

PUBLIC ACCOUNTS AND ESTIMATES COMMITTEE

2016-17 FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE

MELBOURNE WATER

Your responses should be filled in the tables/text boxes under each question in the MS Word document only.

Additional responses to questions can be provided in MS Word or MS Excel documents if required.

The completed MS Word document, including any additional documents, should be emailed to paec@parliament.vic.gov.au

SECTION A: Output variances and program outcomes

Question 1 (all departments) Completed initiatives from past budgets

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 2 (departments only) Treasurer's advances and other budget supplementation

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 3 (DTF only) Revenue certification

This question does not apply to your agency.

SECTION B: Asset investment

Question 4 (all departments) Details of actual investment and variance against budget – current projects

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 5 (all departments) Details of actual investment and variance against budget – projects completed (or expected to be completed)

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 6 (all departments) Major Projects Victoria / Development Victoria

Question 7 (all departments) High-value high-risk projects and gateway reviews

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 8 (all departments) PPP expenditure and line items

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 9 (all departments) PPP projects in procurement

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 10 (all departments) PPP projects under construction

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 11 (all departments) PPP projects commissioned during 2016-17

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 12 (DTF only) Net cash flows from investments in financial assets for policy purposes – GGS This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 13 (DTF only) Purchases of non-financial assets – government purpose classification

SECTION C: Revenue and appropriations

Question 14 (all departments and entities) Changes from previous year by revenue/income category

Please explain any changes greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the actual result for 2015-16 and the actual result for 2016-17 for each revenue/income category detailed in your operating statement. Please also indicate what any additional revenue was used for or how any reduced amounts of revenue affected service delivery.

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no revenue/income categories for your department/agency for which the 2016-17 expenditure changed from the prior year's expenditure by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Revenue category	2015-16 actual	2016-17 actual	Explanations for changes greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	How the additional revenue was used/the impact of reduced revenue
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
Water	964.2	912.3	Not applicable	Not applicable
Sewerage	532.3	419.7	Lower sewerage revenue mainly driven by lower prices as per the pricing determination, partially offset by higher sewage volumes (approx. 22GL higher).	The 2016-17 results are in line with the 5 year pricing determination. The Government Water rebate noted below is included in the prices and partially offsets the variance. The net reduction in total Melbourne Water revenue is approx. 4.2 per cent.
Drainage	256.3	245.8	Not applicable	Not applicable
Government Water rebate	-78.5	-	From 2016-17 onwards, the Government water rebate has been incorporated into the Corporation's prices and has not been paid as a specific rebate.	Refer to above
Other Income	197.4	213.5	Not applicable	Not applicable

Question 15 (all departments and entities) Variances from budget/target by revenue/income category

Please explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the initial budget estimate (**not** the revised estimate) and the actual result for 2016-17 for each revenue/income category detailed in your operating statement. Please also identify any actions taken in response to the variations, either to mitigate or take advantage of the impact.

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no revenue/income categories for your department/agency for which the 2016-17 expenditure varied from the initial budget estimate by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Revenue category	2016-17 budget estimate	2016-17 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	Actions taken in response
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
Water	945.1	912.3	Not applicable	Not applicable
Sewerage	403.9	419.7	Not applicable	Not applicable
Drainage	250.7	245.8	Not applicable	Not applicable
Other Income	103.6	213.5	Higher developer contributions were received due to earlier than anticipated contributions, as well as more development stages being completed than originally planned.	Continue to work with the developers to improve future budget estimates.

Question 16 (DTF only) Revenue initiatives

SECTION D: Expenses

Question 17 (all departments and entities) Expenses changed from previous year

Please explain any changes greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the prior year's actual result and the actual result for 2016-17 for each category of expenses detailed in your operating statement. Please also detail the outcomes in the community¹ achieved by any additional expenses or the impact on the community of reduced expenses (if there was no impact, please explain how that was achieved).

