

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Inquiry into End of Life Choices POV eSubmission Form  
<cso@parliament.vic.gov.au>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 30 July 2015 4:31 PM  
**To:** LSIC  
**Subject:** New Submission to Inquiry into End of Life Choices

Inquiry Name: Inquiry into End of Life Choices

Mr William Hayes Fisher  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**SUBMISSION CONTENT:**

--

I support the Australian Sex Party's policy on voluntary euthanasia.

I find it mind boggling that this it may be considered inhumane if animal is to be left in a palliative state or terminal phase with no alternative form of pain relief, And it may be considered a criminal offence of animal cruelty if neglect of care or the suffering of a dying animal is not relieved from.

Since when is the end of life suffering of a animal more important that that of a human being? I have trouble understanding how this is not considered a crime against humanity or a even to the extent of torture? Some of the words used in the UN convention against torture, states : That aims to prevent torture and other acts of cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment or punishment around the world (ref: wikipedia)

It is cruel to no allow a human who has requested to die of their own accord. A government that does not allow a person the right to assisted suicide can not proclaim that their people are "free". To prohibit assisted suicide is to proclaim ownership of someones body, In a free country no person should be able to tell you what you can or can't do with you body. That not a form of control Australia should stands. I plead with you to change this law, please look at the countries that already have this in place , let us use the available data and evidence. There is enough suffering in the world, we don't need any more. Please give the option to those who desire it.

I profoundly and sincerely wish this law to be passed.

Kind regards

William Hayes Fisher

--

File1:

File2:

File3: