



PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

**2015-16 FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES
GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE**

PUBLIC TRANSPORT VICTORIA

SECTION A: Output variances and program outcomes

Question 1 (all departments) Completed initiatives from past budgets

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 2 (departments only) Treasurer's advances and other budget supplementation

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 3 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Revenue certification

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION B: Asset investment (departments only)

Question 4 Details of actual investment and variance against budget – current projects

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 5 Details of actual investment and variance against budget – projects completed (or expected to be completed)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 6 Major Projects Victoria and high-value high-risk projects

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 7 PPP expenditure and line items

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 8 Net cash flows from investments in financial assets for policy purposes – GGS

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 9 Net cash flows from investments in financial assets for policy purposes – SoV

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 10 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Purchases of non-financial assets – government purpose classification

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION B: Asset investment (non-departments only)**Question 11 Details of actual investment and variance against budget for projects**

Please provide the following details for any asset investment project where actual expenditure in 2015-16 varied by \$±10 million or more from the initial budget estimate at the start of the relevant year (**not** the revised estimate).

If there were no asset investment projects for your agency where the actual expenditure varied by \$±10 million or more from the budget estimate, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant projects' in the table(s) below.

Project	Estimated expenditure in 2015-16 (2015-16 budget papers) (\$ million)	Actual expenditure in 2015-16 (\$ million)	Explanation for variance	Estimated financial completion date in 2015-16 budget papers	Estimated financial completion date in 2016-17 budget papers	Explanation for any changes to the estimated financial completion date
40 New trains for Melbourne commuters - stage 1 (metro various)	16.0	4.7	Project has reached practical completion and is now in defect liability period. Savings of around \$10 million were identified and re-prioritised to other projects.	qtr 4 2015-16	Estimated to be completed after publication date and before 30 June 2016	na
Flinders Street Station - PTV	22.4	3.4	The variance is due to the longer than expected time required to develop the scope and preparation of the Request for Tender. No impact to TEI or completion date expected.	qtr 4 2018-19	qtr 4 2018-19	na
High-capacity metro trains (metro various), including infrastructure upgrades	21.9	34.0	The variance is due to a variation to the phasing of expenditure. This will not impact overall TEI and expected completion.	qtr 4 2021-22	qtr 4 2021-22	na

Public Accounts and Estimates Committee: 2015-16 Financial and Performance Outcomes General Questionnaire

Project	Estimated expenditure in 2015-16 (2015-16 budget papers) (\$ million)	Actual expenditure in 2015-16 (\$ million)	Explanation for variance	Estimated financial completion date in 2015-16 budget papers	Estimated financial completion date in 2016-17 budget papers	Explanation for any changes to the estimated financial completion date
Life extension for Comeng trains (metro various)	15.0	0.1	The variance is due to the longer than expected time in receiving approval from the Office of the National Rail Safety Regulator for the change to accreditation required. The project schedule allows sufficient flexibility for this delay not to affect the project end date.	qtr 4 2018-19	qtr 4 2018-19	na
Metropolitan rolling stock (metro various)	41.3	29.2	Project has reached practical completion. Some works to be completed during defects liability period.	qtr 4 2015-16	Estimated to be completed after publication date and before 30 June 2016	na
New E-Class trams (metro various)	37.3	20.6	Variance due to lower milestone payments in 2015-16 for the 20 new trams. No impact of this to TEI and completion date expected.	qtr 2 2018-19	qtr 2 2018-19	na
Tram procurement and supporting infrastructure (metro various)	175.7	154.2	The variance is due to delays arising from addressing stakeholder issues on Route 96 and acquiring land for power substations.	qtr 4 2017-18	qtr 4 2017-18	na