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no categories of expenses for your department/agency for which the 2015-16 expenditure differed from the prior year's expenditure by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Expenses category	2015-16 actual	2016-17 actual	Explanations for variances greater than ± 10 per cent or $\$100$ million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)		
Depreciation and Amortisation	373.8	383.8	Not applicable	Not applicable
Operational Expenses	206.8	216.3	Not applicable	Not applicable
Employee Benefits Expenses	115.6	122.5	Not applicable	Not applicable
Repairs and Maintenance	63.3	60.8	Not applicable	Not applicable
Administrative Expenses	35.5	40.7	Due to various contract increases mostly associated with IT services and Waterways and Drainage.	The increase was in line with negotiated contracts. Variance not material.

¹ That is, the impact of service delivery on the community rather than a description of the services delivered.

Email rcvd by PAEC 02/11/2017

Finance Expenses	676.7	657.2	Not applicable	Not applicable	
Other Expenses (excluding income tax expense)	60.7	72.1	Mainly driven by the write down of land values associated with applying Community Services Obligation (CSO) adjustments on new acquisitions.	Valuation adjustments will continue to be made when the land is acquired to avoid large fluctuations during revaluation years.	

Question 18 (all departments and entities) Expenses varying from budget

Please explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the initial budget estimate (**not** the revised budget) and the actual result for 2016-17 for each category of expenses detailed in your operating statement. Please also detail the outcomes in the community² achieved by any additional expenses or the impact on the community of reduced expenses (if there was no impact, please explain how that was achieved).

For departments, please provide data consolidated on the same basis as the budget portfolios outcomes statement in your annual reports.

If there were no categories of expenses for your department/agency for which the 2016-17 expenditure varied from the initial budget estimate by more than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million, you do not need to answer this question. If this is the case, please indicate 'no relevant line items' in the table(s) below.

Expenses category	2016-17 2016-17 budget actual estimate		Explanations for variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	Outcomes achieved by additional expenses/impact of reduced expenses	
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)			
Depreciation and Amortisation	401.4	383.8	Not applicable	Not applicable	
Operational Expenses	253.1	216.3	The decrease is primarily due to there being no Desalination water pass through charge for 2016-17, as well as the generation of Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs).	The savings from no Desalination water had no impact on results as revenues were also reduced due to customers not being charged for the water order.	

² That is, the impact of service delivery on the community rather than a description of the services delivered.

Email rcvd by PAEC 02/11/2017

Employee Benefits Expenses	115.0	122.5	Not applicable	Not applicable
Repairs and Maintenance	75.3	60.8	The decrease is mainly due to lower general repairs and maintenance during the period.	This was the result of improved efficiency and scheduling of maintenance works.
Administrative Expenses	38.5	40.7	Not applicable	Not applicable
Finance Expenses	675.7	657.2	Not applicable	Not applicable
Other Expenses (excluding income tax expense)	67.9	72.1	Not applicable	Not applicable

Question 19 (departments only) Expenditure reduction targets

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 20 (all departments and entities) Changes to service delivery from expenditure reduction initiatives

(a) Please detail any changes to your department's/agency's service delivery as a result of expenditure reduction initiatives, e.g. changes to the timing and scope of specific programs or discontinued programs in 2016-17.

Not applicable

(b) As a result of the expenditure reduction initiative 'Reduce the use of labour hire firms' for 2016-17 (2015-16 BP3 p.105), please detail any changes to your department's/agency's service delivery.

Not applicable

(c) Please indicate how much the Department spent on 'on hire' arrangements with labour recruitment firms during 2015-16 and 2016-17. On hire arrangements includes the cost of engaging the labour recruiting firm, plus additional costs paid to the labour recruiting firm for the provision of the services of the contractor. Please also indicate reasons for and difference greater than 10 per cent between the two years.

Expenditure on hir (\$ million)	e arrangements	Explanation for change greater than ±10 per cent
2015-16 2016-17		
5.3	6.2	Labour that was provided in 2015-16 as part of subcontractor agreements have been procured directly through recruitment firms in 2016-17.

