
| Evidence that the further funding reflects the actual cost required to deliver the program | Despite Three-Year-Old Kindergarten enrolments exceeding expectations each year, the program has been delivered within its allocated budget. | |

Question 8

For all programs (output initiatives, including grants) with total funding of equal to or greater than \$5 million that are to lapse in 2023-24, please provide the:

- a) name of the program
- b) objective(s) of the program
- c) expenditure in the financial year 2023-24
- d) reasons why the program was established
- e) details of who (describe the type of users for example, health care providers, families, volunteers etc.) and how many used the program, and evidence of the outcomes achieved
- f) reasons why further funding is not being sought
- g) nature of the impact of ceasing the program
- h) strategies that are being implemented to minimise any negative impacts.

| Name of the program | Best Start, Best Life: Best Kinder for Victoria Kids (Education Initiative) - Component A: Best Kinder - Toy and Equipment Grants | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | Support funded kindergarten services to purchase new educational toys and equipment to support play- based learning. | | | |
| Expenditure in the financial year 2023-24 (\$ million) | \$14.7m | | | |
| Reasons why the program was established | Under this program, funded kindergarten service in Victoria received a one-off \$5,000 grant for educational toys and equipment to support play-based learning for children while they attend kindergarten. | | | |
| | This funding has meant that funded kindergarten services have acquired new, inclusive and age- appropriate materials, such as construction blocks, musical instruments, puzzles, gardening tools and sensory toys. This investment is supporting children of all abilities to use their imagination and creativity during play, under the guidance of qualified teaching teams. | | | |
| | Research shows that quality play-based learning helps lay the foundation for success throughout a child's education and life. By playing with educational toys and games, children are using their imagination and | | | |

| | practising important skills, such as problem-solving, whilst also learning to listen, share and take turns. | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Details of who and how many used the program and evidence of the outcomes achieved | Funded kindergarten services across Victoria have received a \$5,000 grant to invest in new toys and equipment that support play-based learning within services. Overall, \$14.5 million has been provided to 2,897 funded kindergarten services. Kindergarten services have welcomed this Victorian Government investment in educational toys and equipment. | | | |
| | Grant recipients must retain evidence of expenditure (e.g. receipts) and are required to provide this to the department on request. In addition, service providers are completing an acquittal as a condition of receiving funding. This acquittal process is currently underway. | | | |
| Reasons why further funding is not being sought | This was a one-off initiative to enable services to purchase new toys and equipment. | | | |
| Nature of the impact of ceasing the program | None. | | | |
| Strategies that are being implemented to minimise any negative impacts | Not applicable | | | |

| Name of the program | Expanding Professional Learning Communities | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | The <i>Expanding Professional Learning Communities initiative</i> provided \$68.4 million over five years to establish Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) in all Victorian government schools. | | |
| Expenditure in the financial year 2023-24 (\$ million) | \$15.3m ³ | | |
| Reasons why the program was established | The initiative was established to introduce and embed teacher collaboration and sharing of practice as a key strategy for improving student learning outcomes. PLCs provide a structure which supports teachers to evaluate and monitor the impact of their teaching, whilst using the Framework for Improving Student Outcomes (FISO) Improvement Cycle to guide inquiry and feedback about student outcomes and wellbeing through working in small teams. | | |
| Details of who and how many used the program and evidence of the outcomes achieved | Almost all government schools have now been inducted into the Professional Learning Communities initiative as planned. Data across a range of measures indicates that PLCs contributed to improved school culture and teaching practice, with 85% of Principals indicating that PLC had increased teacher collaboration. | | |
| Reasons why further funding is not being sought | Further funding is not being sought as schools have been inducted into the program as intended and the program is now established as part of practice in Victorian government schools. | | |

³ Funding is correct but has not been disclosed when published in the 2019-20 budget update. 2019-20 Budget Update did not include 2023-24 funding

| Nature of the impact of ceasing the program | The Professional Learning Communities initiative is ongoing; however, the establishment phase will conclude in June 2024. The initiative will progress to ongoing implementation through existing Departmental structures and supports. | |
|---|---|--|
| Strategies that are being implemented to | The PLC approach is now embedded in the overall school improvement architecture for Victorian | |
| minimise any negative impacts | government schools. It provides the framework for rolling out and reinforcing other teaching and learning initiatives such as the new Lesson Plans. | |

| Name of the program | Inclusive School Fund | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | The Inclusive Schools Fund supports small building projects that promote inclusion and meet the educational and social needs of all children, including those with disabilities and additional needs. | | | |
| Expenditure in the financial year 2023-24 (\$ million) | \$10.0m | | | |
| Reasons why the program was established | To provide grants up to \$300,000 to government schools to develop projects such as inclusive playgrounds, outdoor sensory areas, and indoor inclusive spaces that support inclusive schools, increase participation and improve learning outcomes. | | | |
| Details of who and how many used the program and evidence of the outcomes achieved | Since its inception in 2015, ISF has supported over 400 schools to become more inclusive. The program has funded 426 projects that support Victorian students to have equal access to a high-quality education regardless of their background and personal circumstances in a safe, positive, inclusive, and support environment and in the setting best suited to their needs. 329 projects have been completed as of 1 April 2024, with 92 projects in progress. | | | |
| Reasons why further funding is not being sought | N/A | | | |
| Nature of the impact of ceasing the program | Project applications can be made to the new \$25 million Capital Works Fund announced in the 2024 State Budget. | | | |
| Strategies that are being implemented to minimise any negative impacts | Project applications can be made to the new \$25 million Capital Works Fund announced in the 2024–25 State Budget. | | | |

| Name of the program | Learning Specialists Leading Excellence in Teaching and Learning | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | The Learning Specialists Leading Excellence in Teaching and Learning initiative provided \$25.2 million over five years to recruit, train and support Learning Specialists in every government school. | | |

| Expenditure in the financial year 2023-24 (\$ million) | \$6.0m ⁴ | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Reasons why the program was established | The Learning Specialist position was introduced as part of the 2017 Victorian Government Schools Agreement as a career pathway for highly skilled teachers who want to stay in the classroom and work with other teachers to improve their practice. This investment supported the professional learning for t new Learning Specialists and was introduced as part of a broader suite of initiatives to improve the qua of teaching and learning in every classroom across the state. | | |
| Details of who and how many used the program and evidence of the outcomes achieved | Over 3,200 current and aspiring learning specialists have participated in the initiative since its inception. The initiative comprised four programs: the Professional Learning Suite, Coaching for Influence, Middle Leaders in Schools Conferences, and Learning Specialist Communities of Practice. Participants reported that the program had increased their confidence and skills in coaching other teachers, and growth in their professional connections with other Learning Specialists. | | |
| Reasons why further funding is not being sought | The introduction of the Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership ensures that learning specialists will continue to have access to high quality professional learning. | | |
| Nature of the impact of ceasing the program | | | |
| Strategies that are being implemented to minimise any negative impacts | As noted, introduction of the Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership ensures that learning specialists will continue to have access to high quality professional learning. They will also have access to 62 local Communities of Practice. | | |

| Name of the program | VET Materials Funding for VCAL students: removing barriers to accessing VET for VCAL | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Objective(s) of the program | To cover the costs schools or students would otherwise incur for materials required to participate in VET courses as part of the completion of VCAL, which has now been replaced by the VCE Vocational Major and VPC. | | |
| Expenditure in the financial year 2023-24 (\$ million) | \$5.5 million | | |
| Reasons why the program was established | VET material costs can be expensive, discouraging students and schools from choosing to enrol in VET courses. | | |
| Details of who and how many used the program and evidence of the outcomes | Funding was provided to 344 schools to pay for VET materials so that no students were out of pocket. | | |

⁴ The 2023-24 amount has not been disclosed when initiative was published in the 2019-20 Budget Update. 2019-20 BU does not show 2023-24 funding as final year in table is 2022-23

| achieved | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Reasons why further funding is not being | This budget line is lapsing because the funds were consolidated into a different budget titled – | | | |
| sought | "Addressing the cost of delivering education 2021-22" | | | |
| Nature of the impact of ceasing the program | There will be no impact given VET materials will continue to be covered by another budget initiative. | | | |
| Strategies that are being implemented to | Not applicable | | | |
| minimise any negative impacts | | | | |

Capital asset expenditure

Question 9

Budget Paper No. 5: Statement of Finances provides cash flow statements for departments.

Budget Paper No. 4: State Capital Program provides the capital projects undertaken by departments.

For the 'Payments for non-financial assets' line item in the 2024-25 budget cash flow statement, please provide a breakdown of these costs and indicate which capital project they relate to.

If any other line items in the cash flow statement comprises expenditure on Public Private Partnerships (PPPs), please list the PPP it relates to and the cost.

Guidance

Capital projects extracted from the cash flow statements are expected to correspond to capital projects listed in *Budget Paper No. 4: State Capital Program* as 'New projects', 'Existing projects', or 'Completed projects'.

Response

Please see Excel Worksheet for response

Public Private Partnerships – expenditure

Question 10

Budget Paper No. 5: Statement of Finances provides a comprehensive operating statement that details each department's revenue and expenses on an accrual basis reflecting the cost of providing its output.

- a) In the 2024-25 comprehensive operating statement please identify all expenditure on Public Private Partnerships (PPP) by line item and provide a breakdown of these costs and indicate to which project they relate.
- b) Please also provide the estimated/forecast expenditure for all PPPs across forward estimates.

Response

a)

| Line item | 2022-23 Actual (\$ million) | 2023-24 revised Budget (\$ million) | 2024-25 Budget (\$ million) | Related project(s) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| Partnerships Victoria in Schools | 32.5 | 32.9 | 32.8 | |
| PPP Schools – Public Private | 33.5 | 34.1 | 32.9 | |
| Partnerships | | | | |
| Total | 66.0 | 67.0 | 65.7 | |

b)

| Partnerships Victoria in Schools | 2022-23 Actual (\$ million) | 2023-24 revised Budget (\$ million) | 2024-25 Budget (\$ million) | 2025-26 Estimated/Foreca st (\$ million) | 2026-27 Estimated/Foreca st (\$ million) | 2027-28 Estimated/Foreca st (\$ million) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Interest | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.4 |
| Other Operating Expenses | 13.9 | 13.2 | 13.5 | 15.9 | 14.1 | 14.5 |
| State Based Costs | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| Depreciation/Amortisation* | 8.8 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total | 32.6 | 32.9 | 32.8 | 34.9 | 32.7 | 32.7 |

* Depreciation is for both Building and Plant & Equipment.

| PPP Schools – Public Private Partnerships | 2022-23 Actual (\$ million) | 2023-24 revised Budget (\$ million) | 2024-25 Budget (\$ million) | 2025-26 Estimated/Foreca st (\$ million) | 2026-27 Estimated/Foreca st (\$ million) | 2027-28 Estimated/Foreca st (\$ million) |
|--|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Interest | 14.0 | 13.7 | 13.4 | 13.0 | 12.7 | 12.3 |
| Other Operating Expenses | 11.5 | 12.4 | 11.1 | 11.3 | 11.4 | 11.6 |
| State Based Costs | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| Depreciation/Amortisation* | 6.9 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Total | 33.6 | 34.1 | 32.9 | 32.9 | 32.9 | 32.9 |

* Depreciation is for both Building and Plant & Equipment.

Public Private Partnerships – expected and actual benefits

Question 11

For Public Private Partnerships (PPP) projects in operation and in procurement and delivery, please provide detail on:

- a) the expected benefits of the PPP project in the uncommissioned (planning and construction) stages
- b) the value of the expected benefits of the PPP project in the uncommissioned stages to the State
- c) the actual/existing benefits of the PPP projects in its commissioned (operations and maintenance) stage
- d) the value of the actual/existing benefits of the PPP projects in its commissioned stage per year

| РРР | Expected benefits in | Value of expected benefits | Actual/existing benefits of | Value of actual/existing |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | uncommissioned (planning | to the State | commissioned (operations | benefits per year |
| | and construction) phases | (\$ million) | and maintenance) stage | (\$ million) |
| Partnerships Victoria in Schools | Not applicable | Not applicable | Transfer of design, construction and facilities maintenance risk to the private sector. School infrastructure and equipment managed under a whole-of-life model that includes the lifecycle replacement of assets across the 25-year contract term. Consortium must maintain the facilities to high standards and meet KPIs in order to receive quarterly payments. Provision of additional infrastructure, such as Early | 32.8 |

| | | | Learning Centres, Learn to Swim pools, and expanded gymnasiums delivered via efficiencies achieved by the consortium, at no extra cost to the State. | |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--|------|
| PPP New Schools | Not applicable | Not applicable | Transfer of design, construction and facilities maintenance risk to the private sector. School infrastructure and equipment managed under a whole-of-life model that includes the lifecycle replacement of assets across the 25-year contract term. Consortium must maintain the facilities to high standards and meet KPIs in order to receive quarterly payments. Provision of additional infrastructure, such as Early Learning Centres, Learn to Swim pools, and expanded gymnasiums delivered via efficiencies achieved by the consortium, at no extra cost to the State. | 32.9 |

Received 8 May 2024

Major project contracting – DTP only

Question 12

- a) For all the major transport projects, please provide the following details:
 - i) Total estimated investment at the announcement and the budget year
 - ii) Revised total estimated investment in the 2024-25 Budget
 - iii) Delivery model please specify if the major projects are delivered through either PPP, alliance contracting or any other financing arrangement
 - iv) Estimated completion date at the announcement
 - v) Revised estimated completion date in the 2024-25 Budget
 - vi) Cost/benefit analysis please specify if a cost/benefit analysis has been undertaken for the project, and if so, what the cost/benefit ratio is and whether the analysis is publicly available and if so, where/how it can be accessed.

| Project name | Total estimated investment at announcement | Budget year | Revised total estimated investment | Delivery model (PPP, Alliance contracting or other) | Estimated completion date at announcement | Revised estimated completion date | Explanation for variances in cost and timeliness of the project | Cost/benefit analysis (y/n) If yes, what is the ratio and where the analysis can be publicly accessed |
|--------------|--|-------------|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

b) What is the owner's cost (i.e. cost to the Government) of delivering the projects via alliance contracting as opposed to PPP projects? Owner's costs under traditional contracts may include, direct costs, contingency for risks, profit margin and contribution to corporate overheads, and internal contract administration expenses.⁵

Please provide the following details:

- i) project name
- ii) project value
- iii) project delivery model
- iv) expense category
- v) expenses incurred.

Please replicate the below table according to DTP's major projects.

Response

| Project name (E.g. Suburban Rail Loop) | Project value | Project delivery model (PPP, Alliance contracting, etc.) | Expense category | Expenses incurred by the Vic Government (\$ million) | |
|---|---------------|---|------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total cost | | | | | |

Savings initiatives

Question 13

For each of the savings initiatives detailed in the 2023-24 Budget,⁶ please detail:

a) the Department's saving target for 2023-24 and 2024-25

⁵ PricewaterhouseCoopers Australia, *Collaborative Contracting*, March 2018, p. 9.

⁶ Department of Treasury and Finance, Budget Paper No. 3: 2023-24 Service Delivery, Melbourne, 2023, p. 118.

- b) a breakdown of how the Department will meet the various savings targets in 2023-24 and 2024-25
- c) the impact that these actions will have on the delivery of services and assets/infrastructure during 2023-24 and 2024-25.

| Initiative | Savings target for 2023-24 (\$ million) | Breakdown of how the Department met various savings targets in 2023-24 | Impact these actions had on the delivery of services and assets/infrastructu re in 2023-24 | Savings target for 2024-25 (\$ million) | How the Department will meet various savings targets in 2024-25 | Impact these actions will have on delivery of services and assets/infrastructu re in 2024-25 |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| Labor's Financial Statement Savings | 4.6 | Reduction in the use of consultancy, labour hire and discretionary professional services | No impacts on frontline service delivery or asset/infrastructure projects. | 14.3 | Reduction in the use of consultancy, labour hire and discretionary professional services | No anticipated impacts on frontline service delivery or asset/infrastructur e projects. |
| Whole of Government savings and efficiencies | 34.0 | The department is continuing to implement corporate and regional office efficiencies including streamlining administrative and policy functions, other procurement efficiencies and reducing reliance on contractors and consultants. | The targets will not impact frontline services, including classroom teachers, school based and early childhood staff or reduce services to regional community. Impact is in reducing corporate and regional office functions. (| 55.9 | The targets will not impact frontline services, including classroom teachers, school based and early childhood staff or reduce services to regional community. Impact is in reducing corporate and regional office functions. | No anticipated impacts on frontline service delivery or asset/infrastructur e projects. |

Question 14

For each of the savings initiatives detailed in the 2024-25 Budget, please detail (on the same basis of consolidation as the budget papers):

- a) the Department's saving target for 2024-25
- b) how the Department will meet the various savings targets in 2024-25
- c) the impact that these actions will have on the delivery of services and assets/infrastructure during 2024-25.

| Initiative | Savings target for 2024-25 (\$ million) | Savings target for 2025-26 (\$ million) | Savings target for 2026-27 (\$ million) | Savings target for 2027-28 (\$ million) | How the Department will meet various savings targets | Impact these actions will have on delivery of services and assets/infrastructu re |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Savings and efficiencies and expenditure reduction measures in 2024-25 Budget | 5.6 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | The Department will be providing further details to Government on implementation of the savings; however, the target will be met through a reduction in advertising expenditure | No anticipated impacts on frontline service delivery or asset/infrastructure projects. |

Use of funds saved from other programs or initiatives

Question 15

In relation to any programs or initiatives that have been reprioritised, curtailed or reduced for 2024-25 (including lapsing programs), please identify:

- a) the amount expected to be spent under the program or initiative during 2024-25 at the time of the 2023-24 Budget
- b) the amount currently to be spent under the program or initiative during 2024-25
- c) the use to which the funds realised by this reduction will be put. Please include the name(s) of any program or initiative that will be funded or partially funded.

Response

| Program/initiative that has been reprioritised, | The amount expected to be spent | | The use to which the funds will be put |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------|--|
| curtailed or reduced | under the program or initiative | | |
| | during 2024-25 (\$ million) | | |
| | At the time of | At the time of | |
| | the 2023-24 | the 2024-25 | |
| | Budget | Budget | |
| N/A | | | |

DE

Performance measures – new

Question 16

For all new performance measures in the 2024-25 Budget Paper No. 3: Service Delivery, please provide:

- a) a description/purpose of the measure
- b) the assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure (including how the supporting data is calculated or derived, source and frequency of data collection, as well as any other business rules and assumptions)
- c) how the target was set
- d) the shortcomings of the measure
- e) how the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service.

| Performance measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Reading (NAPLAN) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| measure | |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 |

| | Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
|---|---|
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other Australian jurisdictions |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Reading (NAPLAN) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other Australian jurisdictions |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Government schools with active School Based Apprenticeship and Traineeships (SBAT) |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure replaces the measure "Number of government schools with students enrolled in School Based Apprenticeship and Traineeship (SBAT) pathways" by providing a more accurate count of schools with students actively undertaking SBATs. |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | This measure counts any school where a student has an active SBAT. |
| How target was set | The target was set with reference to time series data relating to schools with students participating in SBATs. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | This measure is an improvement by only counting active SBAT participation. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | This will enable the public to assess the breadth of take-up of SBATs across government schools. |

| Performance measure | Number of government students enrolled in VDSS certificates within priority pathways |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure replaces the measure "Number of government student enrolments in VDSS" by focussing on enrolments in the 6 priority pathways. |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | This measure counts the number of students with an enrolment in a VDSS certificate within the 6 priority pathways – Health, Community Services and Early Childhood Education, Building and Construction, Digital Media and Technologies, Hospitality, Clean Energy and Engineering. |
| How target was set | The target was set with reference to enrolments in the first year of delivery (2023). |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable assessment of the effectiveness of programs designed to promote engagement with priority pathways by government school students. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Government school students in an SBAT that are retained in training |
|--|---|
| | contract 12 months post commencement |
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure replaces the measure "SBAT enrolments in government schools' |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the | This measure divides the number of students with an active SBAT by the number of |
| measure | students commencing an SBAT 12 months prior. |
| How target was set | The target was set with reference to time series data. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | This measure is an improvement by providing an assessment of the proportion of students |
| | enrolled in an SBAT who are continuing with training within 12 months of commencing. |

| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of | As this is a proportion it will enable comparison of performance over time. |
|---|---|
| the service | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Reading (NAPLAN) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Reading (NAPLAN) |
|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| measure | |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 |

| | Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
|---|---|
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other |
| the service | Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Number of teacher targeted financial incentives allocated |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure assesses the distribution of financial incentives to support teachers to take up positions in hard to staff schools. |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | This measure counts the number of incentives granted to teachers |
| How target was set | The target is set based on the expected number of incentives that will be allocated in 2024. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | This measure will provide information regarding take up of the incentives. |

| Performance measure | Growth in commencements in secondary and dual primary/secondary ITE courses |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure assesses the growth in commencements in Initial Teacher Education courses |

| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the | The measure compares the number of commencements in ITE courses to commencements |
|---|--|
| measure | in the previous year. |
| How target was set | Target was set with reference to the expected increase in commencements |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of | As this is a proportion it will enable comparison of performance over time. |
| the service | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Reading (NAPLAN) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Reading (NAPLAN) |
|---------------------|---|

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other |
| the service | Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Reading (NAPLAN) |
|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| measure | |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 |
| | Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should |
| | be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the |
| | statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since |
| | 2019. |

| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
|---|---|
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other |
| the service | Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Reading (NAPLAN) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the measure | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of the service | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other Australian jurisdictions. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | National standardised assessment tool: per cent |
| Assumptions and methodology underpinning the | NAPLAN assessment outcome |
| measure | |

| How target was set | The target is estimated based on the Australian NAPLAN average. Using the 2023 Australian average for target setting aligns with VAGO's finding that performance should be benchmarked to national performance and is consistent with other targets in the statement including student attendance rates which uses the Australian average since 2019. |
|---|---|
| Shortcomings of the measure | No immediate shortcomings identified. |
| How the measure will enable assessment of the impact of | The measure will enable comparison to performance over time and against other |
| the service | Australian jurisdictions. |

Performance measures – modifications

Question 17

For all existing performance measures with an associated target that has been modified in the 2024-25 Budget Paper No. 3: Service Delivery, please provide:

- a) a description/purpose of the measure
- b) the previous target
- c) the new target and how it was set
- d) the justification for changing the target
- e) an explanation of why the target was not met in 2022-23, if applicable and the 2023-24 expected outcome
- f) the methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget.

