

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [LSIC](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** the deadly irony?  
**Date:** Tuesday, 28 July 2015 9:58:06 PM

---

Dear Frances. It is clear that the euthanasia nay-sayers do not comprehend the possible fate to which they are condemning themselves!

For these people, it is not until unbearable pain, terminal illness, utter dementia or debilitating weakness accompanied by loss of function and self - from none of these states can one make a reasonable life changing decision, nor is one likely to be able to help oneself: in life let alone death - has caused all dignity and serenity to be lost, that one is permitted to have assistance to have a painless and dignified death!!

Don't they see the deadly irony? Don't they see the potential horror to which they unavoidably heading?

They may have some understanding had they seen my Grandmother, Father, Mother, Mother in Law, Father in Law, all struggle against living and begging for relief from their separate, prolonged miseries.

Assisted death to overcome pain and indignity but not assisted death to avoid these distressing situations. Where is the compassion in such lack of logic.

Why on earth do they not leave the decision to those affected. My life! My business, not yours! I choose when I am capable and ready, not when I am incapable and uncomprehending.

If they do not wish to invoke the right to die at a time of their own choosing? Then they don't have to do it. But why do they sanctimoniously reserve the right to prevent others from the freedom of enlisting sympathetic assistance to end one's life.

It right might be misused? What a pathetic excuse. If misuse is the reason for preventing the freedom to end one's life? Then, why aren't they banning cars, kitchen knives, armies, tobacco, alcohol, aeroplanes, legislation and politicians, all of which are guilty of misuse by unscrupulous perpetrators

Yours in the Way ..... Roger

Roger Catchlove

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]