



PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

**2015-16 FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES
GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE**

TRANSPORT ACCIDENT COMMISSION

For Official Use Only

SECTION A: Output variances and program outcomes

Question 1 (all departments) Completed initiatives from past budgets

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 2 (departments only) Treasurer's advances and other budget supplementation

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 3 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Revenue certification

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION B: Asset investment (departments only)

Question 4 Details of actual investment and variance against budget – current projects

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 5 Details of actual investment and variance against budget – projects completed (or expected to be completed)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 6 Major Projects Victoria and high-value high-risk projects

This question does not apply to your agency.

For Official Use Only

Question 7 PPP expenditure and line items

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 8 Net cash flows from investments in financial assets for policy purposes – GGS

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 9 Net cash flows from investments in financial assets for policy purposes – SoV

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 10 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Purchases of non-financial assets – government purpose classification

This question does not apply to your agency.

For Official Use Only

SECTION B: Asset investment (non-departments only)**Question 11 Details of actual investment and variance against budget for projects**

Please provide the following details for any asset investment project where actual expenditure in 2015-16 varied by \$±10 million or more from the initial budget estimate at the start of the relevant year (**not** the revised estimate).

If there were no asset investment projects for your agency where the actual expenditure varied by \$±10 million or more from the budget estimate, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant projects' in the table(s) below.

Project	Estimated expenditure in 2015-16 (2015-16 budget papers) (\$ million)	Actual expenditure in 2015-16 (\$ million)	Explanation for variance	Estimated financial completion date in 2015-16 budget papers	Estimated financial completion date in 2016-17 budget papers	Explanation for any changes to the estimated financial completion date
No relevant projects						

Question 12 Details of actual investment and variance against budget for entities

Please detail the initial budget estimates (**not** the revised estimate) for 'purchases of non-financial assets' for 2015-16 (or equivalent line items in the cash flow statements) for your entity, the actual amounts of those line item in your annual reports and an explanation for any variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million. Initial budget estimates for departments are listed in Department of Treasury and Finance, *Budget Paper No.5: 2015-16 Statement of Finances* (2015), p.40

Initial budget estimate for 2015-16 (\$ million)	Actual for 2015-16 (\$ million)	Explanation for any variance greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million
n/a		

For Official Use Only

SECTION C: Revenue and appropriations

Question 13 (all departments and entities) Changes from previous year by revenue/income category

Please explain any changes greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the actual result for 2014-15 and the actual result for 2015-16 for each revenue/income category detailed in your operating statement. Please also indicate what any additional revenue was used for or how any reduced amounts of revenue affected service delivery.

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no revenue/income categories for your department/agency for which the 2015-16 expenditure changed from the prior year's expenditure by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Revenue category	2014-15 actual (\$ million)	2015-16 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for changes greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	How the additional revenue was used/the impact of reduced revenue
Premium Revenue	\$1,594	\$1,663	n/a	n/a
Investment Revenue	\$1,170	\$338	The TAC investment portfolio recorded a return of 2.97% for 2015-16 compared to 11.7% for the previous year as a result of weak global growth, declining corporate earnings and falling AUD.	Unfavourable impact on the operating result.

For Official Use Only

Question 14 (all departments and entities) Variances from budget/target by revenue/income category

Please explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the initial budget estimate (**not** the revised estimate) and the actual result for 2015-16 for each revenue/income category detailed in your operating statement. Please also identify any actions taken in response to the variations, either to mitigate or take advantage of the impact.