| Response |
|----------|
|----------|

| Performance measure | Average number of hours per week of kindergarten delivery per child in the year two years before school |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure reflects the progressive implementation of kindergarten delivery in the year two years before school. |
| The previous target | 7.5 |
| The new target and how it was set | 13.1 |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account expected hours of provision in 2024 (2024–25), at |
| | this stage of the Three-Year-Old Kindergarten roll-out. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects stronger-than-forecast performance levels in |
| | 2023-24. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 13.1 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure is a weekly average of per child hours. Child eligibility is the same as for the |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | enrolment and participation measures. Accounts for different number of weeks of delivery across |
| | services. |

| Performance measure | Children funded to participate in Early Start Kindergarten or Access to Early Learning in the year |
|---------------------|--|
| | two years before school |

| Description/purpose of the measure | The measure reflects Government priorities relating to Early Start Kindergarten (ESK), with sustained focus on initiatives designed to improving early learning participation, such as the Early Years Compact, Koorie Kids Shine at Kindergarten, and the Early Childhood Agreement for Children in Out-of-Home Care. |
|---|--|
| The previous target | 3,500 |
| The new target and how it was set | 4,000 |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account the increase in ESK enrolments as a result of |
| | broadening of eligibility criteria. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024–25 target reflects the progressive implementation of Three-Year-Old |
| | Kindergarten. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | The 2022–23 target was 'Met': 4,205 |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 4,533 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure is the total number of 3-year-old children participating in the Early Start |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | Kindergarten and Access to Early Learning programs with a funded kindergarten enrolment. This |
| | measure includes 3-year-old Aboriginal kindergarten participants. |

| Performance measure | Children funded to participate in kindergarten in the year two years before school |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure reflects progressive implementation of kindergarten delivery in the year two years |
| | before school. |
| The previous target | 61,000 |
| The new target and how it was set | 67,900 |
| | The target is calculated by projecting historical trends in eligible students and forecast demand |
| | based on current Three-Year-Old population estimates. The target reflects expected demand |
| | based on the phased rollout of kindergarten in the year two years before school. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects the expectation that the rate of growth in the number of 3YO |
| | Kinder enrolments will moderate from recent strong growth in 2023-24. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | The 2022–23 target was 'Met': 60,455 |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 67,413 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure is a count of children receiving funding. |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | |

| Performance measure | Kindergarten participation rate in the year two years before school |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure reflects kindergarten participation in the year two years before school. This |

| | performance measure relates to the calendar year. |
|---|---|
| The previous target | 80 per cent |
| The new target and how it was set | 89 per cent |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account expected provision in 2024 (2024–25 reporting). |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target builds on the increase in participation in 2023-24. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 88.7 per cent |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure is the percentage of estimated cohort enrolled in program. |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | |

| Performance measure | Number of kindergarten services supported through the Kindergarten Inclusion Support program for children with a disability or complex medical needs |
|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure reflects Government priorities relating to delivery of Kindergarten Inclusion Support (KIS) Services. |
| The previous target | 600 |
| The new target and how it was set | 900 The target is calculated by taking into account the expected number of services who will be supported by KIS. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects increased capacity in the program as a result of increased investment under the <i>Best Start Best Life</i> reforms but also allows for variations in demand. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | The 2022–23 target was 'Met': 1,000 |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 1,187 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | This measure is the number of kindergarten services supported by KIS. |

| Performance measure | Total number of early childhood teachers delivering a funded kindergarten program |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure counts the early childhood teaching workforce. |
| The previous target | 7,100 |
| The new target and how it was set | 7,800 |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account the expected workforce numbers in 2024. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects expected continued levels of uptake of workforce attraction |
| | programs. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |

| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 7,551 |
|---|---|
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure is a count of teachers delivering a funded kindergarten program. It excludes |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | upskiller categories. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of allied health sessions offered through School Readiness Funding accessed by funded kindergarten services |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure reflects take-up of allied health sessions by funded kindergarten services as part of School Readiness Funding |
| The previous target | 80 |
| The new target and how it was set | 85 |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account expected levels of demand in 2024 (2024-25) |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects increased demand in 2024-25. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 93.6% |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | The measure is the number of allied health session accessed divided by the number of allied |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | health session available through School Readiness Funding. |

| Performance measure | Number of students enrolled in a Victorian Senior Secondary Certificate |
|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure is the number of students enrolled in a Senior Secondary Certificate (VCE or VCE Vocational major). This measure replaces the measure "Number of school students enrolled in Victorian Certificate of Applied Learning" to reflect reforms to senior secondary certification from 2023. This measure is the number of students enrolled in a Senior Secondary Certificate in 2023 including the teach out phase of the Victorian Certificate of Applied Learning. |
| The previous target | 160,000 |
| The new target and how it was set | 170,000 The target is calculated by taking into account the revised baseline which includes all students enrolled in the VCE (around 150,000), and VCAA's estimate of VCAL enrolments taking into account students enrolling in multiple VCAL certificates and students that will transition to the VPC. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects more accurate alignment with participation rates over the last 5 years. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome The 2023–24 expected outcome: 178,728 |

| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure includes all students enrolled in the VCE and VCE Vocational Major in 2024-25. This |
|---|--|
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | measure includes all students enrolled in the VCE and VCAA's estimate of VCAL enrolments taking |
| | into account students enrolling in multiple VCAL certificates and students that will transition to |
| | the VPC. |

| Performance measure | Number of government student enrolments in Vocational Education and Training Delivered to School Students (VDSS) |
|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure counts government school enrolments in VDSS in 2024 (2024-25) and is proposed for discontinuation. |
| The previous target | 52,065 |
| The new target and how it was set | 37,595 The target is calculated by estimating the number of government school students enrolled in VDSS in 2024. |
| The justification for changing the target | The lower 2024-25 target reflects the realignment of the target with the definition of the discontinued measure. The target is realigned to reflect government school students only. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 37,223 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | This measure is the estimated number of VDSS enrolments in government schools in 2024. |

| Performance measure | Number of government schools providing access to at least 6 VDSS certificates within the priority pathways |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure assesses the breadth of access to VDSS priority pathways within government schools. |
| The previous target | 265 |
| The new target and how it was set | 289 |
| | The target was calculated by taking into account the rate of growth in the number of schools that meet this criterion. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects the expected growth in the number of schools providing access to certificates in the VDSS Core Offering in 2024. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 281 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure is the number of government schools with enrolments in at least six certificates |

| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | from within the Core Offering, i.e. any six certificates in one or more of Health, Community |
|--|--|
| | Services and Early Childhood Education, Building and Construction, Digital Media and |
| | Technologies, Hospitality, and Clean Energy and Engineering. This performance measure relates to |
| | the calendar year. |

| Performance measure | Number of government schools providing access to at least 8 VDSS certificates |
|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure assesses the breadth of access to VDSS within government schools. This measure is proposed for discontinuation. |
| The previous target | 275 |
| The new target and how it was set | 280 |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account the number of schools offering at least 8 certificates – this is any VDSS certificate (and does not have to be in the Core Offering) |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects the expected growth in the number of schools providing access to any VDSS certificate in 2024. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 272 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | This measure is the number of government schools with enrolments in at least eight VET certificates. |

| Performance measure | Victorian Senior Secondary Certificate completion rate |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This performance measure reflects completion of a Senior Secondary Certificate (VCE and VCE |
| | Vocational Major). This performance measure replaces the measure "Percentage of Victorian |
| | Certificate of Applied Learning Certificates satisfactorily completed by school students". |
| The previous target | 90 per cent |
| The new target and how it was set | 95 per cent |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account VCAA completion estimates. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target aligns to recent trends in completion rates. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 97.2 per cent |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure is the number of students who complete a senior secondary certificate divided by |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | the number of students eligible to complete a senior secondary certificate. Targets are based on |
| | Senior Secondary certificates including VCAL Intermediate, VCAL Senior and VCE. This will be VCE |
| | Vocational Major and VCE. |

| Performance measure | Number of school campuses supported by the Mental Health in Primary Schools program |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure counts the number of schools supported by the Mental Health in Primary Schools program (MHiPS). |
| The previous target | 474 |
| The new target and how it was set | 901 |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account projected school eligibility based on location, and |
| | for non-government schools enrolments and private income data. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects expanded program delivery in another four department Areas |
| | in 2024, in line with the expansion implementation schedule. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 495 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure calculates the number of school campuses that receive MHiPS funding each |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | calendar year. |

| Performance measure | Number of schools supported by the Schools Mental Health Fund |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure reflects Government priorities relating to student mental health. |
| The previous target | 1,115 |
| The new target and how it was set | 1,580 |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account the staged implementation of the Mental Health |
| | Fund from July 2022. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects full implementation (all Victorian government schools) |
| | receiving the Fund in 2024. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | The 2022–23 target was 'Met': 680 |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 1,116 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure counts the number of schools supported by the Schools Mental Health Fund in |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | 2024. |

| Performance measure | Number of school reviews undertaken |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure counts the number of school reviews undertaken by the Victorian Registered |
| | Qualifications Authority (VRQA) related to independent schools, schools that deliver to |
| | international students, and schools with boarding premises. |
| The previous target | 67 |
| The new target and how it was set | 70 |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account activity scheduled for 2024 (2024-25). |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects the forecast school review schedule for 2024. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 62 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | The measure uses calendar year data to count the number of school reviews of independent |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | schools; boarding premises reviews and reviews of schools delivering to overseas students. |

| Performance measure | Number of Rolling Facilities Fund (RFE) audits completed per year |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure reflects school maintenance activities through the RFE. |
| The previous target | 245 |
| The new target and how it was set | 348 |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account the 5 Year Service Delivery Plan (based on RFE |
| | frequency of 5 years for each school). |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects commencement of the RFE second cycle and the full 12 |
| | months of visual assessments. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 247 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | The RFE audits are conducted for all public schools in Victoria every 5 years. The Service Provider |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | conducts condition assessments of schools and produces a report which is reviewed and |
| | endorsed by VSBA; and consequently, accepted by the school. The assessment is complete when |
| | the school has accepted the report. |

| Performance measure | Number of cleaning audits per year (for metro cleaning contracts) |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure reflects the quality of the school cleaning program in metropolitan areas. |
| The previous target | 500 |
| The new target and how it was set | 750 |

| | The target is calculated by taking into account the Business Unit's KPI's to validate the standards of cleaning services being delivered in the Metropolitan Government schools. |
|---|---|
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects a significant change to the current cleaning arrangement in the metropolitan area. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 1,000 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | The VSBA School Cleaning Unit undertakes on-site quality cleaning audits throughout the school year. During the School Term, Base Cleaning Audits are conducted, and during term break, Periodical Cleaning Audits are undertaken. The School Cleaning Unit then analyses the results of the audits, and any rectification or non-compliance is provided to the respective cleaning service provider to rectify. Each cleaning service provider is required to provide the department with evidence for each rectification and non-compliance observed during the on-site cleaning quality audit. |

| Performance measure | Proportion of Government schools which have internet speeds of at least 1Mbps per student |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure assesses internet provision within government schools. |
| The previous target | 99 per cent |
| The new target and how it was set | 100 per cent |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account the department's publicised commitment to |
| | deliver a minimum bandwidth of 1mbps per student. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects completion of this phase of the School IT program. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 99 per cent |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure counts actual bandwidth provision. |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | |

| Performance measure | Number of students for which government secondary schools are funded to 'catch up' |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure calculates the extent of financial support provided to secondary school students |
| The previous target | 10,500 |
| The new target and how it was set | 10,340 |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account the outcome from a 2016 empirical study |
| | designed to implement the Equity reform. The study found that students who were below the |
| | National Minimum Standard (NMS) for Year 5 reading were eligible to receive free secondary |

| | education in government schools. |
|---|---|
| The justification for changing the target | The lower 2024-25 target reflects reduced number of students assessed as requiring assistance |
| | (i.e. lower number of students who were below NMS in Year 5 Reading). |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | The 2022–23 target was 'Met': 10,500 |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 10,500 |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | Students below the NMS in NAPLAN Year 5 (reading) in prior years (i.e. before NAPLAN changes |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | in 2023) are eligible for catchup funding in secondary school. The methodology for this measure |
| | will be revised in 2025, the first year from which Year 7 students may have a Year 5 NAPLAN |
| | result drawn from the revised 2023 NAPLAN reporting framework. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of government primary school students receiving equity funding |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure calculates the extent of financial support provided to primary school students |
| The previous target | 24 per cent |
| The new target and how it was set | 21 per cent |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account the projection of historical trends in eligible |
| | students and forecast demand. |
| The justification for changing the target | The lower 2024-25 target reflects a decrease in the proportion of numbers of eligible students |
| | due to demographic changes combined with increasing enrolment in government schools, noting |
| | the level of funding has been maintained. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | The 2022–23 target was 'Met': 24 per cent |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 24 per cent |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure is the proportion of students receiving equity funding. The department reports |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | information based on administrative data collected in the calendar year. |

| Performance measure | Percentage of government secondary school students receiving equity funding |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure calculates the extent of financial support provided to secondary school students |
| The previous target | 30 per cent |
| The new target and how it was set | 26 per cent The target is calculated by taking into account the projection of historical trends in eligible |
| | students and forecast demand. |
| The justification for changing the target | The lower 2024-25 target reflects reduced number of students requiring assistance due to higher parental occupation and educational levels for incoming Year 7 enrolments. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | The 2022–23 target was 'Met': 30 per cent |

| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 30 per cent |
|---|---|
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure is the proportion of students receiving equity funding. The department reports |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | information based on administrative data collected in the calendar year. |

| Performance measure | Proportion of students supported through Camps, Sports and Excursions Fund |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure reflects the breadth of take-up of the Camps, Sports and Excursions Fund. |
| The previous target | 15 per cent |
| The new target and how it was set | 18 per cent |
| | The target is calculated by taking into account the projection of historical trends in eligible |
| | students and forecast demand. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects improved alignment with actual performance in 2023-24. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | New measure: No 2022–23 outcome |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 18 per cent |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 | This measure uses actual data based on the Camps, Sports and Excursion Fund enrolments and |
| expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | the enrolments recorded at census. |

| Performance measure | Government school students who receive adjustments to support their access and participation in learning because of disability as defined in the Disability Discrimination Act 1992 (Cth), as a percentage of the total government school student population |
|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure reflects the proportion of government school students who receive adjustments at school to support their access and participation in learning because of disability. |
| The previous target | 23 per cent |
| The new target and how it was set | 25 per cent The target is calculated by dividing the number of students receiving an adjustment (counted through the Nationally Consistent Collection of Data on School Students with a Disability (NCCD)) divided by the total population of government school students. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects the 2023-24 performance outcome and the department's continuing work to build school and system knowledge of inclusive education practice and reasonable adjustments. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- | The 2022–23 target was 'Met': 25 per cent |
| 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2023–24 expected outcome: 27 per cent |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | This measure calculates a proportion of students receiving adjustments by dividing the total number of students enrolled in government schools at the August Census by the number of |

| students counted in the NCCD at the August Census. |
|--|
| |

| Performance measure | Percentage of total government schools resourced through the Disability Inclusion funding and support model |
|--|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure | This measure shows the proportion of schools funded through Disability Inclusion and demonstrates the annual progress of the staged rollout. |
| The previous target | 75 per cent |
| The new target and how it was set | 99 per cent The target is calculated by taking into account the number of schools expected to receive Disability Inclusion funding in accordance with the phased roll-out schedule. |
| The justification for changing the target | The higher 2024-25 target reflects continued rollout of the program with more eligible schools being funded. |
| An explanation of why the target was not met in 2022- 23, if applicable, and the 2023-24 expected outcome | The 2022–23 target was 'Met': 54 per cent The 2023–24 expected outcome: 79.9 per cent |
| The methodology behind estimating the 2023-24 expected outcome in the 2024-25 Budget | This measure calculates the proportion of schools funded by disability inclusion by dividing the cumulative number of schools in areas which have been resourced through Disability Inclusion in a given year by the total number of government schools. The expected outcome is the proportion of schools funded by disability inclusion in a given in year in accordance with the staged roll out schedule of Disability Inclusion. |

Performance measures – discontinued

Question 18

For performance measures that are identified as to be discontinued in the 2024-25 Budget Paper No. 3: Service Delivery, please provide:

- a) a description/purpose of the measure and the year the measure was introduced
- b) the previous target
- c) when the target was last modified and reasons for modification
- d) the justification for discontinuing the measure, including any further information that is not available in Budget Paper No. 3
- e) any performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure in part or full.

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students above the bottom three bands for numeracy in Year 3 (National Assessment Program Literacy and Numeracy – NAPLAN testing) |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 46.7 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2019-20 (46.7%, up from 44.0%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students above the bottom three bands for numeracy in Year 5 (NAPLAN testing) |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 35.2 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2020-21 (35.2%, up from 33.6%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |

| measure | |
|---------|--|
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students above the bottom three bands for reading in Year 3 (NAPLAN testing) |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 58.2 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2019-20 (58.2%, up from 53.3%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Reading (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students above the bottom three bands for reading in Year 5 (NAPLAN testing) |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 44.6 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2020-21 (44.6%, up from 43.4%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Reading (NAPLAN) |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students above the bottom three bands for numeracy in Year 3 (NAPLAN |
|--|---|
| | testing) |
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 73.8 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for | The target was last modified in 2020-21 (73.8%, up from 73.4%) based on recent trend |
| modification | performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous |

| | 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
|---|--|
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students above the bottom three bands for numeracy in Year 5 (NAPLAN |
|---|--|
| | testing) |
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 66.0 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2020-21 (66.0%, up from 64.0%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students above the bottom three bands for reading in Year 3 (NAPLAN |
|---|---|
| | testing) |
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 82.0 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for | The target was last modified in 2019-20 (82.0%, up from 79.7%) based on recent trend |
| modification | performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous |
| | 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new |
| | national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Reading (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students above the bottom three bands for reading in Year 5 (NAPLAN |
|--|---|
| | testing) |
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 74.0 per cent |

| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2022-23 (74.0%, up from 72.5%) based on recent trend performance. |
|---|--|
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Reading (NAPLAN) |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students in the top two bands for numeracy in Year 3 (NAPLAN testing) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 46.7 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for | The target was last modified in 2020-21 (46.7%, up from 45.7%) based on recent trend |
| modification | performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous |
| | 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new |
| | national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students in the top two bands for numeracy in Year 5 (NAPLAN testing) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 35.3 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for | The target was last modified in 2020-21 (35.3%, up from 32.9%) based on recent trend |
| modification | performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous |
| | 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new |
| | national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students in the top two bands for reading in Year 3 (NAPLAN testing) |
|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 62.2 per cent |

| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2022-23 (62.2%, up from 60.7%) based on recent trend performance. |
|---|--|
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Reading (NAPLAN) |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students in the top two bands for reading in Year 5 (NAPLAN testing) |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 45.1 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for | The target was last modified in 2019-20 (45.1%, up from 41.1%) based on recent trend |
| modification | performance |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous |
| | 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new |
| | national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Reading (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students above the bottom three bands for numeracy in Year 7 (NAPLAN testing) |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 29.7 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2018-19 (29.7%, up from 29.4%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students above the bottom three bands for numeracy in Year 9 |
|---------------------|---|
| | (NAPLAN testing) |

| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
|---|--|
| The previous target | 2022-23: 27.9 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2019-20 (27.9%, up from 25.2%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students above the bottom three bands for reading in Year 7 |
|---|--|
| | (NAPLAN testing) |
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 30.6 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2022-23 (30.6%, up from 29.2%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Reading (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students above the bottom three bands for reading in Year 9 |
|---|---|
| | (NAPLAN testing) |
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 26.3 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for | Target has not been modified since 2015-16. |
| modification | |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous |
| | 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new |
| | national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Reading (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students above the bottom three bands for numeracy in Year 7 (NAPLAN testing) |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 64.7 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2020-21 (64.7%, up from 64.6%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students above the bottom three bands for numeracy in Year 9 (NAPLAN |
|---|--|
| | testing) |
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 57.9 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2019-20 (57.9%, up from 54.3%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students above the bottom three bands for reading in Year 7 (NAPLAN |
|---|---|
| | testing) |
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 62.4 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for | The target was last modified in 2022-23 (62.4%, up from 61.1%) based on recent trend |
| modification | performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous |
| | 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new |
| | national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Reading (NAPLAN) |

| measure |
|---------|
|---------|

| Performance measure | Percentage of students above the bottom three bands for reading in Year 9 (NAPLAN |
|---|--|
| | testing) |
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 53.3 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2019-20 (53.3%, up from 50.7%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Reading (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students in the top two bands for numeracy in Year 7 (NAPLAN testing) |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 36.7 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for | The target was last modified in 2020-21 (36.7%, up from 35.4%) based on recent trend |
| modification | performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students in the top two bands for numeracy in Year 9 (NAPLAN testing) |
|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2010-11 (as far back as DTF |
| | trend data goes). |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 27.6 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for | The target was last modified in 2019-20 (27.6%, up from 26.4%) based on recent trend |
| modification | performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous |
| | 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new |

| | national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
|---|--|
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Numeracy (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students in the top two bands for reading in Year 7 (NAPLAN testing) |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2015-16. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 31.4 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | The target was last modified in 2022-23 (31.4%, up from 31.2%) based on recent trend performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Reading (NAPLAN) |

| Performance measure | Percentage of students in the top two bands for reading in Year 9 (NAPLAN testing) |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | National standardised assessment tool: per cent. Introduced in 2010-11 (as far back as DTF |
| | trend data goes). |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 23.0 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for | The target was last modified in 2016-17 (23.0%, up from 21.0%) based on recent trend |
| modification | performance. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | The NAPLAN reporting framework was revised in 2023. This measure, based on the previous |
| | 2008 to 2022 reporting framework, has been replaced with a measure aligned to the new |
| | national proficiency levels using the strong and exceeding proficiency categories. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Reading (NAPLAN) |
| measure | |

| Performance measure | Proportion of Year 10 to 12 government school students with a Career Action Plan |
|---|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | The measure reflects Government initiatives relating to career education and planning. A Career Action Plan is an integral part of career planning which helps students to identify their interests, strengths and aspirations; explore their options and plan how they are going to achieve their goals. At Years 10, 11 and 12, a Career Action Plan can support informed decisions about further education, training and employment pathways. Introduced in 2021-22. |
| The previous target | 2022-23: 86 per cent |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | Target has not been modified since 2021-22. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | This performance measure is proposed for discontinuation as it no longer provides a good representation of service delivery related to vocational and career pathways. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | This measure is proposed to be replaced with the 2024-25 performance measure "Number of government students enrolled in VDSS certificates within priority pathways" which provides a better representation of service delivery related to vocational and career pathways. |

| Performance measure | Number of government student enrolments in VDSS |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | This measure is the number of students enrolled in any VDSS certificate within government |
| | schools. This measure is the number of students enrolled in VDSS Introduced in 2023-24. |
| The previous target | 2023-24: 52 065 |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | n/a |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | This performance measure is proposed for discontinuation as the replacement measure (see below) provides a better assessment of service delivery within the six prioritised VDSS pathway areas - Health, Community Services and Early Childhood Education, Building and Construction, Digital Media and Technologies, Hospitality, Clean Energy and Engineering. This performance measure is proposed for discontinuation to provide more meaningful reporting of student engagement with VDSS programs, focusing on number of students instead of enrolments. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | This measure is proposed to be replaced with the 2024-25 performance measures 'Number of government students enrolled in VDSS certificates within priority pathways' and 'Number of government students enrolled in any VDSS certificate.' |

| Performance measure | Number of government schools with students enrolled in School Based Apprenticeship and Traineeship (SBAT) pathways | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | This measure reflects breadth of SBATs within government schools. Introduced in 2023-24. | | | | |
| The previous target | 2023-24: 280 | | | | |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | n/a | | | | |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | This performance measure has been discontinued and replaced with a more robust measure of participation. | | | | |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | This measure is proposed to be replaced with the 2024-25 performance measure | | | | |
| measure | 'Government schools with active School Based Apprenticeships and Traineeships (SBAT)' | | | | |

| Performance measure | SBAT enrolments in government schools |
|---|--|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | This measure was introduced in 2023-34 to reflect the breadth of SBAT delivery within |
| | government schools. Introduced in 2023-24. |
| The previous target | 2023-24: 2 200 |
| When the target was last modified and reason for modification | n/a |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | This measure is proposed for discontinuation as the replacement measure (below) provides i) a more accurate assessment of ongoing participation in SBATs and ii) is a proportion measure which allows for better comparison over time. |
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued measure | This measure is proposed to be replaced with the 2024-25 performance measure 'Percentage of Government school students in an SBAT that are retained in training contract 12 months post commencement' |

| Performance measure | Number of government schools providing access to at least 8 VDSS certificates |
|--|---|
| Description/purpose of the measure and year introduced | Measure of the breadth of access to any VDSS within government schools. Introduced in |
| | 2023-24. |
| The previous target | 2023-24: 275 |
| When the target was last modified and reason for | 2024-25: 280 |
| modification | The higher 2024-25 target reflects the expected growth in the number of schools providing |
| | access to VDSS certificates. The target was calculated based on the number of government |
| | schools with enrolments in any VDSS certificate. |
| The justification for discontinuing the measure | This measure is proposed for discontinuation as the existing performance measure 'Number |

| | of government schools providing access to at least 6 Vocational Education and Training Delivered to School Students (VDSS) certificates within the priority pathways' provides sufficient information about participation in the six prioritised VDSS pathway areas - Health, Community Services and Early Childhood Education, Building and Construction, Digital Media and Technologies, Hospitality, Clean Energy and Engineering. |
|---|---|
| Performance measures that will replace the discontinued | Nil |
| measure | |