(d) Please indicate how much the Department spent on job search assignments where the department has engaged a labour recruitment firm to hire an employee for the department.

Expenditure on job search assignments (\$ million)		Explanation for change greater than ±10 per cent
2015-16	2016-17	
		Not applicable

Question 21 (departments only) Achievement of reprioritisation of existing resources

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 22 (DTF only) Expenses by government purpose classifications

Question 23 (PNFC and PFC entities only) Dividends and other amounts paid to the general government sector

Please detail the value of dividends, non-dividend grants,³ and capital repatriations paid by your agency to the general government sector over the last three years, explaining the reasons for any significant changes over that period and the impact of any changes on the agency. Please also explain the basis on which these figures were set.

	Total amount paid in 2014-15 (\$ million)	Total amount paid in 2015-16 (\$ million)	Total amount paid in 2016-17 (\$ million)	Explanation for any variance greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million	Impact of changes to dividends on the agency	Basis on which the figure was set
Dividends	21.5	-	28.3	No dividend was paid in 2015-16 due to higher income tax being paid following a taxation ruling on Desalination Plant.	Impact is off-set by additional cashflow from profits.	65 per cent of profit before tax less income tax paid during the year and capital repatriation payment noted below.
Capital repatriation payments	-	27.8	-	No capital repatriation payment was made during the period.	No additional impacts.	As per advice from DTF.

SECTION E: Public sector workforce

Question 24 (all departments and entities) Full-time equivalent staff by level

Please fully complete the table below, providing actual FTE staff numbers at 30 June 2015, at 30 June 2016 and 30 June 2017 (broken down by the categories listed below) for the Department. Please provide figures consolidated on the same basis as the expenditure for the Department in the budget papers.

³ This is an amount paid to the general government sector which is unable to be paid as a dividend due to AASB 1023 or FRD 119A.

Email rcvd by PAEC 02/11/2017 Melbourne Water Public Accounts and Estimates Committee: 2016-17 Financial and Performance Outcomes General Questionnaire

Grade	30 June 2015	30 June 2016	30 June 2017
	(Actual FTE number)	(Actual FTE number)	(Actual FTE number)
Secretary	-	-	-
EO-1	-	-	-
EO-2	-	-	-
EO-3	-	-	-
VPS Grade 7 (STS)	-	-	-
VPS Grade 6	-	-	-
VPS Grade 5	-	-	-
VPS Grade 4	-	-	-
VPS Grade 3	-	-	-
VPS Grade 2	-	-	-
VPS Grade 1	-	-	-
Government Teaching Service	-	-	-
Health services	-	-	-
Police	-	-	-
Allied health professionals	-	-	-
Child protection	-	-	-
Disability development and support	-	-	-

Custodial officers	-	-	-
Other	899.4	942.0	1,004.3
Total*	899.4	942.0	1,004.3

*Excludes casual staff

Question 25 (all departments and entities) FTE staff numbers by employment type

In the table below, please detail the salary costs for 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17, broken down by ongoing, fixed-term and casual, and explain any variances greater than ± 10 per cent or \$100 million between the years for each category.

		Explanation for any year-on-year variances greater than ±10 per cent or \$100 million		
	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	(\$ million)	
Ongoing	79.8	91.9	101.0	Movement between 2014-15 and 2015-16 was due to increased FTE during the year, primarily from senior management and salary increases tied to indexation.
Fixed-term	6.3	6.5	6.2	Not applicable
Casual	1.7	1.7	3.8	Increase based on required resourcing for various activities.
Total	87.8	100.1	111.0	

Question 26 (all departments and entities) Executive salary increases and other bonuses

Please detail the number of executives who received increases in their base remuneration in 2016-17, breaking that information down according to what proportion of their salary the increase was, and explaining the reasons for executives' salaries increasing in each bracket.