Project	Estimated expenditure in 2015-16 (2015-16 budget papers) (\$ million)	Actual expenditure in 2015-16 (\$ million)	Explanation for variance	Estimated financial completion date in 2015-16 budget papers	Estimated financial completion date in 2016-17 budget papers	Explanation for any changes to the estimated financial completion date
Trial of high-capacity signalling - stage 1 (metro various) Note: This project was named <i>High capacity signalling trial – Stage 1 (metro various)</i> in the 2016-17 State Budget paper 4, pp 114.	20.7	1.2	The lower than expected expenditure and change to project schedule is due to ensuring the optimal service and value for money outcome through the alignment of the high capacity signalling project with the Melbourne Metro Rail and other metropolitan rail infrastructure upgrade projects.	qtr 4 2017-18	qtr 4 2018-19	The lower than expected expenditure and change to project schedule is due to ensuring the optimal service and value for money outcome through the alignment of the high capacity signalling project with the Melbourne Metro Rail and other metropolitan rail infrastructure upgrade projects.
X'Trapolis Trains - five six-car sets	7.2	20.2	The variance is due to earlier than expected delivery of train carriage shells. No impact to TEI or completion date expected.	qtr 4 2016-17	qtr 4 2016-17	na

Question 12 Details of actual investment and variance against budget for entities

Please detail the initial budget estimates (**not** the revised estimate) for 'purchases of non-financial assets' for 2015-16 (or equivalent line items in the cash flow statements) for your entity, the actual amounts of those line item in your annual reports and an explanation for any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million. Initial budget estimates for departments are listed in Department of Treasury and Finance, *Budget Paper No.5: 2015-16 Statement of Finances* (2015), p.40

Initial budget estimate for 2015-16 (\$ million)	Actual for 2015-16 (\$ million)	Explanation for any variance greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million
946.9	887.0	Variance reflects the timing of associated equity transfers of capital projects to VicTrack

SECTION C: Revenue and appropriations

Question 13 (all departments and entities) Changes from previous year by revenue/income category

Please explain any changes greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the actual result for 2014-15 and the actual result for 2015-16 for each revenue/income category detailed in your operating statement. Please also indicate what any additional revenue was used for or how any reduced amounts of revenue affected service delivery.

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no revenue/income categories for your department/agency for which the 2015-16 expenditure changed from the prior year's expenditure by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Revenue category	2014-15 actual (\$ million)	2015-16 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for changes greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	How the additional revenue was used/the impact of reduced revenue
Grants from Victorian Government	3,868.9	4,080.0	Variation reflects funding for new initiatives approved for 2015-16, including Night Network and an increase in the capital asset charge (CAC) relating to an increase in the rail asset base.	Revenue was utilised to fund new initiatives and fund increase in CAC.
Supply of transport services	159.6	213.0	Variation reflects a change to where this revenue has been recognised in the past following the abolishment of the Public Transport Fund in September 2015. Prior to this, DEDJTR was responsible for recognising this revenue in the Department's accounts; however following September 2015 the revenue is now collected and recognised in PTV's accounts. 2014-15 reflects nine months of revenue, while 2015-16 has the full year impact.	Revenue was utilised to fund the delivery of Public Transport Services.

Revenue category	2014-15 actual	2015-16 actual	Explanations for changes greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	How the additional revenue was used/the impact of reduced revenue
Licence fee from advertising panels at bus shelters	14.2	19.7	Variation reflects increase in advertising revenue on bus shelters.	Revenue was utilised to fund the delivery of Public Transport Services.
Other income	1.9	2.2	Variation relates to Civic Nexus development payments based on when development work takes place.	Revenue was utilised to fund the delivery of Public Transport Services.

Question 14 (all departments and entities) Variances from budget/target by revenue/income category

Please explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the initial budget estimate (**not** the revised estimate) and the actual result for 2015-16 for each revenue/income category detailed in your operating statement. Please also identify any actions taken in response to the variations, either to mitigate or take advantage of the impact.