Employees

Question 19

The *COVID Debt Repayment Plan* outlined a plan to reduced Victorian Public Service (VPS) levels by 3,000 to 4,000 roles in 2023-24. For the Department, please detail:

- a) the number of VPS (including executive) roles reduced in 2023-24
- b) the number of roles planned for reduction in 2024-25
- c) Total budgeted savings under the *Plan* for 2023-24
- d) Total actual savings in 2023-24 (\$ million)
- e) Number of roles reduced by VPS/Executive classification (Please list each level and actual FTE)
- f) the functions within the Department that were most impacted or expected to be impacted by the reduction of roles
- g) the impact of role reductions on service delivery

| Number of roles reduced in 2023-24 (Actual FTE) | Number of roles planned for reduction in 2024- 25 (FTE) | Total budgeted savings for 2023-24 (\$ million) | Total actual savings in 2023-24 (\$ million) | Number of roles reduced by VPS/Executive classification in 2023-24 (Actual FTE) | Functions most impacted or expected to be impacted by the reduction of roles | Impact of the role reductions on service delivery |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| 233.25 | 0 | \$34.0 | \$34.0 | 9.7 FTE - VPSG2 43.2 FTE - VPSG3 37.2 FTE - VPSG4 95.35 FTE - VPSG5 46.8 FTE - VPSG6 1 FTE - VPS7 Total – 233.25 FTE | Reforming Regional Services (VPS) Reducing communications, administration and coordination functions Consolidating information technology functions with a new service | The change resulted in the removal of duplicated comms, admin and coordination functions, eliminating shadow functions and improved ways of working, streamlining central |

| | | delivery model | governance, policy |
|--|--|----------------|----------------------|
| | | | strategy and project |
| | | | management |
| | | | functions. |
| | | | Transition to cloud |
| | | | services and agile |
| | | | delivery methods |
| | | | coupled with an |
| | | | increased uptake |
| | | | and demand for |
| | | | digital services |
| | | | required a new |
| | | | service delivery |
| | | | model. |
| | | | These are largely |
| | | | administrative |
| | | | functions and as a |
| | | | result the impact on |
| | | | frontline service |
| | | | delivery has been |
| | | | minimised. |

Question 20

Please provide the department's (actual/expected/forecast) Full Time Equivalent (FTE) staff numbers for the financial years ending 30 June 2023, 30 June 2024 and 30 June 2025:

- a) broken down into employee classification codes
- b) broken down into categories of on-going, fixed term or casual
- c) according to their gender identification
- d) employees identifying as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander or having a disability.

Guidance

In responding to this question please provide details about the Department on the same basis of consolidation as is used in the comprehensive operating statement audited by the Victorian Auditor-General's Office in the Department's Annual Report.

Response

a)

| Classification | As at 30 | -06-2023 | As at 30 | -06-2024 | As at 30-06-2025 | | |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | (Actual FTE Number) | (% of total staff) | (Expected FTE Number) | (% of total staff) | (Forecast FTE Number) | (% of total staff) | |
| Secretary | 1.0 | 0.0% | 1.0 | 0.0% | 1.0 | 0.0% | |
| EO-1 (SES-3) | 9.0 | 0.0% | 9.0 | 0.0% | 9.0 | 0.0% | |
| EO-2 (SES-2) | 47.6 | 0.1% | 49.6 | 0.1% | 49.6 | 0.1% | |
| EO-3 (SES-1) | 40.2 | 0.1% | 48.1 | 0.1% | 51.1 | 0.1% | |
| VPS Grade 7.3 | 10.9 | 0.0% | 10.9 | 0.0% | 10.9 | 0.0% | |
| VPS Grade 7.2 | 10.5 | 0.0% | 9.7 | 0.0% | 9.7 | 0.0% | |
| VPS Grade 7.1 | 17.2 | 0.0% | 17.9 | 0.0% | 17.9 | 0.0% | |
| VPS Grade 6.2 | 372.1 | 0.5% | 383.4 | 0.5% | 383.4 | 0.5% | |
| VPS Grade 6.1 | 395.4 | 0.5% | 382.6 | 0.5% | 401.6 | 0.5% | |
| VPS Grade 5.2 | 774.2 | 1.0% | 801.0 | 1.0% | 801.0 | 1.0% | |
| VPS Grade 5.1 | 893.9 | 1.2% | 879.5 | 1.1% | 934.6 | 1.1% | |
| VPS Grade 4 | 786.1 | 1.0% | 926.0 | 1.1% | 948.0 | 1.1% | |
| VPS Grade 3 | 480.0 | 0.6% | 492.2 | 0.6% | 498.2 | 0.6% | |
| VPS Grade 2 | 105.9 | 0.1% | 81.9 | 0.1% | 81.9 | 0.1% | |

| Total | 77126.8 | 100.0% | 80471.8 | 100.0% | 82797.9 | 100.0% |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| Allied health professionals | 430.4 | 0.6% | 444.5 | 0.6% | 444.5 | 0.5% |
| | , _, 52.0 | 0.0070 | 1000110 | 0 11 1/0 | ,020210 | 0 11 170 |
| Government Teaching Service | 72752.3 | 94.3% | 76007.0 | 94.4% | 78231.0 | 94.4% |
| VPS Grade 1 | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |

*Please provide a breakdown for Youth Justice and Custodial officers by level (for example, YW1, YW2, YW3, YW4, YW5 and YW6).

b)

| Category | As at 30-06-2023 | | As at 30-06-2024 | | As at 30-06-2025 | |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| | (Actual FTE Number) | (% of total staff) | (Expected FTE Number) | (% of total staff) | (Forecast FTE Number) | (% of total staff) |
| Ongoing | 65657.8 | 85.1% | 69811.8 | 86.7% | 71736.3 | 86.6% |
| Fixed-term | 11466.5 | 14.9% | 10732.5 | 13.3% | 11137.1 | 13.4% |
| Casual | 2.5 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% |
| Total | 77126.8 | 100.0% | 80544.3 | 100.0% | 82873.4 | 100.0% |

c)

| Identification | As at 30-06-2023 | | As at 30-06-2024 | | As at 30-06-2025 | |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| | (Actual FTE Number) | (% of total staff) | (Expected FTE Number) | (% of total staff) | (Forecast FTE Number) | (% of total staff) |
| Men | 19098.50 | 24.8% | 19646.9 | 24.4% | 20078.2 | 24.2% |
| Women | 57018.90 | 73.9% | 59427.4 | 73.8% | 60731.3 | 73.3% |
| Self-described | 1009.40 | 1.3% | 1470.1 | 1.8% | 2063.8 | 2.5% |
| Total | 77126.80 | 100.0% | 80544.3 | 100.0% | 82873.4 | 100.0% |

| Identification | As at | As at 30-06-2023 | | As at 30-06-2024 | | As at 30-06-2025 | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | (Actual FTE Number) | (% of total staff) | (Expected FTE Number) | (% of total staff) | (Forecast FTE Number) | (% of total staff) | |
| People who identify as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander | 236.00 | 0.3% | 293.3 | 0.4% | 322.2 | 0.4% | |
| People who identify as having a disability | 410.70 | 0.5% | 2197.8 | 2.7% | 3122.0 | 3.8% | |
| Total #N/A | | | | | | | |

Workforce capability and capacity

Question 21A

What are the main gaps in the department's capability and capacity identified in the 2023-24 financial year, and expected in the 2024-25 and 2025-26 financial years?

| Financial year | Main gaps in capability and capacity |
|----------------|--|
| 2023-24 | The Victorian labour market remains tight with the participation rate close to its record high. Recruitment in a tight and competitive market is a challenge, and the difficulty multiplies when sourcing for hard-to-fill positions. |
| | Positions DE identifies as hard-to-fill are in the following areas: Information Management, Information Technology, Legal, Regulatory Compliance, Allied Health, Early Childhood, Finance, Human Resources, Curriculum and Assessment. |
| 2024-25 | • Tight labour market conditions are expected to continue with unabated skill and labour shortages for hard-to-fill positions. |
| 2025-26 | It is not anticipated that labour market conditions will have significantly changed. |

Contractors

Guidance

In responding to this question please provide details about the department on the same basis of consolidation as is used in the comprehensive operating statement audited by the Victorian Auditor-General's Office in the department's Annual Report.

Question 21B

- a) For the 2022-23 financial year please outline: what the department spent on contractors, the relevant occupation categories for those contractors, and the total number of contractor arrangements
- b) For the 2023-24 financial year please outline: the Department's expected spend on contractors, the relevant occupation categories for those contractors, and the total number of contractor arrangements
- c) For the 2024-25 financial year please outline: the Department's anticipated spend for contractors, and what the anticipated occupation categories are for contractor arrangements.

| | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 (Anticipated) |
|------------|--|---|--|
| Spend | \$119,278,020 | \$118,008,762 as at 31 March 2024 | Unavailable. Budget is not yet confirmed, and future engagements will be impacted by revisions to professional services engagement guidelines underway at DPC and DTF. |
| Occupation | Accounting, Analyst, Communications, | Accounting, Analyst, Communications, | Accounting, Analyst, Communications, |
| categories | Marketing and Media, Community | Marketing and Media, Community Services, | Marketing and Media, Community Services, |
| | Services, Construction, Consulting and | Construction, Consulting and Strategy, Early | Construction, Consulting and Strategy, Early |
| | Strategy, Early Childhood, Economics, | Childhood, Economics, Engineering, | Childhood, Economics, Engineering, |
| | Engineering, Environment, Facilities | Environment, Facilities Management, Finance, | Environment, Facilities Management, |
| | Management, Finance, Health and | Health and Allied Health, Human Resources, | Finance, Health and Allied Health, Human |
| | Allied Health, Human Resources, | Information Management, IT and | Resources, Information Management, IT and |
| | Information Management, IT and | Telecommunications, Legal, Medical, Nursing, | Telecommunications, Legal, Medical, |
| | Telecommunications, Legal, Medical, | Occupational Health and Safety, Planning, Policy, | Nursing, Occupational Health and Safety, |

| | Nursing, Occupational Health and Safety, Planning, Policy, Procurement, Projects, Regulatory Governance and Compliance, Research, Strategic Management, Technology, Trades and Services | Procurement, Projects, Regulatory Governance and Compliance, Research, Strategic Management, Technology, Trades and Services | Planning, Policy, Procurement, Projects, Regulatory Governance and Compliance, Research, Strategic Management, Technology, Trades and Services |
|---------------|--|--|---|
| Total number | 597 suppliers across contractor and | 548 as at 31 March 2024 | Not applicable |
| of contractor | consultancy arrangements | | |
| arrangements | | | |

Consultants

Guidance

In responding to this question please provide details about the department on the same basis of consolidation as is used in the comprehensive operating statement audited by the Victorian Auditor-General's Office in the Department's Annual Report.

Question 21C

a) For the 2022-23 financial year, please outline the department's total spend on consultants and completed consultancy projects

Response

\$3,350,545

- b) For the 2022-23 financial year please outline: the **top five** Department consultancy projects that were completed by spend, the actual outcomes achieved by the listed **top five**, the relevant occupation categories for those consultants, and the total number of consultant arrangements
- c) For the 2023-24 financial year please outline: the Department's expected spend on consultants, the relevant occupation categories for those consultants, and the total number of consultant arrangements
- d) For the 2024-25 financial year please outline: the Department's anticipated spend for consultants, and what the anticipated occupation categories are for consultant arrangements.

| 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 (Anticipated) |
|--|---------------------------------|---|
| \$1,711,676 across the top 5 consultancy projects | \$1,180,908 as at 31 March 2024 | Unavailable. Budget is not yet confirmed, and future engagements will be impacted by revisions to professional services engagement |
| Euroding provision modelling for vocational advication | Not applicable | guidelines underway at DPC and DTF. Not applicable |
| | | \$1,711,676 across the top 5 consultancy projects \$1,180,908 as at 31 March 2024 |

| | and training (VET) in Victoria \$492,391 expended in 2022-23 Outcome: Development of a funding model in response | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|----------------|
| | to a review of vocational and applied learning pathways in senior secondary schooling. | | |
| | Evaluation of VET-VCAL workforce reform \$443,935 expended in 20 22-23 Outcome: Evaluation of a range of initiatives targeted at increasing the supply and quality of teachers specialising in VET and Victorian Certificate of Applied Learning (VCAL). | | |
| | Corporate services initiatives \$417,504 expended in 2022-23 Outcome: Examination of opportunities within the department for critical business improvements and greater delivery efficiencies, in the context of modern business practices, government policy and priorities. | | |
| | Evaluation of the Student Excellence Program \$180,764 expended in 2022-23 Outcome: Identification of insights into the impacts and effectiveness of the Student Excellence Program, and key issues within the initiatives, to inform systemic and process improvements. | | |
| | Workforce management project \$177,082 expended in 2022-23 Outcome: Development of a strategy, options and tools to manage the department's VPS workforce profile, at an enterprise, group and divisional level | | |
| Occupation categories | Analyst, Planning, Evaluation Services, Financial and Commercial Services | Analyst, Consulting and Strategy, Economics, Planning, Research, | Not applicable |

| | | Evaluation Services, Financial and Commercial Services, Business Case Development | |
|---------------|----|---|----------------|
| Total number | 24 | 17 as at 31 March 2024 | Not applicable |
| of consultant | | | |
| arrangements | | | |

Labour Hire arrangements

Guidance

In responding to this question please provide details about the department on the same basis of consolidation as is used in the comprehensive operating statement audited by the Victorian Auditor-General's Office in the Department's Annual Report.

Question 21D

- a) For the 2022-23 financial year please outline: what the department spent on labour hire arrangements the relevant occupation categories for those labour hire arrangements, and the total number of labour hire arrangements
- b) For the 2023-24 financial year please outline: the department's expected spend on labour hire arrangements (the relevant occupation categories for those labour hire arrangements, and the total number of labour hire arrangements)
- c) For the 2024-25 financial year please outline: the department's anticipated spend for labour hire arrangements, and what the anticipated occupation categories are for those labour hire arrangements.

| | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 (Anticipated) |
|----------------|--|---|---|
| Spend | \$63,135,128 | \$58,816,774 as at 31 March 2024 | Not applicable |
| Occupation | Administration, Information Technology, Specialist | Administration, Information Technology, | Administration, Information Technology, |
| categories | | Specialist | Specialist |
| Total number | 436 active engagements at 30 June 2023 | 420 active engagements at 31 March | Not applicable |
| of labour hire | | 2024 | |
| arrangements | | | |

Enterprise Bargaining Agreements

Question 22

- a) Please list all Enterprise Bargaining Agreements (EBAs) that are expected to be completed during the 2024-25 year that affect the department, along with an estimate of the proportion of your department's workforce (Full Time Equivalent) covered by the EBA.
- b) Please describe the effect the EBAs listed above have had on estimates of 2024-25 employee benefits.

Response

a)

The Victorian Early Childhood Teachers and Educators Agreement 2020 and the Early Education Employees Agreement 2020 have a nominal expiry date of 30 September 2024, and bargaining for the agreements may be completed in 2024-25. The agreements cover teachers and educators in community-based and local government kindergarten services. As these are not public sector workforces, there are no departmental employees covered by the agreements. The agreements affect the department as the funder of kindergarten services covered by these agreements, and in particular as the sole funder of most sessional services.

b)

As these are not public sector workforces, there are no departmental employees covered by the agreements.

Advertising – expenditure

Question 23

Please provide a list of forecasted/budgeted advertising expenditure for the Department and its portfolio agencies in 2024-25 and across the forward estimates, including the following:

a) total expenditure

- b) breakdown of expenditure by medium (for example, radio/TV/print/social media etc.)
- c) campaign title and date
- d) objectives and outcomes
- e) global advertising costs for recruitment (i.e. it is not necessary to breakdown costs for recruitment of every vacancy).

Response

The total advertising expenditure for 2024–25 is not confirmed – all campaign spend is subject to budget and further approvals. All advertising expenditure in 2024–25 will be fully accounted for in the normal way through the DE Annual Report and the WOVG Advertising Report. This is published by the Department of Premier and Cabinet (DPC) and publicly available at https://www.vic.gov.au/advertising-plans-and-spend DE follows the WOVG Annual Advertising Plan protocols each year. All questions on the Victorian Government Advertising Plan 2024–25 need to be addressed to DPC.

Relationship between the Commonwealth and Victoria

Question 24

What impact, if any, have changes to federal/state capital funding agreements and Commonwealth Government policy initiatives have had on the Department's 2024-25 Budget?

Response

Victoria's 2023 Schooling Resource Standard target

The Commonwealth Government distributes funding to states and territories for government and non-government schools based on the Schooling Resource Standard (SRS). The SRS is an estimate of how much total public funding each school needs to meet the educational needs of its students and includes a base funding amount and loadings for recognised sources of disadvantage.

Victoria's investment in government school education each year aligns with targets for SRS contribution set out in the current National School Reform Agreement (NSR) and Victoria's Bilateral Agreement.

Student Wellbeing Boost

Through the Student Wellbeing Boost, the Australian Government provided \$45.6 million to support mental health and wellbeing for students in Victorian schools in 2023–24. In October 2023, the Australian Government provided Victoria with additional one-off funding of \$2.07 million to provide mental health and wellbeing support for students in Jewish and Islamic schools, and students of Jewish and Islamic faith in government, independent and Catholic schools. **Schools Upgrade Fund**

The Australian government provided \$48.2 million in 2023-24 through the Schools Upgrade Fund Round 2 for capital infrastructure projects in Victorian government schools such as new facilities, major refurbishments and upgrades.

Consent and Respectful Relationships Measure

The Commonwealth government will provide total national funding of \$77.6 million over 5 years (2023-24 to 2027-28) to invest in programs that provide age-appropriate, evidence-based consent and respectful relationships educations government, Catholic and independent primary and secondary schools that in Australia. The program will commence in the 2024 school year and Victoria is currently in discussion to finalise an agreement for this initiative in Victoria.

Teacher Workload Reduction Fund

Under the National Teacher Workforce Action Plan, the Commonwealth Government provided one-off funding of \$250,000 to Victoria to design pilot approaches to reduce teachers' administrative burden through additional education support staff and cloud-based integrated technology solutions. Victoria matched this with a contribution from existing departmental resources.

Preschool Reform Agreement

In December 2021, the Victorian and Australian governments signed the Preschool Reform Agreement, which covers the period from 2022 to 2025. The Commonwealth have committed funding of up to \$514 million over 4 years (2022-23 – 2025-56) for Victorian children's continued access to a minimum of 15 hours per week (or 600 hours per year) of funded early childhood education, delivered by a qualified early childhood teacher, in the year before school. In the 2024–25 financial year, Victoria is allocated \$128.5 million in Commonwealth funding for early childhood education.

Service delivery

Question 25

a) Please provide the total estimated cost to the department (if any) of the Machinery of Government changes made since July 2023?

Response

Not applicable

b) Please complete the table below detailing the impacts of any machinery of government changes on the department since July 2023.

| Impact to the department | N/A | |
|---|-----|--|
| Impact to departmental outputs | N/A | |
| Impact to departmental agencies | N/A | |
| Impact to portfolios | N/A | |
| Impact to statutory authorities | N/A | |
| Estimated cost and date changes are anticipated to be fully implemented N/A | | |
| New portfolio responsibilities and/or how responsibilities are shared, if | N/A | |
| relevant | | |
| * Where the machinery of government change has no impact on the department, please type N/A where appropriate in the table above. | | |

Question 26

Budget Paper No. 3: Service Delivery presents departmental performance statements that state the Department's outputs by departmental objectives.

Please provide by ministerial portfolio, the relevant output(s), objective(s), objective indicator(s) and performance measure(s) as provided in the 2024-25 Budget. Where responsibility for outputs, initiatives or performance measures is shared, please clearly outline what is shared and how responsibility is divided between Ministers or portfolios.