Increase in base remuneration	Number of executives receiving increases in their base rate of remuneration of this amount in 2016-17, apart from normal increases due to employment agreements.	Reasons for these increases
0-3 per cent	12	2.5% Government Sector Executive Remuneration Panel Increase
3-5 per cent	-	Not applicable
5-10 per cent	-	Not applicable
10-15 per cent	-	Not applicable
greater than 15 per cent	-	Not applicable

Question 27 (DTF only) Enterprise bargain agreements (EBA)

SECTION F: Government decisions impacting on the finances

Question 28 (all departments and entities) Commonwealth Government decisions

Please identify any Commonwealth Government decisions during 2016-17 which had not been anticipated in the State budget but which impacted on your entity's finances or activities during those years (including new funding agreements, discontinued agreements and changes to funding levels). Please quantify the impact on income and expenses where possible.

Commonwealth Government decision	Impact in 2016-17	
	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)
Not applicable	-	-

Question 29 (all departments and entities) COAG decisions

Please identify any COAG decisions during 2016-17 which had not been anticipated in the State budget but which impacted on your entity's finances or activities during those years (including new funding agreements, discontinued agreements and changes to agreements). Please quantify the impact on income and expenses where possible.

COAG decision	Impact in 2016-17	
	on income (\$ million)	on expenses (\$ million)
Not applicable	-	-

SECTION G: Economic environment

Question 30 (DTF only) Economic variables

Question 31 (DTF only) Actual impact on GGS of variances in economic variables

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 32 (DTF only) Impact on PFC net result of variances in economic variables

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 33 (DTF only) Impact on PNFC net result of variances in economic variables

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 34 (all departments and entities) Effects of variances in economic variables

Please identify any key economic variables for which there were variances in 2016-17 between what was estimated in the initial budget for each year (**not** the revised estimate) and what actually occurred which had a significant impact on your department's/agency's finances, service delivery or asset investment. For each variance, please indicate:

- (a) what had been expected at budget time
- (b) what actually occurred
- (c) how the variance impacted on the budget outcomes (quantifying the impact where possible)
- (d) what decisions were made in response (including changes to service delivery, asset investment, borrowings etc.).

Expected economic result in 2016-17	Actual result in 2016-17	Impact of the variance on budget outcomes	Impact of the variance on service delivery
No significant economic variables for which there were variances in 2016-17.	-	Not applicable	Not applicable

SECTION H: DataVic Access

Question 35 (All departments and entities) Department/agency data and research strategy

a) How is 'big data' and/or the digital research component incorporated within the Department/Agency's Strategic/Corporate Plan?

A Big Data strategy is being developed which will inform subsequent iterations of Melbourne Water's Digital Business Transformation Strategy. The Big Data strategy encompasses how we share data, how we use it to improve services and how we gain insights from our customers and the community to better inform decision making.

b) Does the Department/Agency have a dedicated digital research strategy? If yes, please provide a copy of this to the Committee.

Yes, Melbourne Water has the Digital Business Transformation Strategy, currently in its third annual iteration.

Question 36 (All departments and entities) Department/Agency use of the DataVic Access

a) What have been the challenges the Department/Agency has found in implementing the DataVic Access?

Key challenges include:

- The volume of data cleansing activities associated with improving data quality for external release.
- Complexity of managing and maintaining multiple IT systems collecting data sets across the enterprise.
- The sensitive nature of certain data sets.
- b) What have been the key risks the Department/Agency has identified in relation to DataVic Access and how have these been managed?

Key risks include ensuring data classification is completed and data accuracy is appropriate for external usage.

c) Who are the major beneficiaries of the data the Department/Agency has made available as a result of the DataVic Access policy?

Potential beneficiaries include: Water Retailers; Water regulators; Local Government; Community Groups; Land Developers; Bureau of Meteorology; Universities and other

research bodies.

- d) The guidelines currently state that the benefits of the policy include:
 - stimulating economic activity and driving innovation and new services to the community and business;
 - increasing productivity and improving personal and business decision making based on improved access to data;
 - improving research outcomes by enabling access to primary data to researchers in a range of disciplines; and
 - improving the efficiency and effectiveness of government by encouraging better management practices and use of the data.⁴

Please provide three examples of how the Department/Agency have seen the benefits materialised.