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no revenue/income categories for your department/agency for which the 2015-16 expenditure varied from the initial budget estimate by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Revenue category	2015-16 budget estimate (\$ million)	2015-16 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	Actions taken in response
Other income	0.2	2.2	Variation reflects other miscellaneous receipts including Civic Nexus development payments and travel pass contribution to running of the central pass office.	N/A

Question 15 (departments only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 16 Revenue from trust accounts passed to other bodies (departments only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 17 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Revenue initiatives

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION D: Expenses

Question 18 Expenses changed from previous year

Please explain any changes greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the prior year's actual result and the actual result for 2015-16 for each category of expenses detailed in your operating statement. Please also detail the outcomes in the community¹ achieved by any additional expenses or the impact on the community of reduced expenses (if there was no impact, please explain how that was achieved).

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no categories of expenses for your department/agency for which the 2014-15 expenditure differed from the prior year's expenditure by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Expenses category	2014-15 actual (\$ million)	2015-16 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses
Payments to service providers and transport agencies	3,905.4	4,198.2	Variation includes the additional funding for Night Network operations and an increase to Capital Assets Charge (CAC) reflecting an increase to the rail asset base. The variation also reflects the additional funding provided to V/Line to support sustainability and adequacy of the regional network.	Maintain and improve reliability of train services and better passenger experience. All night travel during weekends.
Depreciation and amortisation	43.0	49.3	Variation reflects increase in depreciation of infrastructure assets following asset revaluation during the year.	No impact to service delivery.

¹ That is, the impact of service delivery on the community rather than a description of the services delivered.

Question 19 Expenses varying from budget

Please explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the initial budget estimate (**not** the revised budget) and the actual result for 2015-16 for each category of expenses detailed in your operating statement. Please also detail the outcomes in the community² achieved by any additional expenses or the impact on the community of reduced expenses (if there was no impact, please explain how that was achieved).

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no categories of expenses for your department/agency for which the 2015-16 expenditure varied from the initial budget estimate by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Expenses category	2015-16 budget estimate (\$ million)	2015-16 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses
Supplier and services	91.4	80.6	Variation is due to the carryover of funding into 2016-17 including Night Network and other project funding relating to the negotiation of new contracts for metropolitan train, tram and bus services as expenditure is expected to occur in 2016-17.	No impact to service delivery.

Question 20 (departments only) Expenditure reduction targets

This question does not apply to your agency.

² That is, the impact of service delivery on the community rather than a description of the services delivered.

Question 21 Changes to service delivery from expenditure reduction initiatives

- (a) Please detail any changes to your department's/agency's service delivery as a result of expenditure reduction initiatives, e.g. changes to the timing and scope of specific programs or discontinued programs in 2015-16:

Services are delivered in line with initiatives outlined in the State Budget each year,

- (b) As a result of the expenditure reduction initiative 'Reduce the use of labour hire firms' for 2015-16 (BP3 p.105), please detail any changes to your department's/agency's service delivery

There has been no impact to PTV's service delivery.

Question 22 (departments only) Achievement of reprioritisation of existing resources

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 23 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Expenses by government purpose classifications

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 24 (PNFC and PFC entities only) Dividends paid to the general government sector

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION E: Public sector workforce**Question 25 Full-time equivalent staff by level**

Please fully complete the table below, providing actual FTE staff numbers at 30 June 2014, at 30 June 2015 and 30 June 2016 (broken down by the categories listed below) for the Department. Please provide figures consolidated on the same basis as the expenditure for the Department in the budget papers.

Grade	30 June 2014	30 June 2015	30 June 2016
	(Actual FTE number)	(Actual FTE number)	(Actual FTE number)
EO	36	44	55
VPS Grade 7 (STS)	10	16	20
VPS Grade 6	113	129	149
VPS Grade 5	130	137	154
VPS Grade 4	90	112	140
VPS Grade 3	64	61	60
VPS Grade 2			2
VPS Grade 1	7		
Other	14	24	24
Total	464	523	604

Question 26 FTE staff numbers by employment type

In the table below, please detail the salary costs for 2012-13, 2013-14 and 2014-15, broken down by ongoing, fixed-term and casual, and explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the years for each category.

