Christine Foster 9/8/96

COMMISSIONED BY THE CANON LAW SOCIETY OF AMERICA

Edited by

JAMES A. CÓRIDEN THOMAS J. GREEN DONALD E. HEINTSCHEL



PAULIST PRESS New York/Mahwah

1 of the bishop or the ch specific conditions to stant general permission ular role without use of urticular diocese and the shop. If there is no modmission unless delegated rresponding permission ncellor outright to gran 3. The diocesan bishop r approves and the coniocesan archives, providwe a need to know the ria to have access to the ner with the chancellor. le that he should be able be reasonably presumed would be to grant to all the chancellor's

e code. A safe may be urity may be employed 1 uses the word "key," he "key" even if they do of the curia, the vicars ncellor may be available. red in a computer, the le intent of the canon is s, and the vice-chancelnatter since at times neiion is known only to the chancellor. Prudence trustrate use of the ar-

in the second paragraph e, for example, letters of nentary service archives or photocopied, should ragraph refers solely to es and quite secondarily hich the archives were sents a different attitude 'authentic" implies that itismal and marriage retimes arises in regard to hnical sense must be desidered to have an interr a laicization decree. ig a person's ecclesiastintormation-retrieval. It before responding to a information such as a

of the curia and the t permitted to remove ves, except for a brief

> personnel and his secretary regarding the files of in-dividual priests. With the ease of photocopying toested party (notarized if necessary). except perhaps to consult a file during working hours. For longer use, the originals should be reday, there is little call for the removal of documents to curial officers such as, e.g., the director of priest delegate the chancellor to grant permission without his consent or that of the moderator of the curia. General permission to use documents can be given own. The permission of the bishop or the moderadiocesan bishop can grant such permission on his appointed in a particular diocese, the vicar general menta") as a more all-inclusive term. As in the prenary circumstances, and the bishop can also tor of the curia can be reasonably presumed in ordithe temporary removal of archival documents. The who fulfills such a coordinating function could grant permission together with the chancellor for vious canon, if no moderator of the curia has been chives for "a brief time only." The detail about scribes that a document may be absent from the arordinary's right to extend the time period, it pre-Code in more general form. Instead of specifying tained in the archives and copies sent to the inter-("scripturas") is changed to "documents" ("docurequiring a receipt is omitted. The word "writing" three days for the use of a document and stating the The canon reproduces canon 378 of the 1917

and in which documents to be kept secret are to be in the ordinary archive, completely closed and chive in the diocesan curia or at least a safe or file protected most securely. locked which cannot be removed from the place, Canon 489 - §1. There is also to be a secret ar-

§2. Every year documents of criminal cases are to be destroyed in matters of morals in which the tence is to be retained. mary of the case with the text of the definitive sensince the condemnatory sentence; but a brief sumcriminal has died or in which ten years have passed

ond paragraph mentions one category, i.e., criminal cases involving questions of morals." The acts or such "penal procedures" should be destroyed should be stored in this archives although the secsan curia must have a secret archives, at least in the canon does not specify all of the documents which reduced to two canons (cc. 489-490). Every diocecerning the secret archives (CIC 379-382) has been when the guilty person has died or the case has form of an especially secure safe or file cabinet. The The detailed legislation of the 1917 Code con-

³"Penal procedures" is a more accurate term than "crimina cases." (Cf. cc. 1387, 1390, 1394, 1395, 1398.)
³Cf. cc. 1717–1731.

been completed for ten years. Until they are destroyed, the acts are retained in the secret archives; after they have been destroyed, a summary of the rimonial dispensations in the non-sacramental in-ternal forum (c. 1082); the register for secret are also normally stored in the secret archives: matcase with the definitive sentence should be kept in should be placed in the general archives and which 290-293). Very few canons explicitly require storage in the secret archives. It is left to the discretion ments and irregularities to orders (cc. 1047, 1048) marriages (c. 1133); dispensations from impedisubpoena and other legal invasive strategies. is important for dioceses to investigate the civil mally be delegated to the chancellor. In this area, it relegated to the secret archives. This task will norof the diocesan bishop to determine which matters ical state by invalidity, penalty, or dispensation (cc 700); and documents relating to the loss of the clerdecree of dismissal from a religious institute (c. the secret archives. Other confidential documents their archives, especially this secret archives, from laws of their particular region in order to protect all

key to the secret archive. Canon 490 - §1. Only the bishop may have the

safe is not to be opened, except in a case of true necessity by the diocesan administrator himself. §2. When the see is vacant the secret archive or

secret archive or safe. §3. Documents are not to be removed from the

secret archives (§1). The implication of the second of the requirement of law (e.g., matrimonial dispen-87). Thus, he might wish to consign a duplicate key dispense from these universal disciplinary norms (c. should be removed from the secret archives even 379, §4 of the former Code that no document to the diocesan administrator sede vacante. The paragraph is that the key would normally be given diocesan bishop alone should have the key to the for the secret archives are omitted (CIC 379-381); sations in the internal forum) and those placed documents deposited in the secret archives because to permit access to the secret archives for legitimate to the vicar general or the chancellor with authority for a brief period of time. The diocesan bishop can third paragraph explicitates the inference of canon they are replaced by the simple statement that the The prohibition against removal of the latter from reasons. A distinction should be drawn between lent to removal since the intent of the norm is no the removal of the former. Photocopying is equivathe archives should not be interpreted as strictly as there at the discretion of the bishop or chancellor The detailed norms concerning two separate keys

> avoid dissemination of the information contained in simply to preserve the original (as in c. 488) but cases, however, the copies should be returned to the the diocesan bishop may permit certified copies to the document. Nonetheless, if necessity warrants it, chancellor for filing or destruction. be made for legitimate confidential use. In such

dentiality attached to the various documents precretization. The canons address only two levels of and secret archives need accommodation and conministration requires, possibly adopting in some cases even the governmental model of variously sions, a curia with an organized system will need to served in curial archives. While the canons provide confidentiality (normal records and secret records). levels of access and information-sharing which addraft written policy and procedures for the many flexibility through the use of authoritative permis-In fact, however, there are many grades of confi-"classified" documents. The principles of the Code concerning general

chive and the other in the diocesan archive. one of which is to be kept in the church's own arinventories or catalogs are to be made in duplicate, cathedral, collegiate, parochial and other churches to it that the acts and documents of the archives of in his territory also are diligently preserved; also, Canon 491 - §1. The diocesan bishop is to see

documents having an historical value are diligently preserved and systematically arranged. there is an historical archive in the diocese in which §2. The diocesan bishop is also to see to it that

established by the diocesan bishop are to be obdocuments spoken of in §§1 and 2 above, the norms served. §3. In order to inspect or remove the acts and

parish and yet one which may often be sadly neglected or poorly implemented. The universal law in the form of a catalog or index be kept on file at the diocesan level. This is an area of parochial resuch archives be sent to the curial archives, but it The first paragraph states more clearly than did canon 383, §1 of the 1917 Code that the diocesan sued by the diocesan bishop concerning the inspection and removal of documents (§3). Such sponsibility which can be of great importance for a does demand that an inventory of these documents does not require that copies of the documents in subject to him, particularly parishes. The canon keep of archives in those juridic persons which are bishop is responsible for the establishment and uphazardly. The canon provides for norms to be isministration in parishes. There is more likelihood, does not constitute a separate archival officer at the norms would do well to include guidelines for par therefore, that parish records will be treated happarish level, and there are frequent changes of ad-