Please also indicate in the response where changes have occurred in the output structure since the 2023-24 Budget.

| | Education | Changes (if any) since 2023-24 Budget |
|------------------------|--|--|
| Minister* | The Hon. Ben Carroll MP | |
| Portfolio | Education | |
| Output(s) | School Education – Primary School Education – Secondary | |
| | School Education – Secondary Wellbeing Supports for Students Supports for School and Staff | |
| | Promoting Equal Access to Education Additional Supports for Students with Disability | Disabilities updated to Disability |
| Objective(s) | Raise standards of learning, development, engagement and wellbeing for all Victorian students Provide equitable and inclusive schooling to all Victorian students | |
| Objective indicator(s) | Students are improving their literacy and numeracy skills | |
| | Students are connected to schooling | |
| | Students progress to employment, training or further education post-school | |
| | Aboriginal students are improving their literacy and numeracy skills | |
| | Regional and rural students are improving their literacy and numeracy skills | |
| | Students receiving an adjustment due to disability are connected to their schooling | |
| | Aboriginal students are connected to their schooling | |

| | Education | Changes (if any) since 2023-24 Budget |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| | Regional and rural students are connected to their schooling | |
| Performance measure(s) | Investment in non-government schools (primary) | |
| | Attendance rate, all schools, Years 1 to 6 | |
| | Percentage of Government primary school teachers in ongoing employment | |
| | Percentage of positive responses to school satisfaction by parents of government primary school students | |
| | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Reading (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Numeracy (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Reading (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| | Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Numeracy (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| | Total output cost - School Education Primary | |
| | Investment in non-government schools (secondary) | |

| Education | Changes (if any) since 2023-24 Budget |
|--|---|
| Number of government schools providing access to at least 6 VDSS certificates within the core offering | |
| Government schools with active School Based Apprenticeship and Traineeships (SBAT) | Replacement measure in 2024–25 to better reflect participation in SBATs |
| Number of students enrolled in a Victorian Senior Secondary Certificate | |
| Number of government students enrolled in VDSS certificates within priority pathways | New measure in 2024–25 to better reflect government student participation in the VDSS priority pathways. |
| Apparent retention rate, full-time students, Year 7/8 to 12 | |
| Attendance rate, all schools, Years 7 to 10 | |
| Percentage of Government secondary school teachers in ongoing employment | |
| Percentage of Year 9 students in government schools that complete an online assessment using a career diagnostic tool | |
| Percentage of positive responses to school satisfaction by parents of government secondary school students | |
| Victorian Senior Secondary Certificate completion rate | |
| Percentage of Government school students in an SBAT that are retained in training contract 12 months post commencement | New measure in 2024-25 to reflect retention in SBATs. |
| Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Reading (NAPLAN testing) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |

| Education | Changes (if any) since 2023-24 Budget |
|--|---|
| Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Numeracy (NAPLAN testing) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Reading (NAPLAN testing) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| Percentage of students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Numeracy (NAPLAN testing) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| Total output cost - School Education Secondary | |
| Investment in student welfare and support | |
| Investment in travelling allowances and transport support (not including special needs students) | |
| Number of Doctors in Secondary School consultations per annum | |
| Number of school campuses supported by the Mental Health in Primary Schools program | |
| Number of schools supported by the Schools Mental Health Fund | |
| Percentage of prep aged students whose parent or caregiver completes a health assessment | |
| Percentage of students in out-of-home care receiving targeted supports in school | Measure wording revised to better reflect the full complement of targeted supports to students in out-of-home care |

| Education | Changes (if any) since 2023-24 Budget |
|---|---|
| School satisfaction with student support services | |
| Total output cost - Wellbeing Supports for Students | |
| Number of Rolling Facilities Fund (RFE) audits completed per year | |
| Number of assistant principals participating in leadership development programs at the Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership (day-length or longer) | |
| Number of cleaning audits per year (for metro cleaning contracts) | |
| Number of participants in the Teaching Excellence Program | |
| Number of principals participating in leadership development programs the Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership (day-length or longer) | |
| Number of school reviews undertaken | |
| Number of teaching service staff participating in short-form Academy professional learning | |
| Number of teaching service staff who are not principals or assistant principals participating in leadership development programs at the Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership (day-length or longer) | |
| Number of teacher targeted financial incentives allocated | New measure to reflect take-up of targeted financial incentives |
| Percentage of funded places in Innovative Initial Teacher Education (IITE) allocated to students who are enrolled in IITE courses and placed in a Victorian government school. | |
| Proportion of employment-based pathways qualified teachers retained in Victorian government school workforce (within two years) after completing the pathway | |
| Growth in commencements in secondary and dual primary/secondary ITE courses | New measure to reflect investment in building the government schools' teaching workforce. |

| Education | Changes (if any) since 2023-24 Budget |
|---|--|
| Proportion of Government schools which have internet speeds of at least 1Mbps per student | |
| Proportion of participants rating (all programs) the impact of the Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership's professional learning on their own development and practice at or above 'significant' | |
| Proportion of participants (all programs) who are satisfied with the Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership's professional learning and development training | |
| Regulated schools that rate the VRQA effective or highly effective in performing its regulatory function | |
| Total output cost - Supports for School and Staff | |
| Number of students for which government secondary schools are funded to 'catch up' | |
| Percentage of government primary school students receiving equity funding | |
| Percentage of government secondary school students receiving equity funding | |
| Proportion of students supported through Camps, Sports and Excursions Fund | |
| Aboriginal student attendance rate, all schools, years 1 to 6 | |
| Aboriginal student attendance rate, all schools, years 7 to 10 | |
| Inner regional attendance rate, all schools, Years 1 to 6 | |
| Inner regional attendance rate, all schools, Years 7 to 10 | |
| Outer regional attendance rate, all schools, Years 1 to 6 | |

| Education | Changes (if any) since 2023-24 Budget |
|--|---|
| Outer regional attendance rate, all schools, Years 7 to 10 | |
| Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Reading (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Reading (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Reading (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Reading (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 3 Numeracy (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 5 Numeracy (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 7 Numeracy (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |

| | Education | Changes (if any) since 2023-24 Budget |
|--|--|---|
| | Percentage of Aboriginal students strong and exceeding in Year 9 Numeracy (NAPLAN) | Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. Replacement measure in 2024-25 to reflect the revised national reporting framework for NAPLAN in 2023. |
| | Total output cost - Promoting Equal Access to Education | |
| | Government school students who receive adjustments to support their access and participation in learning because of disability as defined in the Disability Discrimination Act 1992 (Cth), as a percentage of the total government school student population | |
| | Number of special school students supported through high-intensity OSHC services | |
| | Number of teachers and regional staff supported to undertake the Graduate Certificate in Education (Learning Difficulties) | |
| | Number of teachers and regional staff supported to undertake the Master of Inclusive Education Program | |
| | Number of teachers who complete the Inclusive Classrooms professional learning program | |
| | Percentage of positive responses to school satisfaction by parents of government special school students | |
| | Percentage of total government schools resourced through the Disability Inclusion funding and support model | |
| | Disability Inclusion Profile reports completed and issued within specified timeframes | |
| | Total output cost - Additional Supports for Students with Disabilities | |
| * Where Ministers shar appropriate in the table | re responsibility for outputs, initiatives or performance measures please detail where | |

| | Children | Changes (if any) since 2023-24 Budget |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| Minister | Hon. Lizzie Blandthorn MP | |
| Portfolio | Children | Change to Ministerial portfolio title |
| Output(s) | Kindergarten Delivery Early Childhood Sector Supports and Regulation | |
| Objective(s) | Raise development outcomes of 3 and 4-year old children prior to attending school | |
| Objective indicator(s) | Aboriginal children funded to participate in kindergarten in the year before school | |
| | Average number of hours per week of kindergarten delivery per child in the year two years before school | |
| | Children funded to participate in Early Start Kindergarten or Access to Early Learning in the year two years before school | |
| | Children funded to participate in kindergarten in the year before school | |
| | Children funded to participate in kindergarten in the year two years before school | |
| | Kindergarten participation rate for children in out of home care in the year before school | |
| | Kindergarten participation rate for children in out of home care in the year two years before school | |
| | Kindergarten participation rate in the year before school | |
| Performance measure(s) | Kindergarten participation rate in the year two years before school | |
| | Kindergarten participation rate for Aboriginal children in the year before school | |
| | Kindergarten participation rate for Aboriginal in the year two years before school | |
| | Number of kindergarten services supported through the Kindergarten Inclusion Support program for children with a disability or complex medical needs | |
| | Parent satisfaction with kindergarten services | |
| | Total output cost - Kindergarten Delivery | |

| | Children | Changes (if any) since 2023-24 Budget |
|--|--|--|
| | Number of inspections of early childhood services | |
| | Percentage of allied health sessions offered through School Readiness Funding accessed by funded kindergarten services | |
| | Percentage of approved eligible services assessed and rated | |
| | Total number of early childhood teachers delivering a funded kindergarten program | |
| | Average days taken to report and issue a notice of a quality rating | |
| | Total output cost - Early Childhood Sector Supports and Regulation | |
| * Where Ministers share appropriate in the table | e responsibility for outputs, initiatives or performance measures please detail where above. | |

Question 27

Please provide by ministerial portfolio a list of the agencies/entities/bodies and their category (for example statutory/administrative office/authority) to which the information contained in this questionnaire relates.

Response

| Ministerial Portfolio | Name of agency/entity/body | Category of agency/entity/body |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Education; Children | Department of Education (including government schools which form part of DE) | Government Department |
| Education | Victorian Curriculum and Assessment Authority | Statutory Authority |
| Education | Victorian Registration and Qualification Authority | Statutory Authority |
| Education | Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership | Statutory Authority |
| Education | Victorian Institute of Teaching | Statutory Authority |

Climate Change

Question 28

a) Please specify the initiatives in the Department's/Court Services Victoria's (CSVs) 2024-25 Budget that will contribute to Victoria's Climate Change Strategy. Please also outline the budget allocation, the ways in which the initiatives will contribute to Victoria's Climate Change Strategy and the year the initiative will likely realise benefits.

Response

| Initiatives in 2024-25 Budget that contribute to Climate Change Strategy | Budget allocation in 2024-25 Budget | How will the initiative contribute to Victoria's Climate Change Strategy | Year likely to realise benefits |
|--|---|--|--|
| Students with Disabilities Transport Program | \$32.8m | The initiative proposes to continue delivery of the Students with Disabilities Transport Program. The program is currently delivered through 450+ bus service contracts supported by a small number of taxi services. From 2025, all buses replaced under the programs service contracts will be zero emission buses. This will contribute to the Climate Change Strategy's priority of <i>a</i> <i>Clean Energy Economy</i> and the Victorian Government's target of <i>net</i> <i>zero emissions</i> by 2045. | From 2025 Note: zero emission vehicles commence in operation in 2025. DE will commence the replacement of older buses with zero emission vehicles from the start of the 2025, with a full fleet transfer taking up to 20 years |
| Enrolment Growth and New Schools | \$1,026.0m | This initiative proposes to construct critical school infrastructure to cater for a growing population. All new government schools will be constructed with solar panels. | From 2026 |
| | | This will contribute to the Climate Change Strategy's priority of <i>a Clean Energy Economy</i> and the Victorian Government's target of net zero emissions by 2045. | |
| Student Health and Wellbeing | \$47.2m | This initiative proposes to continue health and wellbeing initiatives | From 2024 |

| | | that support students across Victorian Government schools to support vulnerable students in their health, wellbeing and learning. In addition to the initiative's core objectives, regional allied health staff will continue to support schools' ongoing recovery and resilience to natural disasters. This will contribute to the Climate Change Strategy's objective of <i>prosperous, liveable and healthy communities</i>. It also contributes to delivery of the Education and Training and Health & Wellbeing AAPs. | |
|---|---------|--|-----------|
| Strengthening ongoing support for Tech Schools | \$14.0m | The investment in the 2024–25 Budget provides ongoing operating funding from 2027–28 for 4 new Tech Schools. The investment provides continued STEM learning experiences, via the Gippsland Mobile Tech School, to students in rural and regional areas, including 15 schools in East Gippsland and Wellington Shires. The investment also enables existing Tech Schools to continue providing virtual and remote learning programs and to continue to offer regional STEM camps. STEM programs equip students with skills to successfully pursue careers in STEM, with a focus on renewable and clean energy industries. | From 2026 |
| | | Tech Schools partner with organisations in renewable and clean energy industries to design and deliver STEM learning programs which are aligned to the Victorian Curriculum, which increase student awareness of how industries, businesses and communities are changing to reduce the impacts of climate change. The initiative contributes to the Victorian Government's Climate Change Strategy priority of a <i>Clean Energy Economy</i> and contributes to delivery of the Education and Training Climate Change Adaptation Action Plan 2022–2026. | |

- i. What is the most significant challenge for the Department in complying with section 17?
- ii. What guidance does the Department have in place to assist decision makers to comply with the Climate Change Act 2017?
- iii. What work is planned and budget allocated in 2024-25 to facilitate compliance of the Department with section 17?

Response

| i. | Most significant challenge with compliance | Insufficient awareness of legislative obligations and how to meet them |
|------|--|--|
| ii. | Guidance in place to assist decision makers | A central sustainability team is available to provide advice and a Sustainability Leadership Network provides support to corporate staff to embed sustainability in their work |
| | | A <i>Sustainability Guide</i> and <i>Sustainability and Climate Change</i> E-learning module are available for all corporate staff. |
| iii. | Work planned/budget allocation to facilitate compliance in 2024-25 | A central environmental sustainability team will continue to lead department-wide reforms and initiatives to reduce emissions and adapt to a changing climate and embed sustainability across the department. |
| | | An ongoing budget of \$250,000 per year is allocated to environmental initiatives. |
| | | Development of a Sustainability Leadership program, including guidance, online resources, events, staff sustainability network, learning modules and process improvements. |
| | | A Climate Change Committee has been established to provide assurance to Executive Board that the department is meeting its environmental obligation including overseeing the department's commitment to 'Resilience, mitigation and adaptation to climate change'. |
| | | A climate risk assessment will be completed for school and early childhood education infrastructure. |

b) Under *FRD 24 Reporting of environmental data by government entities*, Victorian Government organisations must report their greenhouse gas emissions and other environmental impacts. Does the Department/CSV have internal targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions? If yes, please provide details, quantifying where possible and outlining actions that will be taken in the 2024-25 year onwards to achieve these targets.

Response

| Internal targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions | Actions to be taken in 2024-25 and onward to achieve these targets |
|--|--|
| No current internal targets | The department does not currently have internal targets to reduce greenhouse gas |
| | emissions. |

Gender Responsive Budgeting

Question 29

- a) Please list the programs/initiatives (output and asset) from the 2024-25 Budget for which the Department has undertaken a gender impact assessment and describe the main outcomes or results of the gender impact assessment process for each program/initiative. Please also advise what percentage of the Department's 2024-25 output and asset initiatives have been subject to a gender impact assessment.
- b) Please list any other programs/initiatives (output and asset) in the 2024-25 Budget where Gender Responsive Budgeting (GRB) processes or principles were applied/considered by the Department. Please detail: the initiative, how GRB was applied/considered and the outcome of this consideration.
- c) Please list what evaluations of the Department's programs/initiatives have been undertaken from a gender perspective and what the key findings of the evaluations were.
- d) What further work is being undertaken by the Department in 2024-25 to embed GRB?

Response

a)

| Initiative | Outcome/result of gender impact assessment |
|-----------------------|---|
| 2024-25 Responding to | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| Enrolment Growth | The 2024–25 'Responding to Enrolment Growth' initiative provides funding for: new schools for opening in 2026, school expansions in growth areas including additional stages of new schools, expanding capacity at existing growth area schools, land acquisition and the relocatable buildings program for 2025. During the development of this initiative, the Department of Education undertook a General Impact Assessment to consider how the proposed initiative would be inclusive of all students. Consultation for the development of the initiative took place in a range of ways, tailored to each individual project. Consultation methods involve the Asset Management Plan process, feedback and approval from school councils and staff, social media campaigns and public events. The results of the consultation process are factored in the design of the infrastructure following funding, through the Regional Advisory groups for the new schools. This means that design is tailored to the specific demographics and needs of the population that each new school or expansion project is going to serve. The department is compliant with the <i>Gender Equality Act 2020</i> and continues to consider gender equality in policies |

| | relating to school infrastructure. The Victorian School Building Authority's (VSBA) Building Quality Standards Handbook |
|----------------------|--|
| | (BQSH) supports gender equality and continues to evolve. In recent years, updates to the BQSH policy have been made to |
| | strengthen the VSBA's approach to gender equality, and the current policy requires that: |
| | toilet facilities must be safe, equitable and dignified, |
| | toilet use allocation must acknowledge, student age, cultural considerations, and gender balance. |
| | Additionally, the department continues to review and strengthen its policies relating to gender inclusion, and the |
| | department's LGBTIQ Student Policy outlines that: |
| | the student is at the centre of creating their own support plan and consulted in all decision making, |
| | • the use of toilets, showers and change rooms that meet the needs of the student should be based on the student's |
| | gender identity and whichever facilities they will feel most comfortable using, |
| | students without a disability should not be required to use disabled toilets or facilities. |
| Active Schools | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| | The Active Schools initiative supports schools through an Active Schools Toolkit and Active Schools Framework, targeted |
| | funding and direct support from the Active Schools Expert Support Service to take a gender-inclusive and whole-school |
| | approach to increasing physical activity. As part of this, schools are required to consider the specific barriers particular |
| | cohorts of students (e.g. girls and non-binary young people) face to being active and make changes to their school |
| | environments and programs to provide more opportunities to engage in physical activity throughout the school day. |
| | Swimming in Schools, which is targeted at primary students, is an all-inclusive model. Where specialised bathers are |
| | required to align with a student's faith, for those who need assistance, State Schools Relief can supply alternative bathers for |
| | these students. Some open water (coastal located) programs may offer participants the use of a wetsuit, which can assist to |
| | overcome body image issues and help break down barriers for participation. |
| Addressing the | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| condition of schools | The 2024–25 'Addressing the condition of existing schools' initiative provides funding to deliver upgrades through the |
| | Capital Works Fund and Labor's Financial Statement election commitment upgrade schools. |
| | During the development of this initiative, the department undertook a Gender Impact Assessment to consider how the |
| | proposed initiatives would be inclusive of all students. Consultation for the development of the initiative took place in a |
| | range of ways, tailored to each individual project. Consultation methods involve the Asset Management Plan process, |
| | feedback and approval from school councils and staff, social media campaigns and public events. |
| | The assessment found that the Asset Management Planning process helps schools undergoing upgrades ensure that capital |
| | works projects align with the school's vision for the future and is tailored to the unique needs of the school and its |
| | community. |
| | The department is compliant with the Gender Equality Act 2020 and continues to consider gender equality in policies |
| | relating to school infrastructure. The Victorian School Building Authority's (VSBA) Building Quality Standards Handbook |
| | (BQSH) supports gender equality and continues to evolve. In recent years, updates to the BQSH policy have been made to |
| | To don't supports bender equality and continues to evolve. In recent years, apartes to the basis policy have been made to |

| | strengthen the VSBA's approach to gender equality, and the current policy requires that: toilet facilities must be safe, equitable and dignified, |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| | toilet use allocation must acknowledge, student age, cultural considerations, and gender balance. |
| | Additionally, the department continues to review and strengthen its policies relating to gender inclusion, and the |
| | department's LGBTIQ Student Policy outlines that: |
| | • the student is at the centre of creating their own support plan and consulted in all decision making, |
| | the use of toilets, showers and change rooms that meet the needs of the student should be based on the student's gender identity and whichever facilities they will feel most comfortable using, |
| | students without a disability should not be required to use disabled toilets or facilities. |
| Building Blocks | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| Improvement and Inclusion Grants | The 'Building Blocks Improvement and Inclusion Grants' initiative aims to continue providing support to the existing early years infrastructure through upgrades and maintenance initiatives which will make early childhood services more accessible and user friendly for children, families and staff. A Gender Impact Assessment was undertaken for this initiative. |
| | The assessment found that the impacts of expanded and accessible kindergarten and childcare programs are expected to have significant benefits for workforce participation for parents, particularly women. Looking after young children is one of the main constraints that a parent or carer faces to access the labour market. This disproportionately affects women, widening the gender pay gap via disruptions in a mother's working life and life-long labour market outcomes. |
| | The findings of the Gender Impact Assessment have been incorporated into the design and guidelines of the Building Blocks Improvement and Inclusion Grants streams. These programs are designed to ensure inclusivity of people of all needs, abilities and genders and all projects delivered must comply with all the necessary standards outlined in the VSBA's BQSH. |
| BSBL and 3YOK | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| | Impact on children BSBL is a universally accessible reform that will enhance the social, emotional, and cognitive |
| | development of Victorian children of all genders and have a positive impact on their long-term outcomes. |
| | Impact on parents/carers A primary objective of the reforms is to increase workforce participation by lowering out-of- |
| | pocket ECEC costs and expanding the hours of kindergarten/Pre-Prep provision. |
| | The responsibility for daily care for young children is one of the main constraints a parent or carer faces in accessing the labour market (or engaging in training, study or volunteering). The cost of childcare means that, for many parents/carers, |
| | there is little to no financial benefit from increasing their paid work. This childcare constraint disproportionally affects |
| | women, who make up 94 per cent of the primary carers of children, and incentivises women into choosing more flexible, but |
| | lower-paid jobs. Amongst parents of children under six years of age, three in five employed mothers work part-time, |
| | compared to less than one in 10 employed fathers. Being the primary carer disrupts a mother's working life, contributing to the gender pay gap. The BSBL reforms aim to have a significant positive impact on women wishing to rejoin the workforce |

| | (or train, study or volunteer) after having children, particularly for families who could not otherwise afford the out-of-pocket costs of childcare. Impact on the ECEC workforce DSRL will contribute to the future growth of the ECEC workforce including educators and teachers who deliver Three Year |
|---|---|
| | BSBL will contribute to the future growth of the ECEC workforce, including educators and teachers who deliver Three-Year- Old Kindergarten and Four-Year-Old Kindergarten/Pre-Prep. The ECEC workforce is currently majority female and additional workers are highly likely to be mostly women. This will have a positive impact on women due to increased job opportunities. Through the BSBL Workforce Strategy, a key focus for the Department is to increase the profile and status of the profession and attract a broader diversity of educators and teachers. |
| Community Language Schools | Gender Impact (Positive) All Victorian students who access Community Language Schools (CLS) programs will benefit from learning/maintaining their heritage/mother tongue or additional language – regardless of gender. All students can enrol in Victorian government schools or CLS, regardless of gender, sexual orientation, religion, and cultural backgrounds. Following the gender impact assessment, a review the CLS Charter Template to include a policy on respectful relationships for accredited CLS and analyse CLS student participation rates by gender will take place. These actions are deemed overall positive as they consider gender norms, roles and relations for all students participating in CLS programs, the critical role that teachers play and the implications of potential teacher biases in the delivery of out-of-school hours language programs and further build a |
| School saving bonus | knowledge base of gender perspectives. Gender Impact (Positive) This initiative is designed to be gender non-specific. However, the initiative is likely to have far-reaching positive impacts on people of different genders, people with disability and culturally diverse backgrounds. |
| | Findings suggest that many single parents are overwhelmingly women and are at risk of struggling to meet school costs. The School saving bonus initiative will provide material relief for single parent families facing difficulty balancing caring responsibilities and work and alleviate school costs. |
| Early Childhood Intervention Services – Continuity of Support | Gender Impact (Neutral) While there is a gender difference in the participation of male children (68 per cent of current clients) and female children (32 per cent of current clients) in the ECIS CoS program, this is consistent with differences in the rates of disability and developmental delay by gender. ECIS CoS may contribute to increased female workforce participation. Childcare responsibilities largely fall to women. The provision of ECIS CoS may support some children to participate in mainstream services and supports either immediately through support for inclusion, or in future years because of improved outcomes. This could increase the likelihood that their primary carers, more typically their mothers, could participate in the workforce. |
| Student Excellence Program | Gender Impact (Positive) The Student Excellence Program (SEP) ensures that high-ability students in government schools are identified and supported, regardless of gender. Places in the Victorian High-Ability Program are determined on achievement data to ensure |

