

Meville Swinnerton

28 July 2015

Legislative Council Standing Committee on Legal & Social Issues

Panliament House Spring Street

Melbourne Vic. 3002

End of Life Choices

Death is an endramely wide, complex of personal subject. Unless there has been a recent one in the family on a personal friend it is also extremely impopular. For these of additional reasons it is also avoided by Federal of State parliaments.

I-lowever no adult person & no elected government can avoid the subject forever of in Victoria it is dramatically overdue to review the State's current law. While accepting suicide the law makes it unlawful to assist anyone to die. In Reman Catholic hospitals of homes the law is light. For all atheists, other christians of religious members, terminally ill perhants can be assisted to die. Risks of such practice in clude doctors being reported of loosing their authority to practice, being unable

to plan the time of death due to methods
that avoid diagnosis at the person being
unable to the in the presence of his/her
selected family 4/or friends.

The personal experience of dying varies for multiple reasons such as:

age

· choice of time of methods

Ilferent to all other major life decisions, once applied it is irreversible. Recisons for making the wrong decision are invinencet of include depression, loss of a loved one, personal problems apparently beyond control of feelings of guitt. Proof of their non-permanence however can only be experienced by challenging of denying the reservice outlook of action. When done it is not uncommon for those who rejected sociedal thoughts to become emotionally gradeful.

Everyone eventually dies however to for some, sounce would be of inquestionable benefit.

Aledical technology has progressed so rapidly it is now possible to extend most lives for long periods. It is not however always the best of this is where the current law fails. In Victoria a other States advills can legally choose to die, but noone is legally allowed to facilitate or assist. For the

the appropriate freedom & support.

The solution suggested is practical a simple of should overcome endless discussion a lack of agreement. Basic essentials of the new how would be:

1. Diagnosis. To proceed with chosen

termination a medical professional how to

sign a diagnosis which oliagnoses the

mainidual with terminal illness of dying

within size months

2. Individual choice. Fill mental functioning adults diagnosed as above can choose if an when they wish to die sooner. If able they sign a legal elocument

3. Confirmation. A Choson relative or finance signs the legal document confirming authenticity of the individuals choice to die.

For development of such a law the State

government could select a small but

select group of practical thinkers. Their

sole task would be prepare the essential

change of law which would be presented

to Parliament for approval. Once

implemented the State would be seen as

the most progressive of practical Individual

freedom would be chromodically improved.

d. the State avernment would be historical