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no revenue/income categories for your department/agency for which the 2015-16 expenditure varied from the initial budget estimate by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Revenue category	2015-16 budget estimate (\$ million)	2015-16 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	Actions taken in response
Premium Revenue	\$1,662	\$1,663	n/a	n/a
Investment Revenue	\$853	\$338	The TAC investment portfolio recorded a return of 2.97% for 2015-16 compared to a budgeted investment return of 7.5% as a result of weak global growth, declining corporate earnings and falling AUD.	n/a

Question 15 (departments only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 16 Revenue from trust accounts passed to other bodies (departments only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

For Official Use Only

Question 17 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Revenue initiatives

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION D: Expenses**Question 18 Expenses changed from previous year**

Please explain any changes greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the prior year's actual result and the actual result for 2015-16 for each category of expenses detailed in your operating statement. Please also detail the outcomes in the community¹ achieved by any additional expenses or the impact on the community of reduced expenses (if there was no impact, please explain how that was achieved).

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no categories of expenses for your department/agency for which the 2014-15 expenditure differed from the prior year's expenditure by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Expenses category	2014-15 actual (\$ million)	2015-16 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses
Administration	\$152.9	\$156.7	n/a	
Marketing & Road Safety	\$51.8	\$53.2	n/a	
Road Infrastructure	\$104.0	\$66.8	There was lower Safe System Road Infrastructure Program (SSRIP) spend in 2015-16 due to the requirement for forward planning and Safe System Infrastructure design as well as consolidation of projects as part of the Towards Zero Road Safety Strategy.	Favourable impact on operating result.

¹ That is, the impact of service delivery on the community rather than a description of the services delivered.

For Official Use Only

Expenses category	2014-15 actual (\$ million)	2015-16 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses
Trauma Projects	\$9.3	\$7.4	There was lower research expenditure due to the timing of various research programs.	
Premium Collection Fees	\$39.0	\$40.8	n/a	

Question 19 Expenses varying from budget

Please explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the initial budget estimate (**not** the revised budget) and the actual result for 2015-16 for each category of expenses detailed in your operating statement. Please also detail the outcomes in the community² achieved by any additional expenses or the impact on the community of reduced expenses (if there was no impact, please explain how that was achieved).

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no categories of expenses for your department/agency for which the 2015-16 expenditure varied from the initial budget estimate by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Expenses category	2015-16 budget estimate (\$ million)	2015-16 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses
Administration	\$159.5	\$156.7	n/a	
Marketing & Road Safety	\$53.3	\$53.2	n/a	

² That is, the impact of service delivery on the community rather than a description of the services delivered.

For Official Use Only

Expenses category	2015-16 budget estimate (\$ million)	2015-16 actual (\$ million)	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses
Road Infrastructure	\$146.6	\$66.8	There has been lower Safe System Road Infrastructure Program (SSRIP) spend in 2015-16 due to the requirement for forward planning and Safe System Infrastructure design as well as consolidation of projects as part of the Towards Zero Road Safety Strategy.	Favourable impact on operating result.
Trauma Projects	\$8.6	\$7.4	Lower research expenditure due to timing of various research programs	Favourable impact on operating result.
Premium Collection Fees	\$40.8	\$40.8	n/a	

Question 20 (departments only) Expenditure reduction targets

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 21 Changes to service delivery from expenditure reduction initiatives

- (a) Please detail any changes to your department's/agency's service delivery as a result of expenditure reduction initiatives, e.g. changes to the timing and scope of specific programs or discontinued programs in 2015-16:

n/a

- (b) As a result of the expenditure reduction initiative 'Reduce the use of labour hire firms' for 2015-16 (BP3 p.105), please detail any changes to your department's/agency's service delivery

n/a

For Official Use Only

Question 22 (departments only) Achievement of reprioritisation of existing resources

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 23 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Expenses by government purpose classifications

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 24 (PNFC and PFC entities only) Dividends paid to the general government sector

Please detail the value of dividends paid by your agency to the general government sector over the last three years, explaining the reasons for any significant changes over that period and the impact of any changes on the agency.