| | that all genders have the same opportunity to participate in the program. Professional learning for teachers emphasises that high-ability may be expressed differently, with research finding that some high-ability girls mask their abilities to better fit in with peers. |
|--------------------------|--|
| English as an Additional | Gender Impact (Neutral) EAL demand funding |
| Language | EAL program funding is allocated to schools that meet a pre-determined threshold based on factors unrelated to gender. |
| | Funding is allocated to schools based on the number of students who: |
| | come from a language background other than English, |
| | speak a language other than English at home as their main language, |
| | have been enrolled in an Australian school for less than 5 years, |
| | attract SRP funding. |
| | A gender impact assessment (GIA) has been completed for this initiative. |
| | Interpreting and translating services |
| | Victorian Government schools and funded early childhood services must offer interpreting and translating, consistent with the department's policies, to parents and carers who have limited English proficiency to communicate key information about their child's education. Interpreting includes Auslan interpreting services for parents and carers who are Deaf or hard of hearing. The Victorian Government guidelines for using interpreting services recommends that gender should be considered carefully and be the choice of the client, particularly when addressing family violence and women's health matters. The Language Services program allows parents or carers to discuss their personal circumstances with school staff and request a specific interpreter, for example, female or male interpreter in circumstances where it is considered effective for communication. |
| Senior Secondary | |
| Pathways Reform | Gender Impact (Positive) A Gender Impact Assessment (GIA) was undertaken to evaluate the problem and proposed solution's likely effects for people of different genders. This included an assessment of enrolment trends in vocational and applied learning from VCAA and Australian Government data, and a brief review of literature on the gendered patterns and factors in student pathway and career choices. Significant gendered trends exist in senior secondary enrolment choices. Gender is correlated with students' choice of program (VCE with an ATAR, VCE Vocational Major or VPC), choice of learning areas at school (subjects and VET certificates) and post-school goals (university, TAFE, apprenticeships and traineeships, or immediate full-time work). These are largely consistent with the varying disparities of gender participation in different areas of the economy. Improving access to VDSS via JSPC funding and by enabling students to access VET Tasters will support changes to these existing gendered student choices. Sociocultural biases affecting female workforce participation have led to a greater concentration of women than men in specific occupations, and many occupations and industries with higher female participation attract lower pay. As per Our Equal State, concerted effort is required to address biases affecting female participation in many industries. |
| | industries. In 2023, more than half of VCE Vocational Major students were male. This initiative would lead to stronger program |

| | reputation, but particularly benefit female students by reducing social barriers to female enrolment, supporting balanced choice for these students. Similarly, all students would benefit from continued support for schools' delivery of career |
|------------------------|---|
| | education programs, but because of the concentration of women in low-paid industries, female students have the most to |
| | gain from support to make well-informed career and pathways choices. The Trade and Tech Fit expo provides clear and |
| | specific benefit to female and non-binary students. |
| Supporting senior | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| secondary completion | A Gender Impact Assessment (GIA) was undertaken to evaluate the problem and proposed solution's likely effects for |
| in non-school settings | people of different genders. Gender equality is a secondary benefit that can be achieved alongside other outcomes, |
| | including completion of Year 12 and better employment, social and wellbeing outcomes. This initiative will support people of |
| | all genders to remain in education and complete Year 12 in a TAFE non-school provider if they have left school early. In |
| | particular, this initiative will support disadvantaged males (52% of the cohort) who are more vulnerable to becoming |
| | disengaged and not employed, in full-time education, or in training (NEET) than females. This initiative also supports gender |
| | diverse students, who comprise 2% of non-school provider student enrolments. Consultations with complementary |
| | education settings this year reports the significant positive impact of these inclusive and flexible learning environments for |
| | gender diverse students, some of whom enrol in these settings after experiencing bullying and mental health issues in |
| | mainstream school environments. |
| Essential Maintenance | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| and Compliance | The Essential Maintenance and Compliance initiative ensures that government school buildings provide a safe and secure |
| | learning environment for students, and that facilities are well maintained meeting the current standards required by |
| | legislation. It also ensures that schools have sufficient funding to undertake essential maintenance and compliance activities |
| | - which supports the effective and sustainable management of school infrastructure. Investment in key maintenance and |
| | compliance programs enables the government to ensure compliance with statutory obligations, and efficient asset |
| | management. These programs are: |
| | the Planned Maintenance Program (PMP) which addresses high priority defects which present occupational health and safety risks to students and teachers, |
| | the Accessible Buildings program (AMP) which is a key compliance program which provides reasonable adjustments |
| | to infrastructure to ensure students with disability have access to education in line with their peers, as required |
| | under the Disability Discrimination Act 1992 |
| | two Student Resource Package (SRP) programs that provide funding for schools to address routine and condition- |
| | based maintenance activities: SRP Maintenance and Minor Works, and SRP Grounds Allowance. |
| | During the development of this initiative, the department undertook a Gender Impact Assessment to consider how the |
| | proposed initiatives would be inclusive of all students. Significant consultation was required as part of the development of |
| | the initiative. This consultation was undertaken in line with each program and sources were consulted as needed depending |
| | The initiative. This constitution was undertaken in fine with each program and sources were constitued as needed depending |

| | government departments and agencies, local councils, the Victorian Planning Authority (VPA), Traditional Owners, and students and families. Internal data was also assessed. |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | The assessment found that maintaining school infrastructure to ensure it is safe, compliant with legislative requirements and in good condition will provide benefits for students of all genders, students with disabilities and cultural backgrounds. |
| | Where significant maintenance projects are undertaken, they are completed in consultation with the school to ensure the works reflect the needs of the community. Additionally, the Accessible Buildings Program is an application-based program, |
| | and upgrades funded through the program are designed to respond to the specific needs of the applicant. |
| | The department is compliant with the Gender Equality Act 2020 and continues to consider gender equality in policies |
| | relating to school infrastructure. The Victorian School Building Authority's (VSBA) Building Quality Standards Handbook (BQSH) supports gender equality and continues to evolve. In recent years, updates to the BQSH policy have been made to |
| | strengthen the VSBA's approach to gender equality, and the current policy requires that: |
| | toilet facilities must be safe, equitable and dignified, |
| | • toilet use allocation must acknowledge, student age, cultural considerations, and gender balance. |
| | Additionally, the department continues to review and strengthen its policies relating to gender inclusion, and the department's LGBTIQ Student Policy outlines that: |
| | the student is at the centre of creating their own support plan and consulted in all decision making, |
| | • the use of toilets, showers and change rooms that meet the needs of the student should be based on the student's |
| | gender identity and whichever facilities they will feel most comfortable using, |
| | students without a disability should not be required to use disabled toilets or facilities. |
| Advanced professional | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| training to teachers and leaders | The Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership (the Academy) offers evidence-informed, inspiring professional learning to Victorian school teachers and leaders. The Academy specifically ensures professional learning opportunities are accessible to women, who make up the majority of the teaching workforce, by considering timing, location and mode of delivery. Between 68-75 per cent of participants across all Academy programs are female. This broadly aligns with the proportion of |
| | females in the teaching workforce (74 per cent female, 26 per cent male). |
| | In the period 1 January 2022 to 30 June 2023, more women than men participated in Academy programs. Across 2023: |
| | In the Academy's Leadership Excellence programs, 68 per cent of participants identify as female, 22 per cent of participants identify as male and 10 per cent choose not to disclose gender. |
| | In the Academy's Teaching Excellence programs, 75 per cent of participants identify as female, and 25 per cent identify as male. |
| | Continuity of the Academy's programs for the full 2025 calendar year will ensure there is continued increase in equity of |
| | access to professional learning, lifting the quality of teaching and school leadership across Victoria. This includes through the |
| | 7 purpose-built and designed regional centres located in Ballarat, Bairnsdale, Bendigo, Geelong, Mildura, Moe, and |
| | Shepparton. |

| The existence of regional centres has been noted to significantly increase the equity of access to professional learning for female teachers and school leaders. Feedback provided by participants at regional centres has indicated that previously the travel and accommodation required to participate in a Melbourne-CBD-only-option program and the subsequent time away from young families, has been a barrier to female participation in professional learning. Further, anecdotal feedback from the Department's Area Executive Directors and Senior Education Improvement Leaders suggests that before the Academy's regional centres were in place, the impact of this location barrier had been that fewer females were able to apply for school leadership roles when they arose. The Academy continues to monitor and report participant data, including specific diversity (e.g. gender) data, enabling teams to ensure programs are attracting participants that reflect the diversity of the workforce. |
|--|
| Flexible working for school leaders Gender Impact (Positive) |
| The flexible working for school leaders' initiative will have a positive gender impact by reducing barriers to women applying for school leadership roles, as well as shifting the flexible work culture in schools to create an environment in which flexible working arrangements are normalised for all genders. Flexible working arrangements are accessed differently by people of different genders. Generally, women are more likely to take on caring responsibilities and have a greater need for flexible working arrangements. As such, any potential barriers to accessing flexible working arrangements in Victorian government schools may discourage women from applying for school leadership positions. This is reflected in women being underrepresented in school leadership roles. The flexible work for school leaders' initiative also encourages men to apply for flexible work arrangements in schools. In the longer term, this may have the effect of lessening gender bias by challenging the perception that only women require access to flexible work and normalising men taking on caring roles outside of the workplace. International Teacher recruitment Gender Impact (Neutral) The International Teacher Recruitment program provides financial support to 100 international teachers to fill teaching roles in Victoria. The preliminary findings of the evaluation report indicated that applicants found the financial relocation supports highly attractive and influential in their decision to join Victoria's education system. These can help remove barriers which may otherwise discourage international female teachers to participate in the |
| program. |
| Gender Impact (Positive) The recommended options will provide a net positive impact, by tailoring employee wellbeing support services to the specific needs of different social groups. The components provide a direct positive impact on gender equality and will build and strengthen psychologically safe workplaces. It is anticipated a more psychologically safe workplace may lead to more diverse individuals being attracted to consider senior school leadership positions and is also expected to ease the mental health burden on senior leaders across the government school system. The components also build capability, awareness and improve mental health literacy for school staff therefore, enabling inclusive practices. |
| |

| Improving outcomes for | Gender Impact (Positive) |
|--------------------------|---|
| Indigenous children | The overall gender impact of this initiative is positive, and consideration of intersectionality is at the centre of the design and |
| | delivery of the initiative. The initiative supports delivery of strengthened self-determination in education, including targeted |
| | programs, supports and professional learning that has specific regard to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students, staff |
| | and community members' sense of belonging, connection, and identity, including but not limited to gender, culture, and |
| | aspiration. |
| | The design of the program has been informed by the voices of the Victorian Aboriginal community, particularly young |
| | people, with efforts made to ensure engagement of young people across a range of ages, genders, locations, and identities. |
| | As young people are centred in the approach and the removal of barriers to their engagement in education is a primary |
| | focus, it is expected that people of different genders will be supported to have equitable access to the program. |
| | In implementation, schools will be guided to consider and support the identities and needs of Aboriginal and Torres Strait |
| | Islander students through a holistic lens, including all aspects of their identity such as gender, family structure and caring |
| | responsibilities, disability, aspirations, interests, place etc. The approach is designed to be responsive to the unique needs of |
| | all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander students and ensure the department and schools meet their obligations to deliver a |
| | safe and responsive school system. |
| Lifting Student Literacy | Gender Impact (Neutral) |
| and Numeracy | The casual relief teacher (CRT) funding is a universal support for all Victorian government schools with primary enrolments |
| Outcomes – CRT | to support these schools to increase their capacity as Grade 1 teachers become familiar with the English Online Interview |
| | (EOI) and conduct this one-to-one assessment with their Grade 1 students following the introduction of the Grade 1 EOI |
| | mandate for Victorian government schools in 2023. |
| Place-based education | Gender Impact (Positive): One Red Tree – mental health support in schools will benefit students to access mental health |
| and wellbeing programs | support at their point of need either through direct psychological support or indirectly through teachers, families, and other |
| | allied health staff. There is a higher proportion of women registered as provisional psychologists and therefore women may |
| | specifically benefit from this initiative. |
| | Gender Impact (Positive): Farm My School will benefit all students by exposing them to agriculture/horticulture experience |
| | from Year 7. Given women are underrepresented in STEM careers, having access to a quality horticulture/agriculture |
| | program may specifically encourage those identifying as female to explore this career pathway. |
| | Gender Impact (Positive): Geelong Chances pilot program. As women are most significantly burdened by cost-of-living |
| | pressures and some report an increased risk of violence due to financial stress, a scholarship program that provides financial |
| | support to students from financially disadvantaged families will improve the lives of women in the Geelong region. In 2022 a |
| | higher proportion of Western Chances scholarships were awarded to females (66.5 percent) than males (32.6 percent). |
| | Gender Impact (Positive): Academy Movement program has a strong background of increasing girls' participation in |
| | traditionally male-dominated sports such as Rugby Union. |
| | Gender Impact (Neutral) Locally driven education programs – the Geelong project, NCESE, ProjectREAL and Beyond the |

| | bell. Initiatives are tailored, with services and supports to meet their individual needs. Reasons for disengagement and |
|----------------------|---|
| | vulnerability vary and are personal to the students – this can include gender and sexuality issues. Students receive assistance |
| | in a bespoke way, addressing their needs. The programs are open to all genders and sexualities and recognise that these |
| | factors can increase student vulnerability in school. In addition, Beyond the Bell also supports gender specific programs as |
| | determined by local communities and in response to need. |
| | The Geelong Project and Project Real have clear selection criteria for eligibility that is based on presenting risk factors and |
| | existing need. As this implicitly covers any concerns related to gender and/or sexuality, no specific changes have been made |
| | to the program delivery methods. |
| Respectful | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| Relationships for | Respectful Relationships for Children and Youth (Respectful Relationships) aims to address the gendered drivers of family |
| Children and Youth | violence by recognising that: |
| | Violence against women and their children is deeply rooted in power imbalances that are reinforced by gender norms and stereotypes. |
| | Attitudes, gender stereotypes and social norms are learned through social and cultural expectations. |
| | • Schools can be effective in changing these attitudes and subsequently in reducing the incidence of family violence. |
| | The whole school approach to Respectful Relationships supports schools to embed a culture of respect and gender equality |
| | across the entire school community. Schools are supported to build a culture where gender stereotypes are challenged, |
| | gender-based discrimination is unacceptable and gender equality is actively promoted and modelled in and outside the |
| | classroom. |
| | Respectful Relationships supports delivery of respectful relationships education via the Victorian Curriculum. The |
| | department supports schools to deliver this curriculum through the optional Resilience, Rights and Respectful Relationships |
| | and Building Respectful Relationships teaching and learning materials, developed by education experts. These age- |
| | appropriate resources help build students' social and emotional competence and relationships skills and include topics of |
| | Gender and Identity and Positive Gender Relations. |
| | Respectful Relationships has a larger impact on people who are more likely to experience family violence, however, also |
| | impacts people who may use violence through supporting prevention. Data shows that women are significantly more |
| | impacted by family violence that men. No alternative actions were developed as a result of the Gender Impact Assessment |
| | because the intent of the Respectful Relationships initiative is to promote gender equality to prevent family violence and |
| | therefore a gender lens was applied in its development. |
| | The Be Wise education program aims to prevent coward punch attacks by raising awareness of social violence and |
| | challenging rigid and harmful forms of masculinity, which contribute to gender-based violence. |
| Recovery from summer | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| 2023-24 floods and | The 'Addressing budget deficits caused by severe weather events' initiative covers the cost of immediate Make Safe and |
| storms | clean-up works, and the cost of remediation of assets, which were severely damaged as a result of the 3 severe weather |
| | |

DE

| L | |
|-----------------------|--|
| | events across Victoria that occurred between 24 December 2023 and 10 January 2024. |
| | During the development of this initiative, the department undertook a Gender Impact Assessment to consider how the |
| | proposed initiatives would be inclusive of all students. Consultation for the development of the initiative took place in a |
| | range of ways, tailored to each individual project. Consultation methods involved feedback and approval from 21 school |
| | councils, staff, and school families. |
| | The assessment found that the Make Safe program assists schools that have sustained damage through an incident or an |
| | event where the event presents an immediate health and safety concern for the schooling community and ensures that |
| | school facilities are safe and appropriate for the unique needs of the whole school and its community. |
| | Based on the findings from the Gender Impact Assessment, the department has considered gender issues and adjusted its |
| | policies accordingly. As such, the department is compliant with the Gender Equality Act 2020. The funding allocated to the |
| | initiative is for the purposes of safeguarding the continued operation of educational services across the state. |
| | Education is a key determinant of social inclusion and economic prosperity for everyone. The Victorian Government aims to |
| | build an education system that provides every learner, regardless of gender, with the knowledge, capabilities, and attributes |
| | to thrive throughout their lives. |
| | The funding sought for the Make Safe program will promote gender equity by: |
| | • continuing to ensure that all Victorian students of all gender have access to safe and equitable education facilities. |
| | ensuring that students of all genders have access to adequate hygiene facilities. |
| Reducing the | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| administrative burden | A gender impact assessment has been completed for this proposal, which demonstrates that the Principal Advisory Service |
| on principals | and complementary Policy and Advisory Library has direct and positive impacts on people of different genders, people with |
| | disability, or from different cultural identities, ages, sexual orientations, or religions. |
| | This impact is through the provision of guidance, resources, advice, and support for school leaders on the legislative, policy |
| | and regulatory requirements that must be followed when running a school, which includes best practice implementation |
| | advice on diversity, inclusion, and cultural safety for all members of a school community including staff, students and |
| | families. |
| | The content of individual policies within the Policy and Advisory Library that the Principal Advisory Service provides advice |
| | on is owned by relevant subject matter experts across the Department of Education and responsibility for research and |
| | consultation sits with those individual areas, however usage data supports an understanding of the impact of the service. |
| | The Policy and Advisory Library has had over 20 million views since its launch in 2020. PAL is a public website and makes |
| | information readily accessible to both Victorian government school staff and the Victorian public. The Principal Advisory |
| | Service then supports school leaders with implementation of policies by providing expert advice and support on the |
| | management of often complex issues arising within the school, and how to best support affected students and staff in a way |
| | that aligns with policy. The policies on DAL clearly set out expectations and host practice guidance for the implementation of |
| | that aligns with policy. The policies on PAL clearly set out expectations and best practice guidance for the implementation of |

| The following topics have been collectively viewed over 260,000 times since launch (to December 2023), supporting Principals and other school staff to optimally support people of different genders, people with disability, or from different cultural identities, ages, sexual orientations, or religions:Disability and Reasonable Adjustment – Employees: 3,468Continence Care: 11,870Disability Inclusion Funding and Support: 31,014LGBTIQA+ Student Support: 44, 795Koorie Education: 21, 914Aboriginal Employment: 1,820Students with Disability: 69,946Inclusive Workplaces: 1,411Religious Observance: Policy and Guidelines: 409Equal Opportunity – Employees: 4,357Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work.Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional studentsSafer Victorian faith- based schoolsSafer Victorian faith- based schoolsSafer Victorian faith- based schoolsSafer Victorian faith- based schoolsSafer Instant (Neutral)Students withGender Impact (Neutral)This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific.Students withGender Impact (Neutral)Students withGender Impact (Neutral)Consider Impact (Neutral)Consider Impact (Neutral)Consider Imp |
|---|
| Continence Care: 11,870 Disability Inclusion Funding and Support: 31,014 LGBTIQA+ Student Support: 44, 795 Koorie Education: 21, 914 Aboriginal Employment: 1,820 Students with Disability: 69,946 Inclusive Workplaces: 1,411 Religious Observance: Policy and Guidelines: 409 Equal Opportunity and Human Rights – Students: 19,266 Equal Opportunity – Employees: 4,357 Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201 The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work. Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students Safer Victorian faithbased schools This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| Disability Inclusion Funding and Support: 31,014 LGBTIQA+ Student Support: 44, 795 Koorie Education: 21, 914 Aboriginal Employment: 1,820 Students with Disability: 69,946 Inclusive Workplaces: 1,411 Religious Observance: Policy and Guidelines: 409 Equal Opportunity and Human Rights – Students: 19,266 Equal Opportunity – Employees: 4,357 Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201 The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work. Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students Gender Impact (Neutral) The gender impact of the proposal is neutral. Recruitment for the roles will be aligned with DE policy and the Victorian Government Schools Agreement 2022, considering all protected attributes. Safer Victorian faithbased schools This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| LGBTIQA+ Student Support: 44, 795 Koorie Education: 21, 914 Aboriginal Employment: 1,820 Students with Disability: 69,946 Inclusive Workplaces: 1,411 Religious Observance: Policy and Guidelines: 409 Equal Opportunity and Human Rights – Students: 19,266 Equal Opportunity – Employees: 4,357 Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201 The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work. Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students Gender Impact (Neutral) The gender impact of the proposal is neutral. Recruitment for the roles will be aligned with DE policy and the Victorian Government Schools Agreement 2022, considering all protected attributes. Safer Victorian faithbased schools This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| Koorie Education: 21, 914 Aboriginal Employment: 1,820 Students with Disability: 69,946 Inclusive Workplaces: 1,411 Religious Observance: Policy and Guidelines: 409 Equal Opportunity and Human Rights – Students: 19,266 Equal Opportunity – Employees: 4,357 Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201 The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work. Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students Safer Victorian faithbased schools This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| Aboriginal Employment: 1,820 Students with Disability: 69,946 Inclusive Workplaces: 1,411 Religious Observance: Policy and Guidelines: 409 Equal Opportunity and Human Rights – Students: 19,266 Equal Opportunity – Employees: 4,357 Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201 The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work. Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students Safer Victorian faithbased schools This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| Students with Disability: 69,946 Inclusive Workplaces: 1,411 Religious Observance: Policy and Guidelines: 409 Equal Opportunity and Human Rights – Students: 19,266 Equal Opportunity – Employees: 4,357 Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201 The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work. Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students Gender Impact (Neutral) The gender impact of the proposal is neutral. Recruitment for the roles will be aligned with DE policy and the Victorian Government Schools Agreement 2022, considering all protected attributes. Safer Victorian faithbased schools This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| Inclusive Workplaces: 1,411 Religious Observance: Policy and Guidelines: 409 Equal Opportunity and Human Rights – Students: 19,266 Equal Opportunity – Employees: 4,357 Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201 The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work. Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students Safer Victorian faithbased schools Safer Victorian faithbased schools This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| Religious Observance: Policy and Guidelines: 409 Equal Opportunity and Human Rights – Students: 19,266 Equal Opportunity – Employees: 4,357 Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201 The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work. Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students Safer Victorian faithbased schools Safer Impact (Neutral) This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| Equal Opportunity and Human Rights – Students: 19,266 Equal Opportunity – Employees: 4,357 Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201 The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work. Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students Safer Victorian faithbased schools Safer Victorian faithbased schools This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| Equal Opportunity – Employees: 4,357 Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201 The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work. Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students Safer Victorian faithbased schools Safer Victorian faithbased schools This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| Disability Inclusion Profile: 51,201 The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work. Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional students Safer Victorian faithbased schools Gender Impact (Neutral) This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| The SASH supports small schools with the financial and human resource components of these policies, supporting implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work.Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional studentsGender Impact (Neutral) The gender impact of the proposal is neutral. Recruitment for the roles will be aligned with DE policy and the Victorian Government Schools Agreement 2022, considering all protected attributes.Safer Victorian faith- based schoolsGender Impact (Neutral) This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| implementation of budgets, reporting and HR processes within small schools who lack the resources to undertake this work.Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional studentsGender Impact (Neutral) The gender impact of the proposal is neutral. Recruitment for the roles will be aligned with DE policy and the Victorian Government Schools Agreement 2022, considering all protected attributes.Safer Victorian faith- based schoolsGender Impact (Neutral) This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| Improving curriculum choice for rural and regional studentsGender Impact (Neutral) The gender impact of the proposal is neutral. Recruitment for the roles will be aligned with DE policy and the Victorian Government Schools Agreement 2022, considering all protected attributes.Safer Victorian faith- based schoolsGender Impact (Neutral) This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| choice for rural and regional studentsThe gender impact of the proposal is neutral. Recruitment for the roles will be aligned with DE policy and the Victorian Government Schools Agreement 2022, considering all protected attributes.Safer Victorian faith- based schoolsGender Impact (Neutral) This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| regional studentsGovernment Schools Agreement 2022, considering all protected attributes.Safer Victorian faith- based schoolsGender Impact (Neutral)This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| Safer Victorian faith- based schoolsGender Impact (Neutral)This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| based schools This submission is rated as neutral as the targeted cohort is not gender specific. |
| |
| Students with Gender Impact (Neutral) |
| |
| disabilities transport The Students with Disabilities Transport Program (SDTP) facilitates travel assistance for eligible students to and from their |
| program designated government specialist school regardless of their gender or disabilities. A gender Impact statement has been |
| developed to support this submission. Gender impacts have not been identified through the SDTP's delivery although |
| through a program evaluation of the SDTP planned during the 2024-25 period, stakeholder consultation will explore |
| whether potential gender impacts can be identified. |
| School-wide Positive Gender Impact (Positive) |
| Behaviour Support The gender impact of the proposal is positive. SWPBS supports staff to build safe, respectful learning environments as it |
| prevents and addresses behavioural issues, encouraging positive pro social behaviours. |
| The proactive approach of SWPBS addresses behavioural issues, which can contribute to a reduction in gender-based |
| bullying. This creates a safer space for female students to learn and interact. SWPBS focuses on positive reinforcement and |