Melbourne Water publishes multiple data sets to various external agencies or platforms with the following key benefits:

- Broader community awareness and engagement in relation to our assets
- Reduced conflict and costs associated directly with a reduction of damage to our assets
- Improved communication and collaboration between parties (e.g. Retailers and Wholesaler)
- e) What are the main future opportunities regarding the Department/Agency's data that have not been realised to date?

Increase in effectiveness of service provision and community engagement through improved provision and use of data.

Question 37 (All departments and entities) Department/Agency arrangements for data access

a) What accountability arrangements are in place within the Department/Agency for implementing DataVic Access?

Melbourne Water's Information Management team are accountable for effective implementation of the DataVic Access Policy.

⁴Department of Treasury and Finance, DataVic Access Policy Guidelines for the Victorian Public Sector (2015), p.1

b) Has the Department/Agency established an Information Management Governance Committee (IMGC)?

Melbourne Water has an Information Management (IM) working group addressing IM Governance issues and accountabilities.

c) Does the Department/Agency have an information asset register?

No. Melbourne Water is in the process of establishing an information asset register.

d) How regularly is the information asset register reviewed and updated?

Not applicable

e) Please describe the main types of information assets that are logged on the Department/Agency's register.

Finance - Accounting
Corporate Planning - Contracts/Tenders
Pipe Network (Asset - Spatial)
SCADA Information
Operational Technology - Real-time Operational Control
Operational Technology - Real-time Operational Monitoring
Operational Technology - Historian
Inventory - Built Asset
Workorder - Management
Customer - Billing & Meter
Customer - Relationship Management

Melbourne Water Public Accounts and Estimates Committee: 2016-17 Financial and Performance Outcomes General Questionnaire

Employee - Management
Employee - OH&S
Employee - Performance Management
Compliance - Executive Management Material
Security - Monitoring and management
Corporate Planning - Organisational Information
Location - Property
Supplier commercially sensitive
Party - Supplier
Compliance - Protected disclosures
Product - Quality Measures Water Quality Information
Finance - Payment
Corporate Planning - Major Capital Projects
Project - R&D
Flood, Water, Climate Product - Modelling
Customer - Public information
Security - Information Security Management
Fechnology - Configuration Management

Question 38 (All departments and entities) Use of DataVic website

a) How many and which data sets did the Department/Agency make available on the DataVic website in 2015-16 and 2016-17?

No data sets were made available directly, however a number of data sets originating with Melbourne Water were published on DataVic by other agencies i.e. DELWP and the Bureau of Meteorology.

b) To what extent does the Department/Agency comply with the format guidelines set out in DataVic Access?

As required.		

c) Please list the datasets held by the Department/Agency that have been given approved commercialisation authorisation by the Minister for Finance. Please explain why they have been approved for commercialisation. What are the typical costs associated with accessing these datasets?

Nil

Nil

- d) How many requests has the Department/Agency received via the 'suggest a dataset' function on the DataVic website in 2015-16 and 2017-18?
- e) How many of these requests were successfully actioned in 2015-16 and 2016-17?

Not applicable

f) How many datasets that were compiled by the Department/Agency as a result of a successful FOI application were subsequently made available on the DataVic website in 2015-16 and 2016-17?

Nil

g) How many datasets that were compiled by the Department/Agency as a result of a successful FOI application were not made available on the DataVic website in 2015-16 and 2016-17 and what were the reasons for this?

Nil

Melbourne Water Public Accounts and Estimates Committee: 2016-17 Financial and Performance Outcomes General Questionnaire

SECTION I: Treasury Corporation of Victoria

Question 39 (TCV only) Dividends

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 40 (TCV only) Commodity risk management

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 41 (TCV only) Foreign exchange risk management

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 42 (TCV only) Public Private Partnership (PPP) projects

This question does not apply to your agency.

Question 43 (TCV only) Green Bonds