Total dividends paid in 2013-14 (\$ million)	Total dividends paid in 2014-15 (\$ million)	Total dividends paid in 2015-16 (\$ million)	Explanation for any variance greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	Impact of changes to dividends on the agency
-	\$253.2 million ³	\$131.6 million	2013-14 No dividend was paid in 2013-14 relating to 2012-13 due to the unfavourable PFIO of negative \$12m. This was primarily due to unfavourable claims experience particularly in relation to common law, treatment and income benefits.	n/a

³In PAEC's 2013-14 and 2014-15 Financial and Performance Outcomes General Questionnaire, the TAC listed total dividends paid in 2014-15 as \$235.2 million. The actual amount was \$253.2 million.

For Official Use Only

Total dividends paid in 2013-14 (\$ million)	Total dividends paid in 2014-15 (\$ million)	Total dividends paid in 2015-16 (\$ million)	Explanation for any variance greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million	Impact of changes to dividends on the agency
			<p>2014-15</p> <p>The dividend paid relates to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • an ordinary dividend of \$162.5m - relating to the strong PFIO in 2013/14 (+\$325m) based on the dividend benchmark of 50% of PFIO; plus • an interim dividend of \$90.65m – relating to 2014-15 which is based on half of the dividend based on the forecast PFIO calculated at the dividend benchmark - ie, forecast PFIO for 2014-15 of \$326.6m at dividend benchmark is \$181.3m. Interim is based on 50% of dividend. <p>2015-16</p> <p>The dividend paid relates to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • an ordinary dividend of \$115.9m relating to the 2014-15 financial year • an interim dividend of \$15.7m relating to the 2015-16 financial year. 	

SECTION E: Public sector workforce

Question 25 Full-time equivalent staff by level

Please fully complete the table below, providing actual FTE staff numbers at 30 June 2016, at 30 June 2015 and 30 June 2014 2016 (broken down by the categories listed below) for the Department. Please provide figures consolidated on the same basis as the expenditure for the Department in the budget papers.

For Official Use Only

Grade	30 June 2014 (Actual FTE number)	30 June 2015 (Actual FTE number)	30 June 2016 (Actual FTE number)
Secretary			
EO	58.9	57	58.9
VPS Grade 7 (STS)			
VPS Grade 6	158.81	160.74	167.29
VPS Grade 5	140.47	138.07	150.26
VPS Grade 4	244.21	242.85	267.01
VPS Grade 3	135.04	123.86	146.65
VPS Grade 2	40.98	40.86	40.19
VPS Grade 1	5.15	1	0.6
Government Teaching Service			
Health services			
Police			
Allied health professionals			
Child protection			
Disability development and support			
Custodial officers			
Other	0.6	0.6	

For Official Use Only

Grade	30 June 2014	30 June 2015	30 June 2016
	(Actual FTE number)	(Actual FTE number)	(Actual FTE number)
Total	784.16	764.98	830.9

* GSERPs/EXECs

** Information includes employees costed to Business Operations, Projects and Other and excludes employees on Long Term Absence, on a long term WorkCover Claim and employees not paid directly by TAC (i.e. Contractors, Consultants, Temps, WorkSafe Victoria employees etc)

Question 26 FTE staff numbers by employment type

In the table below, please detail the salary costs for 2013-14, 2014-15, and 2015-16, broken down by ongoing, fixed-term and casual, and explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the years for each category.

Employment category	Gross salary 2013-14	Gross salary 2014-15	Gross salary 2015-16	Explanation for any year-on-year variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
Ongoing	73.6	76.0	75.8	
Fixed-term	15.9	10.8	16.0	Decrease in fixed term salaries from 2013-14 to 2014-15 (-32%) mainly due to a decrease in project activity as the TAC came to the end of the TAC 2015 strategy work. During this time, the organisation made use of fixed term employees (generally 12-24 months). As projects began to finish, fixed term contracts were not renewed resulting in this decrease. Increase in fixed term salaries from 2014-15 to 2015-16 (+48%) mainly due to ramp up of various projects (i.e. CSP, Protocols, Towards Zero).
Casual				
Total	89.5	86.8	91.8	

For Official Use Only

Question 27 Executive salary increases

Please detail the number of executives who received increases in their base remuneration in 2015-16, breaking that information down according to what proportion of their salary the increase was, and explaining the reasons for executives' salaries increasing in each bracket.