| | recognition of desirable behaviours. This can contribute to the empowerment and confidence of female students, |
|-----------------------|---|
| | encouraging them to actively engage in the learning process. |
| | |
| | Staff also benefit from a safe and respectful learning environment. Teachers who are more skilled at supporting student |
| | behaviour should experience greater job satisfaction and wellbeing. The Victorian Government school sector is |
| | predominantly female and, in this regard, SWPBS benefits women, as they represent a majority of teachers. |
| Strengthening | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| participation of CALD | Activities delivered by the CALD Outreach Initiative and Family Learning Support Program (the initiatives) directly reach |
| children in ECE | families, mainly via the primary caregiver of children (predominantly women). Staff in the funded CALD Outreach Worker |
| | and Bicultural Worker positions (also predominantly women) actively work to identify and overcome barriers to early |
| | childhood education experienced by families from CALD backgrounds. The initiatives also support the child, primary |
| | caregiver, and wider family to access other services they need. |
| | Affordable and universally accessible high-quality early childhood education and care (ECEC) delivers economic benefits |
| | critical to increase female workforce participation, by making it easier for parents to return to work or increase work, study, |
| | or volunteering. Increased awareness of subsidies available to support participation in kindergarten will also support |
| | improved financial security, particularly for women and also increase female workforce participation. |
| | The initiatives will have a range of long-term benefits, beyond the benefits for children. Supporting women to have |
| | increased workforce participation will in-turn increase their families' income, improving outcomes for themselves and their |
| | families. Increased participation in ECEC by CALD children will also enable women to attend English classes and participate in |
| | education and training, which will increase their employability and enable them to play a more active role in supporting their |
| | children's learning and engagement with education. |
| | The women who will benefit from the initiatives face a range of vulnerabilities due to being newly arrived, having limited |
| | English, some not currently being in the workforce, some being residents of public housing, and some having limited |
| | incomes and experiencing financial hardship. Participation in these initiatives will reduce social isolation, increase social |
| | connections and improve mental health outcomes for the women who are supported. |
| Strengthening school | Gender Impact (Neutral) |
| performance program | In Victoria, 76% of the Government Teaching Service (GTS) identify as female, 23% male, and the remainder as self- |
| | described. This gender discrepancy continues, though at a slightly lower rate, as positions within the GTS progress through |
| | to senior leadership. The proposed Intensive School Support Team positions will be filled using merit-based processes that |
| | will ensure both male and female applicants have equal opportunity to be successful. |
| Student Health and | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| Wellbeing | • The department's Student Support Services plays an important role in providing equitable and inclusive services to |
| | vulnerable student populations, including Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Students, LGBTQIA+ students, |
| | students with disabilities, and students from low socioeconomic backgrounds who often experience poorer health |
| | outcomes and require tailored support. |
| | outcomes and require tailored support. |

| | Female students, students from diverse cultural backgrounds, and those with diverse needs related to physical health, mental health and psychosocial challenges are more likely to benefit from the Primary School Nurses Program (PSNP). The PSNP workforce is predominantly female, and funding for 12.0 FTE positions will contribute to improved economic participation for this group. Health and Wellbeing Support seeks to build strategies to improve service delivery, providing better access to inclusive, evidence-based support for students of all genders and intersectional backgrounds. The Enhancing Mental Health Supports in Schools continues a mental health counselling service that has high engagement from female, LGBTIQ+, and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander student cohorts who also have a higher likelihood of experiencing mental health concerns. |
|------------------------|---|
| Students with | Gender Impact (Neutral) |
| disabilities transport | The Students with Disabilities Transport Program facilitates travel assistance for eligible students to and from their |
| program | designated government specialist school regardless of their gender or disabilities. A gender Impact statement has been |
| | developed to support this submission. Gender impacts have not been identified through the SDTP's delivery although |
| | through a program evaluation of the SDTP planned during the 2024-25 period, stakeholder consultation will explore |
| | whether potential gender impacts can be identified. |
| Support for regional | Gender Impact (Positive-Neutral) |
| and small schools' | The key beneficiaries of the initiative are likely to be women with caring responsibilities for school aged children. Women |
| Outside School Hours | are far more likely than men to be primary carers for children and would be most likely to benefit from access to OSHC |
| Care | services. While the Gender Impact Assessment considered intersectionality, gender is expected to be the primary |
| | determinant of the impact as OSHC services would be available for use by working families from all backgrounds, and no |
| | cohort would be disadvantaged by the funding. Employment opportunities that would be created by the broadening of the |
| - · · · | OSHC service reach would be equally accessible to all with relevant qualifications. |
| Supporting senior | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| secondary completion | A Gender Impact Assessment (GIA) was undertaken to evaluate the problem and proposed solution's likely effects for |
| in non-school settings | people of different genders. Gender equality is a secondary benefit that can be achieved alongside other outcomes, |
| | including completion of Year 12 and better employment, social and wellbeing outcomes. This initiative will support people of |
| | all genders to remain in education and complete Year 12 in a TAFE non-school provider if they have left school early. In |
| | particular, this initiative will support disadvantaged males (52% of the cohort) who are more vulnerable to becoming |
| | disengaged and not employed, in full-time education, or in training (NEET) than females. This initiative also supports gender |
| | diverse students, who comprise 2% of non-school provider student enrolments. Consultations with complementary |
| | education settings this year reports the significant positive impact of these inclusive and flexible learning environments for |
| | gender diverse students, some of whom enrol in these settings after experiencing bullying and mental health issues in mainstream school environments. |
| Education support for | For this initiative, two Gender Impact Assessments were undertaken relating to two different components of this initiative. |
| Education support for | For this initiative, two Gender impact Assessments were undertaken relating to two unrerent components of this initiative. |

| students at risk | The results of these assessments are as follows: |
|------------------------------|---|
| | Component 1: Expansion of Education Supports for children in care |
| | Gender Impact (Neutral) |
| | Department data shows that there are approximately the same number of male and female students in out-of-home care. Female student attendance is higher than males in primary school, but lower in secondary school. NAPLAN data shows that females consistently outperformed males in both numeracy and reading. Support provided to improve data integration and LOOKOUT capacity will have an equal impact on male and female students. |
| | Component 2: Staying on Course |
| | Gender Impact (Neutral) |
| | Data indicates that boys and young men account for approximately 75% of young people presenting before the criminal division of the Children's Courts, and approximately 95% of the population in Youth Justice facilities. The reach of this early intervention investment will be proportional to the rates of young men and women interacting with Youth Justice. |
| Curriculum and | Gender Impact (Neutral) |
| assessment implementation | This initiative will ensure all teachers are provided with the resources aligned to the timeline for the Victorian Curriculum F– 10 publication, familiarisation and implementation staggered across 2023-2026. The website development is managed including ensuring all accessibility requirements are met. Also, that user support is provided to school, teachers ongoing. |
| Strengthening ongoing | Gender Impact (Positive) |
| support for Tech Schools | Evidence suggests a continued gendered disparity in those who feel confident in STEM and in those who take up STEM subjects, pathways and careers, with males more likely to undertake STEM study and careers (in 2023, 15% of STEM- qualified jobs were held by women). Social conditioning and norms around traditional roles and responsibilities of females, males, nonbinary people, First Nations people and people with disabilities are shown to affect interest in specific subjects and expectations of study and careers. Maintaining support for Tech Schools will support increased representation of female and nonbinary students in STEM |
| | courses and careers as well as other under-represented cohorts. Tech Schools develop programs and create experiences that increase visibility of STEM and expose diverse student cohorts to STEM pathways. The programs aim to influence and challenge perceptions of STEM in relation to gender roles, stigma and preconceptions, stereotypes, unconscious and confirmation bias, expected social roles and career options. Tech Schools also work with student ambassadors from partner schools to incorporate diverse perspectives into the programs. Inclusive and targeted programs for girls are also offered, and student and teacher surveys have been updated to include all genders as a demographic measure with this data used to inform future programming. Gender is also considered in the physical design of Tech Schools to ensure the space is inclusive, safe, and representative of all students. |
| Victorian African | Gender Impact (Neutral) |
| Communities Action | The Victorian African Communities Action Plan focus on supporting the educational engagement of African young people |

| Plan | and their families through school-based supports and homework clubs. These initiatives provide a very wide breadth of |
|------|---|
| | interventions and supports, mentoring and targeted activities of interest to all students and their families, regardless of |
| | gender. |

| | Proportion of initiatives subject to Gender Impact Assessment (as percentage) | |
|---------------|---|--|
| Output budget | 100% | |
| Asset budget | 100% | |

b)

| Initiative | How GRB was considered | Outcome of GRB consideration |
|------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| N/A | | |
| | | |
| | | |

c)

| Programs/initiatives that have been evaluated | Key findings of the evaluation |
|---|--------------------------------|
| from a gender perspective | |

Many of the department's program evaluations look at issues of gender when assessing effectiveness and impact. Evaluations will continue to explore gender as it relates to the assessment of programs and initiatives across the department.

d)

Further work being undertaken by the Department in 2024-25 to embed GRB

The department continues to implement its obligations under the Gender Equality Act 2020, including implementation of DE's Gender Equality Action Plan and undertaking regular (every 2 years) gender workforce audits. The department has also improved the information and resources available to staff to support them to conduct gender impact assessments, including providing a streamlined template that will assist with the development of assessments quickly and support quality improvements.

Implementation of PAEC recommendations

Update on status of implementation

Question 30

Please provide an update on the status of the implementation of each of the below:

- a) Committee recommendations that were made in the *Report on the 2022-23 Budget Estimates* and supported by the Government.
- b) Committee recommendations that were made in the *Report on the 2023-24 Budget Estimates* and supported by the Government.

Please populate the below table according to each department's supported recommendations.

Response

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|------------|---|--|---|
| Education | Recommendation 17: The Department of Education and Training establish performance measures to track the benefits of implementing the new Victorian Certificate of Education Vocational Major and Victorian Pathways Certificate, regarding the quality of vocational education and training teaching and training in secondary schools, practical skill development and education outcomes, such as job attainment in chosen area of study or in priority and growth industries. | 'Support-in-Principle' The Department of Education (DE) has revised its suite of measures related to senior secondary certification in 2023—24 and will consider inclusion of further measures in future years as the reforms mature. | The suite of performance measures related to vocational training options has been expanded in 2024-25 to: i) better differentiate between participation in the priority pathways in addition to broader vocational offerings and ii) measure retention in School Based Apprenticeship and Traineeships. |

Update on the implementation of recommendations made in the 2022-23 Budget Estimates Report

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|------------|--|--|---|
| Education | Recommendation 18: The 2023–24 Budget include performance measures to track the outcomes achieved by investments to attract, retain and increase the numbers of teachers across metropolitan, rural and regional Victoria. | 'Under Review' DE has added three new measures related to workforce in 2023—24: Percentage of Government primary school teachers in ongoing employment Percentage of Government secondary school teachers in ongoing employment Percentage of funded places in Innovative Initial Teacher Education (IITE) allocated to students who are enrolled in IITE courses and placed in a Victorian government school. | The department continues to collect data and report against the three measures introduced in the 2023–24 Budget. The suite of performance measures related to the teaching workforce has been expanded in the 2024-25 Budget to include: Number of teacher targeted financial incentives allocated Growth in commencements in secondary and dual primary/secondary ITE courses |
| Education | Recommendation 21: The 2023–24 Budget include a performance measure that reports on the kindergarten participation rate in the two years before school and a description of the methodology used to calculate the target rate. | 'Support' DE has included new measures related to kindergarten participation rates in 2023— 24: Children funded to participate in kindergarten in the year 2 years before school Kindergarten participation rate in the year 2 years before school Kindergarten participation rate for Aboriginal children in the year 2 years before school Kindergarten participation rate for children in out of home care in the year 2 | Measures included in 2023-24 with results for the 2023 calendar year reported in the 2024-25 statement. |

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|------------|---|---|---|
| | | years before school. | |
| Education | Recommendation 22: The 2023–24 Budget include performance measures that report on the proportion of Victoria's eligible population that participated in kindergarten and the proportion of Victoria's vulnerable children, children with disabilities or culturally and linguistically diverse population that participated in kindergarten | 'Not Support' DE has included new measures related to kindergarten participation rates in 2023— 24: Children funded to participate in kindergarten in the year 2 years before school Kindergarten participation rate in the year 2 years before school Kindergarten participation rate for Aboriginal children in the year 2 years before school Kindergarten participation rate for children in out of home care in the year 2 years before school. | There is no estimated statewide population available for the recommended cohorts. As a result, a participation rate (proportion of estimated population participating) cannot be calculated. |
| Education | Recommendation 23: The 2022–23 Budget Update provide a collated breakdown of the initiatives funded relating to the early childhood education workforce package and the 2023–24 Budget include performance measures that provide insights into the outcomes achieved by the Early Childhood Workforce Strategy. | 'Under Review' DE has included a new measure in 2023— 24 related to the early childhood workforce: Total number of early childhood teachers delivering a funded kindergarten program | A breakdown of workforce initiatives is outlined in the Best Start Best Life Workforce Strategy released in December 2023, and more detailed workforce metrics for Victoria's early childhood teaching workforce are provided in the department's Victorian Teacher Supply and Demand Report. The Department of Education will consider ways to further improve performance |

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | information in this area. |
| Education | Recommendation 24: The Department of Education and Training address the shortcomings identified by | 'Support-in-principle' | Nil |
| | the Committee with two new performance measures under the Early Childhood Education output with further, or altered, performance measures in the 2023–24 Budget. | DE has undertaken a thorough review of the structure of the statement as well as the suite of performance measures in 2023—24. This included the refinement of objective indicators and performance measures related to early childhood to better reflect kindergarten service delivery. | |

Update on the implementation of recommendations made in the 2023-24 Budget Estimates Report

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of | Update on status of |
|------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | | 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | implementation |
| Education | Recommendation 16: The Department of Education | 'Support' | The 2022 Teacher Supply and |
| | update its Victorian Teacher Supply and Demand | | Demand report was published in |
| | Report | DE produces the Teacher Supply and Demand | March 2024. There has been no |
| | | Report (TSDR) annually to provide a | delay in the Department's |
| | | comprehensive cross sectoral picture of | production of the TSDR. Data |
| | | Victoria's early childhood and school teaching | collection, compilation, analysis, |
| | | workforces. The TSDR provides detailed data | report drafting, and stakeholder |
| | | on workforce size, attrition, vacancies, | consultation across the |
| | | applications, no-appointment rates, and | Victorian education sector is a |
| | | characteristics of enrolments in teaching | comprehensive 12-month |
| | | degrees, by several dimensions including | process. The 2022 TSDR is based |
| | | geographic areas, thereby providing policy | on 2022 base-year data, and |
| | | makers and interested stakeholders with an | was constructed throughout |
| | | impartial perspective on the current state of | 2023 on its usual 12-month |
| | | supply and demand in the Victorian | project cycle, consistent with |
| | | education system. As part of the annual | previous reports. For example, |
| | | development of the TSDR, DE updates the | the 2020 Victorian Teacher |

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|------------|---|--|--|
| | | information in the report to include the latest information and trends and seeks to improve | Supply and Demand Report was published in January 2022, the |
| | | the information presented to support a range | 2021 Victorian Teacher Supply |
| | | of purposes and audiences. There is a lag in | and Demand Report was |
| | | the timeframe for the publication of the data. | published in June 2023, and the |
| | | This is due to the time taken to collect and | 2022 Victorian Teacher Supply |
| | | analyse the data, construct teacher supply | and Demand Report was |
| | | and demand modelling, and prepare the | published in March 2024. |
| Education | Recommendation 17: The Department of Education | report content. 'Support-in-principle' | The department has included 2 |
| Education | enhance its reporting on how it is meeting teacher | Support-in-principle | new measures in 2024–25 |
| | workforce supply and demand issues including: | In relation to creating performance measures related to the funding provided for teaching | related to workforce attraction and retention: |
| | creating performance measures related to the | workforce attraction and retention: | |
| | funding provided for teaching workforce attraction and retention, including Targeted Initiatives to Attract More Teachers as funded in the 2023–24 Budget creating and publishing a workforce strategy demonstrating what actions will be taken to meet the demand for government teachers over an appropriate period of time. | DE is developing performance measures for funding provided for teaching workforce attraction and retention to add to the 2024– 25 performance statement. In relation to creating and publishing a workforce strategy: Since 2019, the Government has invested over \$1.6 billion in school workforce | Number of teacher targeted financial incentives allocated Growth in commencements in secondary and dual primary/secondary ITE courses |
| | | initiatives. This investment has focused on 5 strategic priorities: Attraction, Recruitment, Supporting early-career teachers, Retention and Career development. DE has published a summary of investment across these 5 priorities (https://www.vic.gov.au/ensuringstrong- sustainable-and-supported-school- | |

| Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|---|---|---|
| | workforce). DE intends to continue to update its workforce strategy based on evaluation findings, the changing education labour market and ongoing consultation with stakeholders | |
| Recommendation 18: The Victorian School Building Authority provide information on its website regarding how the location of the 50 planned Government owned and operated early learning centres has been chosen. This should include reporting on the consultation process to determine the remaining 26 locations, and information regarding how each of the 50 locations are targeting areas most in need and reducing inequity of access. | 'Support' DE will update the Victorian School Building Authority website to include information addressing how locations are chosen and how final sites are selected. | The department notes that recommendation 18 has now been implemented. The 'remaining 26 locations' referenced in recommendation18 have now been announced and are on the Victorian School Building Authority (VSBA) website. The website now also includes an explanation about the consultation process. |
| | - | - |
| a. Total number of early childhood teachers delivering a funded kindergarten program The Department of Education (DE) advises the purpose of this measure is to 'reflect the early childhood teaching workforce' and provide 'workforce insights on funded kindergarten programs each year'. While the Committee supports the rationale of the measure and notes the importance of growing the early childhood workforce in the context of the Best | 'Under review' The Best-Start-Best-Life-Workforce-Strategy outlines in detail the current policy settings to support the delivery of BSBL reforms through workforce attraction, retention and quality. More detailed workforce metrics for Victoria's early childhood teaching workforce are outlined in the department's Victorian Teacher Supply and Demand Report, which | |
| | Recommendation 18: The Victorian School Building Authority provide information on its website regarding how the location of the 50 planned Government owned and operated early learning centres has been chosen. This should include reporting on the consultation process to determine the remaining 26 locations, and information regarding how each of the 50 locations are targeting areas most in need and reducing inequity of access. Recommendation 19: The Department of Education ad measures identified by the Committee through further <i>a.</i> Total number of early childhood teachers delivering a funded kindergarten program The Department of Education (DE) advises the purpose of this measure is to 'reflect the early childhood teaching workforce' and provide 'workforce insights on funded kindergarten programs each year'. While the Committee supports the rationale of the measure and notes the importance of growing the | 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire workforce). DE intends to continue to update its workforce strategy based on evaluation findings, the changing education labour market and ongoing consultation with stakeholders Recommendation 18: The Victorian School Building Authority provide information on its website regarding how the location of the 50 planned Government owned and operated early learning centres has been chosen. This should include reporting on the consultation process to determine the remaining 26 locations, and information regarding how each of the 50 locations are targeting areas most in need and reducing inequity of access. DE will update the Victorian School Building Authority website to include information addressing how locations are chosen and how final sites are selected. Recommendation 19: The Department of Education address the shortcomings identified by the Committee delivering a funded kindergarten programs each year'. 'Under review' The Department of Education (DE) advises the purpose of this measure is to 'reflect the early childhood teaching workforce' and provide 'workforce insights on funded kindergarten programs each year'. 'Under review' While the Committee supports the rationale of the measure and notes the importance of growing the early childhood workforce in the context of the Best More detailed workforce metrics for Victoria's early childhood teaching workforce are outilined in the department's Victorian Teacher Supply and Demand Report, which |