Employment category	Gross salary 2013-14 (\$ million)	Gross salary 2014-15 (\$ million)	Gross salary 2015-16 (\$ million)	Explanation for any year-on-year variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million
Ongoing	40.1	43.9	51.6	Increase primarily due to improving cost effectiveness and ensuring ongoing capability from converting contractors to staff where justified.
Fixed-term	3.9	8.8	9.1	Increase due to project resources required as a result of the significant public transport capital investment by Government.
Casual	2.6	1.9	0.4	Decreased due to the reduction of casual service staff.
Total	46.6	54.6	61.1	See above

Question 27 Executive salary increases

Please detail the number of executives who received increases in their base remuneration in 2015-16, breaking that information down according to what proportion of their salary the increase was, and explaining the reasons for executives' salaries increasing in each bracket.

Increase in base remuneration	Number of executives receiving increases in their base rate of remuneration of this amount in 2015-16	Reasons for these increases
0-3 per cent	49	Government Sector Executive Remuneration Panel Recommendation
3-5 per cent		
5-10 per cent	1	Retention and alignment to market rates.
10-15 per cent		
greater than 15 per cent		

Question 28 Enterprise bargain agreements (EBA)(Department of Treasury and Finance only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION F: Inter-sector flows**Question 29 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Inter-sector flows**

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION G: Government decisions impacting on the finances**Question 30 Machinery-of-government changes**

- (a) Please detail the final costs incurred in the following categories as a result of machinery-of-government changes. Also provide a description of any relevant machinery-of-government changes during 2015-16.

	Final costs as a result of machinery-of-government-changes (\$ million)
Consultants and contractors (including legal advice)	N/A
Relocation	N/A
Telephony	N/A
IT and records management	N/A
Rebranding	N/A
Furniture and fit-out	N/A
Other	N/A

(b) If these costs were met out of existing budgets, please indicate what projects, programs or areas the money was originally budgeted for.

N/A

(c) Please identify any benefits achieved during 2015-16 as a result of machinery-of-government changes, quantifying the benefits where possible.

N/A

(d) Please provide a description of any relevant machinery-of-government changes during 2015-16

N/A

Question 31 Commonwealth Government decisions

Please identify any Commonwealth Government decisions during 2015-16 which had not been anticipated in the State budget but which impacted on your entity's finances or activities during those years (including new funding agreements, discontinued agreements and changes to funding levels). Please quantify the impact on income and expenses where possible.

Commonwealth Government decision	Impact in 2015-16	
	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)
N/A		

Question 32 COAG decisions

Please identify any COAG decisions during 2015-16 which had not been anticipated in the State budget but which impacted on your entity's finances or activities during those years (including new funding agreements, discontinued agreements and changes to agreements). Please quantify the impact on income and expenses where possible.

COAG decision	Impact in 2015-16	
	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)
N/A		

SECTION H: Fiscal and financial management strategies (Department of Treasury and Finance only)**Question 33 Financial measures**

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 34 Long-term financial management objectives

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION I: Economic environment**Question 35 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Economic variables**

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 36 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Actual impact on GGS of variances in economic variables

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 37 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Impact on PFC net result of variances in economic variables

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 38 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Impact on PNFC net result of variances in economic variables

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 39 (all entities) Effects of variances in economic variables

Please identify any key economic variables for which there were variances in 2015-16 between what was estimated in the initial budget for each year (**not** the revised estimate) and what actually occurred which had a significant impact on your department's/agency's finances, service delivery or asset investment. For each variance, please indicate:

- (a) what had been expected at budget time
- (b) what actually occurred
- (c) how the variance impacted on the budget outcomes (quantifying the impact where possible)
- (d) what decisions were made in response (including changes to service delivery, asset investment, borrowings etc.).

Expected economic result in 2015-16	Actual result in 2015-16	Impact of the variance on budget outcomes	Impact of the variance on service delivery
Nil			