Increase in base remuneration	Number of executives receiving increases in their base rate of remuneration of this amount in 2015-16	Reasons for these increases
0-3 per cent	25	Annual Executive Officer Salary Review.
3-5 per cent	7	Annual Executive Officer Salary Review.
5-10 per cent	3	Promotion and salary benchmarked against market.
10-15 per cent	0	N/A
greater than 15 per cent	4	Substantial promotion to a Senior Executive role

Question 28 Enterprise bargain agreements (EBA)(Department of Treasury and Finance only)

This question does not apply to your agency.

For Official Use Only

SECTION F: Inter-sector flows**Question 29 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Inter-sector flows**

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION G: Government decisions impacting on the finances**Question 30 Machinery-of-government changes**

- (a) Please detail the final costs incurred in the following categories as a result of machinery-of-government changes. Also provide a description of any relevant machinery-of-government changes during 2015-16.

	Final costs as a result of machinery-of-government-changes (\$ million)
Consultants and contractors (including legal advice)	n/a
Relocation	n/a
Telephony	n/a
IT and records management	n/a
Rebranding	n/a
Furniture and fit-out	n/a
Other	n/a

- (b) If these costs were met out of existing budgets, please indicate what projects, programs or areas the money was originally budgeted for.

For Official Use Only

n/a

(c) Please identify any benefits achieved during 2015-16 as a result of machinery-of-government changes, quantifying the benefits where possible.

n/a

(d) Please provide a description of any relevant machinery-of-government changes during 2015-16

n/a

Question 31 Commonwealth Government decisions

Please identify any Commonwealth Government decisions during 2015-16 which had not been anticipated in the State budget but which impacted on your entity’s finances or activities during those years (including new funding agreements, discontinued agreements and changes to funding levels). Please quantify the impact on income and expenses where possible.

Commonwealth Government decision	Impact in 2015-16	
	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)
n/a		

Question 32 COAG decisions

Please identify any COAG decisions during 2015-16 which had not been anticipated in the State budget but which impacted on your entity’s finances or activities during those years (including new funding agreements, discontinued agreements and changes to agreements). Please quantify the impact on income and expenses where possible.

For Official Use Only

COAG decision	Impact in 2015-16	
	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)
n/a		

SECTION H: Fiscal and financial management strategies (Department of Treasury and Finance only)

Question 33 Financial measures

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 34 Long-term financial management objectives

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION I: Economic environment

Question 35 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Economic variables

This question does not apply to your agency.

For Official Use Only

Question 36 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Actual impact on GGS of variances in economic variables

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 37 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Impact on PFC net result of variances in economic variables

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 38 (Department of Treasury and Finance only) Impact on PNFC net result of variances in economic variables

This question does not apply to your agency.

For Official Use Only

Question 39 (all entities) Effects of variances in economic variables

Please identify any key economic variables for which there were variances in 2015-16 between what was estimated in the initial budget for each year (**not** the revised estimate) and what actually occurred which had a significant impact on your department's/agency's finances, service delivery or asset investment. For each variance, please indicate:

- (a) what had been expected at budget time
- (b) what actually occurred
- (c) how the variance impacted on the budget outcomes (quantifying the impact where possible)
- (d) what decisions were made in response (including changes to service delivery, asset investment, borrowings etc.).

Expected economic result in 2015-16	Actual result in 2015-16	Impact of the variance on budget outcomes	Impact of the variance on service delivery
Investment return 7.5%	Investment return 2.97%	Reduced investment income and as a result a lower profit before tax.	n/a
The 2015/16 budget assumed a 10 year bond yield of 3.0% in the valuation of claims liabilities.	The actual 10 year bond yield was 2.0% which equated to an unfavourable movement in claims liabilities of \$1.26b.	Reduction to profit before tax and increase in claims liabilities.	n/a

For Official Use Only