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|------------|---|---|---|
| | departmental performance regarding the early | education enrolments, workforce | |
| | childhood workforce with this measure, as it only | demographics, kindergarten program | |
| | measures the number of teachers. | enrolments, and anticipated workforce | |
| | | demand over time. The Department of | |
| | No context is provided regarding how the target was | Education will consider ways to further | |
| | set, how many services there are, how many teachers | improve performance information in this | |
| | are needed, or whether DE has met its specified | area. | |
| | objectives regarding the workforce. | | |
| | Further performance measures should be created to | | |
| | demonstrate DE's performance regarding the early | | |
| | childhood workforce. | | |
| Education | b. Percentage of government primary school | 'Support' | The department has included 2 |
| | teachers in ongoing employment | | new measures in 2024–25 |
| | | DE is developing performance measures for | related to workforce attraction |
| | b. Percentage of government secondary school | funding provided for teaching workforce | and retention: |
| | teachers in ongoing employment | attraction and retention to add to the 2024– | |
| | | 25 performance statement. | |
| | The new measures are useful to demonstrate the | | Number of teacher targeted |
| | stability of the government school teaching | The Victorian Teacher Supply and Demand | financial incentives |
| | workforce and will show a growth or decline in trends | Report provides comprehensive workforce | allocated |
| | in ongoing employment over time. | metrics on Victoria's education system. | • Growth in commencements in secondary and dual |
| | DE notes that both measures were created in part to | This includes detailed workforce metrics for | primary/secondary ITE |
| | respond to a Committee recommendation in the | Victoria's government school system for both | courses |
| | Report on the 2022–23 Budget Estimates. | primary and secondary education, including | |
| | | attraction (i.e., registered teachers and | |
| | The recommendation stated: The 2023–24 Budget | teachers employed), retention (i.e., attrition), | |
| | include performance measures to track the outcomes | and increasing teachers (i.e., forecast | The 2022 Teacher Supply and |
| | achieved by investments to attract, retain and | demand over time). The Victorian Teacher | Demand report was published in |
| | increase the numbers of teachers across | Supply and Demand Report also provides | March 2024. |
| | metropolitan, rural and regional Victoria. | detailed geographic breakdowns of key | |

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | DE does not currently have other performance measures that demonstrate performance in attracting, retaining and increasing teachers. Additionally, there are no measures of workforce trends in different areas in Victoria. DE's performance measures and response to the Committee's recommendation would be improved by further measures addressing these gaps. | workforce metrics including no appointment rates, vacancy rates, and attrition. | |
| Education | c. Apparent retention rate, full-time students, Year 7/8 to 12 While the Committee supports the reasoning given for amending this performance measure, the resulting name change and information provided on the methodology for calculating the result is unclear. The methodology provided states the outcome is calculated by using the total number of Year 7 students in a given year, while the name of the measure suggests Year 8 students may also be taken into account. The Committee suggests DE rename the measure to clarify the method of calculation. | 'Support' DE supports the recommended changes. DE will revise this measure in its 2024–25 Budget Statement. | The department has reviewed this recommendation and has opted to continue to use the publicly reported measure drawn from the Report on Government Services (RoGS) to: Ensure National consistency as required under the Resource Management Framework. Distinguish from other reported measures which use a different methodology to that used in RoGS. |
| Education | <i>d.</i> Aboriginal student attendance rate, all schools, years 7 to 10 This new measure has replaced the measure 'Average days lost due to absence for Aboriginal students in Years 7–12' as the new measure is nationally comparable. The new measure results in a loss of | 'Not support' DE does not support the recommended performance measure changes. The national attendance measures for Years 7 to 10 that DE recently introduced from the Reporting on Government Services provide more robust | Nil |

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| | information about Aboriginal student absence and | and nationally comparable performance | |
| | attendance in Years 11 and 12, which is important as | information. DE uses attendance information | |
| | attendance is likely lower in these years, yet vital for | from other states to benchmark performance | |
| | student outcomes in their last year(s) of schooling. | targets for this cohort of students. Unlike | |
| | The Committee suggests DE create a new measure to | Years 7 to 10, collecting standardised | |
| | demonstrate attendance of Aboriginal students in | attendance information for Years 11 to 12 | |
| | Years 11 and 12 | presents unique challenges. | |
| | | Senior secondary students take varied | |
| | | pathway options, attending vocational | |
| | | education and training, apprenticeship and | |
| | | work placements, and part-time employment | |
| | | in addition to traditional academic routes. | |
| | | This diversity can make it challenging to track | |
| | | and report attendance for Years 11 to 12 | |
| | | students in a standardized manner. Year 12 | |
| | | completion outcomes provide more accurate | |
| | | performance information for Years 11 to 12. | |
| | | It should be noted that for the reasons stated | |
| | | above, there is no national reporting of Year | |
| | | 11 and 12 attendance. | |
| Education | e. Inner regional attendance rate, all schools, Years 7 to 10 | 'Not support' | Nil |
| | | DE does not support the recommended | |
| | e. Outer regional attendance rate, all schools, | performance measure changes. The national | |
| | Years 7 to 10 | attendance measures for Years 7 to 10 that | |
| | | DE recently introduced from the Reporting on | |
| | The Committee commends DE for establishing | Government Services provide more robust | |
| | measures relating to attendance that are broken | and nationally comparable performance | |
| | down into inner and outer regional areas. However, | information. DE uses attendance information | |
| | issues with this performance measure are similar to | from other states to benchmark performance | |

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| | the issues outlined above. An understanding of | targets for this cohort of students. Unlike | |
| | attendance in Years 11 and 12 are important. The | Years 7 to 10, collecting standardised | |
| | Committee suggests DE establish such measures for | attendance information for Years 11 to 12 | |
| | inner and outer regional students. | presents unique challenges. | |
| | | Senior secondary students take varied | |
| | | pathway options, attending vocational | |
| | | education and training, apprenticeship and | |
| | | work placements, and part-time employment | |
| | | in addition to traditional academic routes. | |
| | | This diversity can make it challenging to track | |
| | | and report attendance for Years 11 to 12 | |
| | | students in a standardized manner. Year 12 | |
| | | completion outcomes provide more accurate | |
| | | performance information for Years 11 to 12. | |
| | | It should be noted that for the reasons stated | |
| | | above, there is no national reporting of Year | |
| | | 11 and 12 attendance. | |
| Education | f. Number of school campuses supported by | 'Support' | The Department has reviewed |
| | the Mental Health in Primary Schools | | this recommendation and |
| | program | DE is proposing to revise its methodology | advises that demand measures |
| | | with this measure to better demonstrate | are not appropriate as this is not |
| | The Committee believes the Mental Health in Primary | access and demand to the program. Changes | a demand driven program. |
| | Schools initiative is important to report on and has | for this measure will be considered for | |
| | discussed the initiative multiple times across previous | introduction in the next budget cycle. | The department will consider |
| | reports. However, measures such as these that only | | options for including further |
| | provide a number often do not aid in the | | output performance measures |
| | understanding of performance by the department. | | related to delivery of mental |
| | With a target of 474 schools in 2023–24, the Victorian | | health supports to schools for |
| | public will be able to see how the initiative is rolled | | inclusion in the 2025-26 |
| | out to further schools over multiple years. This is the | | performance statement. |

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | only information gained from this measure. No information regarding the proportion of schools who | | |
| | have access to the program (out of all government | | |
| | schools or those eligible), demand for the program, | | |
| | or the quality of the program is provided. Considering | | |
| | the importance of the initiative, it is vital access and | | |
| | quality are measured to demonstrate how DE is | | |
| | performing when delivering this initiative. | | |
| Education | Recommendation 20. The Department of Education review the discontinued performance measures outlined by the Committee regarding primary and secondary school education: | | |
| | a. Proportion of identified schools that | 'Support-in-principle' | Development of new |
| | subsequently improved their performance | | methodology in progress with |
| | | DE is revising its school performance | the intention of including a new |
| | DE advised that the measure is proposed to be | methodology. DE will consider the inclusion | performance measure in the |
| | discontinued as 'the underlying methodology for | of new BP3 performance measures at the | 2025-26 performance |
| | calculating school performance is being reviewed in | conclusion of this process, which is due for | statement. |
| | conjunction with the revisions to the National | completion in October 2024. | |
| | Assessment Program–Literacy and Numeracy | | |
| | (NAPLAN)'. | | |
| | The Committee supports this reasoning. However, DE | | |
| | no longer has performance measures in the School | | |
| | Education – Primary output that directly demonstrate | | |
| | schools improving their performance. Improved | | |
| | learning and development, and improved literacy and | | |
| | numeracy skills are listed objectives of DE. | | |
| | Therefore, the Committee recommends that in the | | |
| | next budget, or when appropriate following the | | |
| | revisions to the NAPLAN, DE creates performance | | |
| | measures relating to school performance across | | |
| | relevant outputs | | |

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | Update on status of implementation |
|------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | b. Percentage of government schools | 'Not support' | Nil. |
| | compliant with the Child Safety Standards | | |
| | three months after review | DE takes child safety very seriously and | |
| | | continues to measure, monitor and report on | |
| | DE reports that this measure has been discontinued | government schools' compliance with the | |
| | as it does not meet the Resource Management | Child Safety Standards. DE is the review body | |
| | Framework criteria for usefulness or relevance. With | appointed by Victoria's education and | |
| | the discontinuation of this measure the output does | training regulator, the VRQA, to assess | |
| | not have any measures associated with the Child | schools for compliance with the Minimum | |
| | Safety Standards, or presumably the work DE does to | Standards for school registration including | |
| | ensure government schools are compliant with | the Child Safe Standards. | |
| | Standards and legislation relating to child safety. The | | |
| | Committee considers it important that there are | This arrangement is governed by an MOU | |
| | some measures relating to how schools meet such | that includes performance measures and | |
| | standards. | reporting requirements. School sector Child | |
| | | Safe Standards' compliance results are | |
| | | publicly reported in the Commission for | |
| | | Children and Young People's annual report. | |
| | | DE does not support the inclusion of a new | |
| | | BP3 reporting measure, noting that the | |
| | | measure was discontinued due to revisions to | |
| | | the DE objectives and output group structure. | |
| | c. Average days lost due to absence in Years 11 | 'Not support' | Nil. |
| | and 12 | | |
| | | DE does not support the recommended | |
| | Several of DE's performance measures relating to | performance information changes. Collecting | |
| | average days lost for different year levels have been | and reporting standardised attendance | |
| | replaced by new measures in 2023–24. This measure | information for Years 11 to 12 presents | |
| | has been discontinued and has not been replaced 'as | unique challenges compared to Years 7 to 10. | |
| | there is no nationally comparable measure for senior | As noted above, Senior Secondary students | |
| | secondary student attendance'. Attendance for Years | take varied pathway options, attending | |

| Department | Recommendation supported by Government | Actions taken at the time of | Update on status of |
|------------|---|---|---------------------|
| | | 2024-25 Budget Estimate questionnaire | implementation |
| | 11–12 is now no longer covered by DE's performance | vocational education and training, | |
| | measure. The Committee does not see the inability to | apprenticeships and work placements, and | |
| | compare the measure nationally as a reason to | part-time employment in addition to | |
| | discontinue, as the comparison is still valid in Victoria | traditional academic routes. | |
| | year-on-year. Further, attendance in Years 11 and 12 | | |
| | are likely both lower than other years, and important | This diversity can make it challenging to track | |
| | for student outcomes for all available study options in | and report attendance for Years 11 to 12 | |
| | these years of schooling. The Committee suggests the | students in a standardized manner. Year 12 | |
| | measure be retained, or a new measure created to | completion outcomes provide more accurate | |
| | allow for performance information on attendance in | performance information for senior students. | |
| | Years 11 and 12 to be recorded. | It should be noted that for the reasons stated | |
| | | above, there is no national reporting of Year | |
| | | 11 and 12 attendance | |

Community consultation on budget initiatives

Question 31

With regard to the new initiatives in the 2024-25 Budget, which relevant and interested community groups and stakeholders did the department consult or engage with? Please detail the budget initiatives' consultation related to and the final outcomes of consultation.

Response

The department consults with a range of peak bodies, associations, indigenous bodies, community groups, other government agencies and other stakeholders in the course of its usual business and in the formulation of policy. Relevant stakeholder input was considered in the preparation of budget initiatives.

Early Intervention Investment Framework

Question 32

a) Please list all initiatives in the 2024-25 Budget for the department that were subject to an early intervention investment framework proposal.

Response

Please refer to the Whole of Victorian Government response provided in the Department of Treasury and Finance response to Question 32.

- b) What are the avoided costs expected as a result of the initiatives
 - i. Over 2024-25 and the forward estimates (if known)?
 - ii. Over the medium term (e.g. the next 5 to 15 years) (if known)?
 - iii. Over the long term (e.g. the next 16-30 years) (if known)?

Response

Please refer to the Whole of Victorian Government response provided in the Department of Treasury and Finance response to Question 32.

c) What are the expected outcome measures associated with the initiatives?

Response

Please refer to the Whole of Victorian Government response provided in the Department of Treasury and Finance response to Question 32.

Victoria's Housing Statement

Question 33

a) Please list the Department's output and asset initiatives in the 2024-25 Budget that will deliver on outcomes outlined in *Victoria's Housing Statement: The decade ahead 2024-2034.*⁷

⁷ Department of Premier and Cabinet, *Victoria's Housing Statement: The decade ahead 2024-2034, Melbourne,* 2023, <<u>https://content.vic.gov.au/sites/default/files/2023-09/DTP0424_Housing_Statement_v6_FA_WEB.pdf</u>>

DE

Response

| Initiative | 2023-24 funding (\$ | 2024-25 funding (\$ | 2025-26 funding (\$ | 2026-27 funding (\$ | 2027-28 funding (\$ |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | million) | million) | million) | million) | million) |
| Not applicable. | | | | | |

b) What will be the impact of the initiatives on

- i. Housing affordability
- ii. Victoria's planning system
- iii. Housing supply
- iv. The regulation of rental properties
- v. Social housing supply

| Initiative | Impact | Timeframe (e.g. 1-5 years, 5-10 years) | Housing affordability (if applicable) | Victoria's planning system (if applicable) | Housing supply (if applicable) | The regulation of rental properties (if applicable) | Social housing supply (if applicable) |
|-----------------|--------|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Not applicable. | | | | | | | |

Cyber security

Question 34

a) What actions has the department taken over 2023-24, and plans to take over 2024-25, to improve cyber security and mitigate the risk of a cyberattack or data breach?

| | Cyber security and cyber-attack risk mitigation measures planned by department |
|---------|---|
| 2023-24 | Cylance – Cylance is an endpoint antimalware protection service deployed on servers running throughout all Victorian government |
| | schools. The department has uplifted the policies of detection and response upgrading all 31,000 servers to block anomalies and |
| | malicious behaviour to prevent the onset of ransomware impacting schools. |
| | Microsoft Defender – Microsoft Defender is an endpoint antimalware protection service deployed on Windows workstations within |
| | both the department and across Victorian government schools. The department has enrolled over 7,000 corporate devices that are |
| | actively protected and now reporting malicious behaviour. The department also commenced rollout in 2024 into schools and has to |
| | date deployed a further 8,497 agents onto school devices to provide active protection for teachers and administration staff. |
| | MFA – Multi-factor authentication (MFA) is a security measure that requires two or more proofs of identity to grant access and |
| | protect information from unauthorised access. MFA has been turned on all administrator accounts which are used to administer |
| | corporate systems. MFA is further extended to student and staff accounts accessing school management platforms which will help |
| | prevent unauthorised access by threat actors that steal student and teacher credentials. 172 schools have been onboarded so far. |
| | Victorian Protective Data Security Standards for Schools – The department has a reporting obligation to the Office of the Victorian |
| | Information Commissioner against the Victorian Protective Data Security Standards, a set of mandatory controls across a number of |
| | areas including governance, information, personnel, Information Communications Technology and physical security. As part of 2024 |
| | reporting activities, 102 schools have provided maturity information to the department regarding local controls. The department |
| | will use this information to drive improvement initiatives into 24-25. |
| | Child Safety Monitor – This tool is used to highlight misconfigured settings in 487 school-managed Google and M365 collaboration |
| | tenancies. To date, all but 24 schools have enrolled. This tool will provide critical vulnerability advice to schools regarding |
| | misconfigurations which could results in data breach and data loss. |
| | Zscaler - Zscaler is a secure web gateway providing cyber threat protection. Over 708 schools have been migrated from a public |
| | instance to a private secure edge which has enhanced protection as private secure edges are installed in a department's data |

| | centre, and dedicated to the department's internet traffic policies. |
|---------|--|
| | Google and Microsoft Tenancy Rollouts – The Google and Microsoft M365 collaboration platform migration project is designed to move schools to safer, hardened and monitored department managed collaboration platforms. Moving to department managed environments helps ensure optimal security configurations and reduces risks regarding data breaches, account compromise and malicious exposure of data. Three pilot M365 migrations were successfully completed in January 2024, and 12 schools using Googl have been migrated with an additional 82 schools approached. Mobile Application Management – As part of restricting the use of TikTok on government devices, the department has embarked on implementing restrictive controls on personal-use devices to prevent access indvertent access to government data by platforms such as TikTok. In addition, these restrictive controls also prevent government sensitive information from being copied and shared beyond department-approved applications. Phishing – During financial year 2024, the department undertook a formalised cyber education program to simulate social engineering tests designed to evaluate the susceptibility of staff to phishing attacks. Over 23,000 corporate staff, school Business Managers and Principals were enrolled and tested. The testing has led to strengthening in cyber training to assist Business |
| | Managers. |
| 2024-25 | SIEM - The Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) project aims to introduce a SIEM capability to the department's distributed schools, enabling the centralisation, standardisation, and curation of security and event logs which will drastically improve the ability for the department to detect and respond to security incidents when they occur. It is intended to apply this service to 440 schools by June 2025. Asset Discovery - Design commenced in April 2024 with rollouts planned for all schools commencing n 2024-25. The solution will provide unified asset visibility and security on all devices that are connected to a school's network, enabling the department to observe and manage risks associated with vulnerable assets and manufacturer end-of-life maintenance plans. MFA - Work will continue to progress MFA on student management systems to cover all schools. Zscaler - Work will continue to progress the migration to Zscaler to an additional 868 schools. Google and Microsoft Tenancy Rollouts - Work will continue to progress the migration to Zscaler to an additional 264 schools. Mobile Application Management – Work will continue to rollout this capability across all department staff ensuring all staff using personal-devices for work purposes comply with the department's usage policies. Phishing – In financial year 2025, phishing simulations will be expanded to target 10% of teachers in schools to evaluate their level of cyber awareness and propensity to be misguided by malicious emails. |

b) What resources in terms of funding levels and staffing has the department assigned to cyber security for 2023-24 and 2024-25?

Response

| | Department cyber security funding (\$million) | Staff (Equivalent FTE) | |
|---------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| 2023-24 | \$18 million | 57 staff (including temp labour) | |
| 2024-25 | \$19.6 million | 47 staff (including temp labour) | |

If the department (or any of the department's agencies) have experienced a cyber-attack or data breach since 2021:

c) What was the impact of this data breach on the department/agency's resources, staffing, services provided to the community and ongoing support to individuals impacted by the cybersecurity event?

| Cyber-attack/data breach | Impact on department/agency resources | Impact on staffing | Impact on services provided by department/agency to community | Ongoing support to individuals impacted by cyber-attack or data breach |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Instances of internal actors (students) involved in malicious activities when using student management platforms that resulted in limited breach of student and staff data. | No impact on resources. | No direct impact on staffing and support was provided by relevant staff. Department cybersecurity team led the incident response and worked with the school and third party supplier to strengthen security on the | Students accessing sensitive data on Compass (a Student Management System) resulted in privacy breach and operational disruption to the school. | Notification/support to the affected individuals led by Privacy Team. |

| | | platform and uplift password policies and authentication mechanisms by way of implementing MFA. School specialist technicians were supported by DE's cybersecurity team to contain and remediate the incident. | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---|
| A School hit by Ransomware. | No impact on resources. | No direct impact on staffing and support was provided by relevant staff. Department cybersecurity team led the response for this incident which occurred during the Christmas break of 2022. After containing the incident, security was strengthened by way of network and server hardening, by implementing MFA and uplifting Cylance policies. | Limited operational disruption to learning delivery in the school as the incident occurred during the Christmas break of 2022. The school was completely down for a period of 3 weeks. | Notification/support to the affected individuals led by privacy team. |

| | | School specialist technicians were supported by DE's cybersecurity team and other IMTD teams to rebuild the infrastructure. | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| B School hit by Ransomware. | No impact on resources. | No direct impact on staffing with support provided by relevant staff. Department cybersecurity team led the response for this incident. Following incident containment, security was strengthened by way of network and server hardening and by implementing MFA. School specialist technicians were supported by DE's cybersecurity team and other IMTD teams to rebuild the infrastructure. | Limited operational disruption as a subset of servers were encrypted in the attack. These servers were built within a week and services restored. Security uplifted by server and network hardening and uplifting Cylance policies. | Notification/support to the affected individuals led by privacy team. |
| Instances of unauthorised | No impact on the resources. | No direct impact on | Limited operational | Notification/support to the |

| disclosure of information by | staffing and the below | disruption as the websites | affected individuals led by |
|------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| improperly configured | support was provided | were taken down and | privacy team. |
| websites and SharePoint | by relevant staff. | rebuild in some cases while | |
| sites. | Department cybersecurity team led the response for this incident and was supported by third- party website developers (directly engaged by the school) and DE SharePoint team. Security was uplifted by implementing proper access control and patching vulnerabilities. | vulnerabilities were patched on others. | |

d) What measures were implemented after the event to improve cyber security?

Response

The department has undertaken several measures to reduce the likelihood of cyber incidents. These measures included the rollout of multi-factor authentication, uplifting Cylance endpoint detection policies to protect against malware and ransomware, strengthening of procurement procedures to undertake extensive security assessments of third parties and products in use, the deployment of Defender for Endpoint into school devices to protect local activity, and attack surface reduction efforts through annual penetration testing of the school networks and services.

Cyber security – DGS only

Question 35

Victoria's Cyber Strategy 2021: A Cyber Safe Victoria comprises three core missions:

- 1. the safe and reliable delivery of government services
- 2. a cyber safe place to work, live and learn
- 3. a vibrant cyber economy
- a) For 2023-24 and 2024-25, what are the priorities, actions and anticipated target state once the actions are completed DGS has planned to improve cyber security across government services and prevent possible cyber-attacks and data breaches?

Response

| | Priorities | Actions | Target state once actions have been completed |
|---------|------------|---------|--|
| 2023-24 | | | |
| 2024-25 | | | |

b) Has a Mission Delivery Plan (an annual update of the Cyber Strategy) for 2024-25 been prepared, and if yes, when will this be made publicly available?

Response

c) If not, what actions is DGS taking to inform the community of measures it is implementing to protect against cyber-crime and data breaches?

Health spending – DH only

Question 36

- a) When comparing one year to the next from 2020-21 to the forecast for 2025-26, please state the amount of funding provided to each of the below service types. Where the year-on-year variance is +/- 5 per cent, please provide an explanation for the increase/decrease in spending for the service type:
 - Primary and community health
 - Ambulance services
 - Public hospitals
 - Services for mental health

Guidance

The Committee notes that for the purposes of this question, the Committee uses the definitions of services in the sector as used in the Productivity Commission, Report on Government Services. See: https://www.pc.gov.au/ongoing/report-on-government-services/2022/health (accessed 15 December 2022).

Response

| | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | Reason for any year-on-year variances +/- 5% |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---|
| Primary and community | | | | | | | |
| health | | | | | | | |
| Ambulance services | | | | | | | |
| Public hospitals | | | | | | | |
| Services for mental health | | | | | | | |

b) Please explain how DH's 'Victorian public health and wellbeing outcomes framework' is used to inform funding allocations.

Response

c) How much did the Victorian Government spend overall on health in 2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24 and 2024-25. Where the year-on-year variance is +/- 5 per cent, please provide an explanation for the increase/decrease in spending.

| Year | Total health spending | Reason for any year-on-year variances +/- 5% |
|---------|-----------------------|--|
| 2020-21 | | |
| 2021-22 | | |
| 2022-23 | | |
| 2023-24 | | |
| 2024-25 | | |
| | | |

Large scale infrastructure projects – DTF/DTP only

Question 37

For the North-East Link, Melbourne Airport Rail, West Gate Tunnel, Suburban Rail Loop and the Level Crossing Removal Program please provide the information requested in the tables below regarding expenditure and outcomes.

Expenditure – response

| Project name | |
|---|--|
| Total estimated investment at announcement | |
| Total estimated investment in the 2024-25 Budget | |
| Actual cost of the program to date (i.e. cost since announcement) | |
| Amount allocated to the project/program in the 2024-25 Budget | |
| Amount forecast for the project/program in 2025-26 | |
| Amount forecast for the project/program in 2026-27 | |
| Amount forecast for the project/program in 2027-28 | |
| How the Department will report on expenditure in relation to the | |
| project/program as it progresses | |
| Cost/benefit ratio of the project/program | |

Outcomes – response

| Project name | |
|---|--|
| The outcomes achieved by the project/program to date | |
| The anticipated outcomes of the project/program in 2024-25 and across the forward estimates | |
| How the Department will report on the outcomes achieved by the project/program as it progresses | |

Economic forecast – DTF only

Question 38

Budget Paper No. 2: Strategy and Outlook, Table 2.1, provides forecasts for the following indicators:

- real gross state product
- employment
- unemployment rate
- consumer price index
- wage price index
- population.

Variance analysis

a) For each of the above indicators, please provide a detailed explanation for the variance when comparing the same year in the 2023-24 Budget, the 2023-24 Budget Update and the 2024-25 Budget, including the assumptions used to forecast the specific indicator.

Trend analysis

b) For each of the above indicators, when comparing one year to the next in the 2024-25 Budget, please explain the reason for the variance and provide details for any improvement or deterioration for the indicator.

Response

a)

| Economic indicator | |
|--|--|
| Year for which variance relates | |
| Forecast/projection in 2023-24 Budget | |
| Forecast/projection in 2023-24 Budget | |
| Update | |
| Assumptions used to forecast indicator | |
| Variance | |

| Economic indicator | |
|--|--|
| Year for which variance relates | |
| Forecast/projection in 2023-24 Budget | |
| Forecast/projection in 2024-25 Budget | |
| Assumptions used to forecast indicator | |
| Variance | |
| Reason for variance | |

| Economic indicator | |
|--|--|
| Year for which variance relates | |
| Forecast/projection in 2023-24 Budget | |
| Update | |
| Forecast/projection in 2024-25 Budget | |
| Assumptions used to forecast indicator | |
| Variance | |
| Reason for variance | |

| | 2022-23 Actual | 2023-24 Forecast | 2024-25 Forecast | 2025-26 Forecast | 2026-27 Projection | 2027-28 Projection |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Real gross state | | | | | | |
| product | | | | | | |
| Variance | | | | | | |
| Explanation for any | | | | | | |
| variance year over | | | | | | |
| year | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Employment | | | | | | |
| Variance | | | | | | |
| Explanation for any | | | | | | |
| variance year over | | | | | | |
| year | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Unemployment rate | | | | | | |
| Variance | | | | | | |
| Explanation for any | | | | | | |
| variance year over | | | | | | |
| year | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | • | |
| Consumer price | | | | | | |
| index | | | | | | |
| Variance | | | | | | |
| Explanation for any | | | | | | |
| variance year over | | | | | | |
| year | | | | | | |
| | | Γ | Γ | 1 | 1 | |
| Wage price index | | | | | | |
| Variance | | | | | | |
| Explanation for any | | | | | | |
| variance year over | | | | | | |
| year | | | | | | |

| Population | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Variance | | | |
| Explanation for any | | | |
| variance year over | | | |
| year | | | |

Grants – DTF only

Question 39

Budget Paper No. 5: Statement of Finances, Table 4.3, details the expected total grant revenue to be received by Victoria in 2023-24 by grant type.

For the 'General purpose grants – goods and services tax' line item if there is a variance:

- a) between the 2023-24 budget figure in the 2023-24 Budget and the 2023-24 revised figure in the 2024-25 Budget, please explain the:
 - i. reason for the variance
 - ii. impact of the variance on Victoria
 - iii. action taken in response to expected changes in the value of general purpose grants.
- b) from year to year in the 2024-25 Budget please explain the:
 - i. reason for any variance
 - ii. impact of the variance on Victoria
 - iii. action taken in response to expected changes in the value of general purpose grants.

Response

a)

| Line item | 2023-24 budget | 2023-24 revised | Variance 2023-24 budget vs. 2023-24 revised | Impact on Victoria | Action taken |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------|--------------|
| General purpose grants - goods and services tax | | | | | |

b)

| | 2023-24 revised | 2024-25 budget | 2025-26 estimate | 2026-27 estimate | 2027-28 estimate |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| General purpose grants – | | | | | |
| goods and services tax | | | | | |
| Variance | | | | | |
| Reason for any variance | | | | | |
| year over year | | | | | |
| Impact of the variance on | | | | | |
| Victoria | | | | | |
| Action taken in response to | | | | | |
| expected changes in the | | | | | |
| value of general purpose | | | | | |
| grants | | | | | |

Question 40 – DTF only

Budget Paper No. 5: Statement of Finances, Table 4.5, lists Commonwealth grants for specific purposes, with detailed tables by expenditure category in Tables 4.6 to 4.12.

For each line item of the detailed tables by expenditure labelled 'Other' in the 2024-25 Budget, for both years listed (2023-24 revised Budget and 2024-25 Budget) that has a value exceeding \$10 million, please provide details of the grants to which they relate.

| Table number | Grant details | 2023-24 revised Budget (\$ million) | 2024-25 Budget (\$ million) |
|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Equity funding – DTF only

Question 41

Does the Government expect to receive equity funding as an alternative to traditional grant payments made by the Commonwealth over 2024-25 and the forward estimates? If so, please detail which projects will receive this funding and the amount.

Response

202

Land transfer duty – DTF only

Question 42

Budget Paper No. 5: Statement of Finances, Table 4.2, provides taxation revenue forecasts across the forward estimates broken down by source.

For the 'Land transfer duty' line item if there is a variance greater than 5 per cent (positive or negative) or greater than \$50 million (positive or negative) when comparing:

Variance analysis

a) the same year in the 2023-24 Budget and the 2024-25 Budget, please explain the reason for the variance for each year.

Trend analysis

b) one year to the next in the 2024-25 Budget please explain the reason for the variance.

Response

a)

| Year for which variance relates | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Budget/estimate in 2023-24 Budget | |
| Budget/estimate in 2024-25 Budget | |
| Variance | |
| Reason for variance | |

b)

| | 2023-24 revised | 2024-25 budget | 2025-26 estimate | 2026-27 estimate | 2027-28 estimate |
|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Land transfer duty | | | | | |
| Variance | | | | | |
| Explanation for the variance | | | | | |
| year over year | | | | | |

Public Private Partnerships – modifications and accountability – DTF only

Question 43

Please detail all Public Private Partnerships (PPP) currently under construction in the 2024-25 year as per the 2024-25 Budget, which in comparison to the 2023-24 Budget have changed their:

- name
- scope
- Total Estimated Investment (by greater than 5 per cent (positive or negative))
- timelines (including estimated completion date and key stages/milestones of the project)
- which government entity and portfolio is responsible for delivery of the project or components of the project.

Please provide an explanation for these changes.

Response

| | 2023-24 Budget | 2024-25 Budget | Explanation for change |
|--|----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Name | | | |
| Scope | | | |
| Total Estimated Investment | | | |
| Timelines | | | |
| Government entity and portfolio responsible for delivery | | | |
| - | | | |
| Name | | | |
| Scope | | | |
| Total Estimated Investment | | | |
| Timelines | | | |
| Government entity and portfolio responsible for delivery | | | |

Net Debt – DTF only

Question 44

Budget Paper No. 2: Strategy and Outlook, Table 1.1, provides general government fiscal aggregates for net debt and net debt to gross state product (GSP).

Variance analysis

a) For the 'Net debt' and 'Net debt to GSP' line items, please explain the reason for the variance when comparing the same year in the 2023-24 Budget the 2023-24 Budget Update and the 2024-25 Budget.

Trend analysis

b) For the 'Net debt' and 'Net debt to GSP' line items, when comparing one year to the next in the 2024-25 Budget, please explain the reason for the variance, including the major projects that contributed to any variance in net debt.

Risks underpinning assumptions in the 2023-24 Budget

- c) Noting the revisions to the forecasts/estimates for debt, inflation, wages and unemployment made in the 2023-24 Budget, please explain:
 - i. how the Victorian Future Fund (VFF) is controlling State debt
 - ii. what impacts these revisions could have on Victoria's credit rating
 - iii. what impact inflation could have on the State's debt repayment forecasts.

Refinancing debt

d) What proportion of net debt is existing loans that will be subject to refinancing?

Impact of debt on service delivery

e) What impact does State debt and interest payments have on Government service and infrastructure delivery? Please list the five most significant impacts.

Response

a)

| Year for which variance relates | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Forecast/estimate in 2023-24 Budget | |
| Forecast/estimates in the 2023-24 | |
| Budget Update | |
| Forecast/estimate in 2024-25 Budget | |
| Reason for variance | |

b)

| | 2023-24 budget | 2024-25 estimate | 2025-26 estimate | 2026-27 estimate | 2027-28 estimate |
|------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Net debt | | | | | |
| Variance | | | | | |
| Explanation for any variance | | | | | |
| year over year | | | | | |
| List of major projects that | | | | | |
| contributed | | | | | |
| Net debt to GSP | | | | | |
| Variance | | | | | |
| Explanation for any variance | | | | | |
| year over year | | | | | |

c)

| Noting the revisions to forecasts/estimates for debt, inflation, wages and unemployment made in the 2023-24 Budget | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Explain how the VFF is controlling State debt | | | | |
| Explain what impacts these revisions could have | | | | |
| on Victoria's credit rating | | | | |
| Explain what impact inflation could have on the | | | | |
| State's debt repayment forecasts | | | | |

d)

| Net debt | Proportion that is subject to refinancing |
|-----------|---|
| June 2025 | |
| June 2026 | |
| June 2027 | |
| June 2028 | |
| June 2029 | |

e)

| | Impact |
|----|--------|
| 1. | |
| 2. | |

| 3. | |
|----|--|
| 4. | |
| 5. | |

Medium term fiscal strategy – DTF only

Question 45

The 2020-21 Budget Paper No. 2: Strategy and Outlook outlined a medium-term fiscal strategy involving four steps:

- Step 1: creating jobs, reducing unemployment and restoring economic growth;
- Step 2: returning to an operating cash surplus;
- Step 3: returning to operating surplus; and
- Step 4: stabilising debt levels.

Response

- a) How does DTF measure the effectiveness of the fiscal strategy?
- b) For the following components, please quantify and provide the financial year this is expected to be realised:
- operating cash surplus
- operating surplus

| | \$ million | Financial year |
|------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Operating cash surplus | | |
| Operating surplus | | |
| Debt levels | | |

debt levels

- c) What impact does the current global situation, characterised by international unrest and the rising cost of living, have on the level of economic uncertainty in the State's 2024-25 Budget?
- d) What does DTF's modelling forecast in terms of slower/negative economic growth in 2024-25 and across the forward estimates?
- e) What impact will the Commonwealth Government's new *Migration Strategy*⁸ have on Victoria's population growth, international education market and economic growth over 2024-25 and 2025-26?

Long term financial management objectives – DTF only

The 2023-24 Budget Paper No. 2: Strategy and Outlook outlined five longer term financial management objectives:

- 1. Sound financial management Victoria's finances will be managed in a responsible manner to provide capacity to fund services and infrastructure and support households and businesses at levels consistent with sound financial management.
- 2. Improved services Public services will improve over time.
- 3. Building infrastructure Public infrastructure will grow steadily over time to meet the needs of a growing population.

- 4. Efficient use of public resources Public sector resources will be invested in services and infrastructure to maximise the economic, social and environmental benefits.
- 5. A resilient economy Increase economic resilience by supporting an innovative and diversified economy that will unlock employment growth, long-term economic growth and productivity in Victoria.

To support the long term financial management objectives, four financial measures and targets have been set:

- 1. Net debt to GSP General government net debt as a percentage of GSP to stabilise in the medium term.
- 2. Interest expense to revenue General government interest expense as a percentage of revenue to stabilise in the medium term.
- 3. Superannuation liabilities Fully fund the unfunded superannuation liability by 2035.
- 4. Operating cash surplus A net operating cash surplus consistent with maintaining general government net debt at a sustainable level.

Question 46

⁸ Commonwealth of Australia, *Migration Strategy 2023*, Canberra, December 2023, <<u>https://immi.homeaffairs.gov.au/programs-subsite/migration-strategy/Documents/migration-strategy.pdf</u>>, accessed 1 March 2024.

For the 'interest expense to revenue' target:

a) What is the percentage of interest expense to revenue target DTF is aiming for, and what timeframe (calculated in months or years) is meant by 'medium term'?

Response

b) Are general government interest expenses expected to increase or decrease over the 2024-25 Budget and forward estimates and what are the reasons for this?

Response

c) What would be the impact of increasing interest expenses as a percentage of revenue on the longer term financial management objectives set by the government, particularly 'sound financial management', 'improved services', 'building public infrastructure' and 'efficient use of public resources'?

Response

Gender Responsive Budgeting Unit – DTF only

Question 47

a) What are the strategic objectives of the Gender Responsive Budgeting Unit (GRBU) in 2024-25?

Response

b) What are the outcomes/major achievements of the GRBU across the whole of Government and how is the GRBU's performance being tracked or measured in the 2024-25 year?

Response

c) What budget analyses have been undertaken with a gender lens (for example, baseline analysis, spending reviews, economic analysis of major investment proposals) and what were the key learnings/outcomes?

Response

d) What efforts have been made to advance gender equality through procurement processes? Please list any projects that have been undertaken, the budget allocated to support project implementation and the outcomes achieved.

Response

Question 9 - Capital asset expenditure

| Line item | 2021-22 actual (\$ million) | 2022-23 budget (\$ million) | 2022-23 revised (\$ million) | 2022-23 actual (\$ million) | 2023-24 budget (\$ million) | 2024-25 budget (\$ million) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Payment for non financial assets | 1,757.380 | 2,649.923 | 2,611.604 | 2,350.243 | 2,714.297 | 2,728.813 |
| Total | 1,757.380 | 2,649.923 | 2,611.604 | 2,350.243 | 2,714.297 | 2,728.813 |

| Production of the second s | 2021-22 actual | 2022-23 budget | 2022-23 revised | 2022-23 actual | 2023-24 budget | 2024-25 budget |
|--|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Capital projects | (\$ million) | (\$ million) | (\$ million) | (\$ million) | (\$ million) | (\$ million) |
| New | | | | | | |
| Accessible Buildings Program 2024-25 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 7.500 |
| Essential Maintenance and Compliance 2024-25 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.920 |
| Land acquisition 2024-25 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 68.924 |
| Capital Works Fund 2024-25 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 25.000 |
| New Schools Construction 2024-25 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 473.310 |
| Place-based education and wellbeing programs 2024-25 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.100 |
| Planning for growth 2024-25 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Relocatable Buildings Program 2024-25 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 152.400 |
| School upgrades: expansions 2024-25 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 32.281 |
| School upgrades 2024-25 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 24.638 |
| Puisting . | | | | | | |
| Existing | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.000 | 5.000 |
| Accessible Buildings Program 2023-24 Additional funding for 2022-23 New Schools Construction | 0.000 | 0.000 | 68.228 | 0.000 | 166.070 | 15.413 |
| | | | | | | |
| Best Start, Best Life: Infrastructure 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 8.169 | 0.110 | tbc | tbo |
| Contingency Fund for school upgrades 22-23 May-23 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 17.464 | 17.157 |
| Contingency fund for school upgrades 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.406 | |
| Delivering 15 hours of four-year-old kindergarten | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.000 | 1.252 |
| Essential maintenance and compliance 2021-22 | 3.930 | 56.600 | 15.492 | 8.519 | 59.579 | 1.000 |
| Essential maintenance and compliance 2022-23 | 0.000 | 2.400 | 1.039 | 0.475 | 86.261 | 60.580 |
| Essential maintenance and compliance 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.986 | 77.395 |
| Fighting for students with disability and their families 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.190 | 1.891 |
| Inclusive Schools Fund 2021-22 | 0.000 | 6.403 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 9.123 | 3.059 |
| Inclusive Schools Fund 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 10.000 | 10.000 |
| Land Acquisition 2021-22 | 100.527 | 67.463 | 56.195 | 42.932 | 153.772 | 86.164 |
| Land acquisition 2022-23 | 0.000 | 99.705 | 65.930 | 78.655 | 124.533 | 122.187 |
| Minor Capital Works Fund 2022-23 | 0.000 | 12.542 | 1.349 | 2.401 | 29.030 | 14.534 |
| Minor Capital Works Fund 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 10.000 | 10.000 |
| New schools construction 2022-23 | 0.000 | 247.913 | 182.088 | 291.274 | 277.096 | 18.270 |
| New Schools Construction 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 187.050 | 269.980 |
| New Schools Construction 23-24 Funding Dec-23 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 98.584 |
| New Tech Schools (Supporting our schools) 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 14.357 | 35.124 |
| Ready for school: Kinder for every three-year-old 2019-20 | 26.140 | 84.878 | 77.332 | 69.745 | 164.739 | 46.403 |
| Ready for school: kinder for every three-year-old 2021-22 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 44.819 |
| Ready for school: kinder for every three-year-old 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 19.486 | 43.901 |
| School Upgrade additional funding 22-23 Oct-22 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.489 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4.187 |
| School Upgrade impacted by insolvencies 23-24 Jul-23 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 20.120 |
| School Upgrade impacted by insolvencies 23-24 May-23 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.832 |
| School upgrades 2020-21 | 98.311 | 638.318 | 533.518 | 456.315 | 259.299 | 56.382 |
| School Upgrades 2020-21 Building Works | 211.515 | 81.166 | 105.852 | 88.539 | 0.644 | 0.536 |
| School upgrades 2021-22 | 12.479 | 162.940 | 106.265 | 86.586 | 239.451 | 38.665 |
| School upgrades 2022-23 | 0.000 | 7.992 | 9.466 | 9.090 | 106.485 | 147.195 |
| School Upgrades 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 13.210 | 108.930 |
| School Upgrades Delivery Fund 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 10.000 | 10.000 |
| School upgrades: established area growth for 2027 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.443 | 24.155 |
| School upgrades: Growth for 2024 2021-22 | 2.708 | 35.390 | 21.392 | 5.703 | 51.881 | 32.868 |
| School upgrades: Growth for 2025 2022-23 | 0.000 | 2.046 | 1.837 | 1.754 | 23.272 | 29.63 |
| Special school upgrades 2020-21 | 41.167 | 273.422 | 240.914 | 217.629 | 98.620 | 11.43 |
| Special school upgrades 2022-23 | 0.000 | 7.693 | 16.845 | 7.168 | 125.075 | 195.66 |
| Supporting teachers with detailed lesson planning and high quality curriculum | | | | | | |
| planning and assessment support 2023-24 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.526 | 1.17 |
| Victorian Academy of Teaching and Leadership 2021-22 | 8.403 | 16.306 | 19.031 | 15.691 | 3.645 | 2.210 |
| | | | | | | |
| Completed | | | | | | |
| Completed School Projects in 2023-24 (2024-25 BP4) | 301.796 | 427.692 | 434.150 | 427.928 | 289.476 | 39.31 |
| Schools Projects | 648.207 | 256.526 | 347.668 | 249.373 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| Child Link Program | 0.371 | 6.446 | 6.446 | 1.395 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| Doctors in schools 2021-22 | 10.932 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.000 | 0.00 |
| | | | | | | |
| Internally funded capital programs | | | | | | |
| Internal funding | 94.721 | 21.974 | 90.774 | 72.682 | 19.220 | 20.07 |
| Sub total | 1,561.206 | 2,515.865 | 2,413.518 | 2,134.014 | 2,584.389 | 2,517.519 |

| Line item | 2021-22 actual (\$ million) | 2022-23 budget (\$ million) | 2022-23 revised (\$ million) | 2022-23 actual (\$ million) | 2023-24 budget (\$ million) | 2024-25 budget (\$ million) |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Own sourced revenue funded capital projects | | | | | | |
| Government Schools | 46.198 | 37.797 | 33.348 | 70.384 | 27.564 | 32.807 |
| Victorian Curriculum Assessment Authority | 2.021 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.095 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Victorian Registration Qualifications Authority | 0.037 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.037 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Minor capital works funding and third-party contributions estimates not yet received in actuals | 21.375 | 50.195 | 18.937 | 11.758 | 38.063 | 57.697 |
| Other - Includes non-specific school capital contributions, asset write-downs, other | | | | | | |
| non-BP4 and leases realignments | 108.847 | 27.629 | 124.946 | 113.407 | 43.314 | 97.890 |
| Sub total | 178.480 | 115.622 | 177.231 | 196.682 | 108.941 | 188.394 |

| PPPs | 2021-22 actual (\$ million) | 2022-23 budget (\$ million) | 2022-23 revised (\$ million) | 2022-23 actual (\$ million) | 2023-24 budget (\$ million) | 2024-25 budget (\$ million) |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Partnerships Victoria in Schools | 11.483 | 12.213 | 13.810 | 12.763 | 14.299 | 15.728 |
| PPP New Schools | 6.211 | 6.223 | 7.045 | 6.784 | 6.669 | 7.173 |
| | | | | | | |
| Sub total | 17.694 | 18.436 | 20.855 | 19.547 | 20.967 | 22.900 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total Payment for non financial assets | 1,757.380 | 2,649.923 | 2,611.604 | 2,350.243 | 2,714.297 | 2,728.813 |
| | | | | | | |
| | Correct | Correct | Correct | Correct | Correct | Correct |

Please note the total of capital projects for each year is expected to reconcile to the total payments for non financial assets